



# Production and detection of tau neutrinos from accelerator sources in DUNE

Pedro Machado, for the DUNE collaboration

NuTau 2021

September 29th, 2021



# Why tau neutrinos?

Arguably the least understood particle of the standard model

About couple dozen tau neutrinos identified at DONuT and OPERA

0711.0728, 1804.04912

Statistically inferred to be present at Super-Kamiokande and IceCube

1206.0328, 1711.09436, 1901.05366

Production:

- 1) In the beam via  $D_s$  meson decays
- 2) In far detectors via oscillations (at least  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$  is large!)


For atmospheric, see Adam's talk

Difficulties:


- 1) Beam production is suppressed and has large uncertainties
- 2) Production via oscillations is hard. Minimum E threshold is about 3.5 GeV. Because of  $\Delta m^2_{31}$  value or we move away from the oscillation maximum, losing  $\nu_\tau$  flux, or we go way over 1000 km...

Current knowledge:

- 1)  $\nu_\tau$  CC cross section @ SK determined at the 21% precision
- 2) Unitarity of tau row in PMNS at 30% level (@95% CL)





OPERA



Parke Ross-Loneragan 1508.05095

# Why tau neutrinos at DUNE?




# Why tau neutrinos at DUNE?









# Topological capabilities: 3D tracking and calorimetry


LArIAT 1911.10379



Muons:






Pions:



Topology depends on particle and  
its charge

**Can we leverage this to measure  
tau neutrinos?**





# Tau reconstruction at DUNE

Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

see also

Albright Shrock 1979

NOMAD hep-ex/0106102

Hagiwara et al hep-ph/0408212

Aoki et al hep-ph/0503050


Conrad et al 1008.2984

...

$\tau$  lifetime of is too short for DUNE ( $c\tau = 87\mu\text{m}$  versus mm wire distance)

$\nu$ -mode

DUNE 2002.03005






# Tau reconstruction at DUNE

Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

$\tau$  lifetime of is too short for DUNE ( $c\tau = 87\mu\text{m}$  versus mm wire distance)


$\nu$ -mode

DUNE 2002.03005




Decay mode	Branching ratio
Leptonic	35.2%
$e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau$	17.8%
$\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$	17.4%
Hadronic	64.8%
$\pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	25.5%
$\pi^- \nu_\tau$	10.8%
$\pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	9.3%
$\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ \nu_\tau$	9.0%
$\pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \nu_\tau$	4.5%
other	5.7%

see also  
Albright Shrock 1979  
NOMAD hep-ex/0106102  
Hagiwara et al hep-ph/0408212  
Aoki et al hep-ph/0503050  
Conrad et al 1008.2984  
...



Hadronic tau signal



Hadronic tau background  
(all neutrinos contribute!)



# Tau reconstruction at DUNE


Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

To do this study, we have interfaced GiBUU with TAUOLA to account for the tau polarization.

The analysis was performed with Rivet, which is an analysis framework widely used by the LHC community.

Thresholds for particle detection were 100 MeV for pions, 50 MeV for protons, 30 MeV for photons, electrons and muons (based on DUNE CDR).

We have **not** performed a full fledged detector simulation. This may be particularly relevant for the reconstruction of higher energy pions, which may re-interact before stopping.





# Tau reconstruction at DUNE

Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

see also

Albright Shrock 1979


NOMAD hep-ex/0106102

Hagiwara et al hep-ph/0408212

Aoki et al hep-ph/0503050

Conrad et al 1008.2984



...



## Hadronic taus

Perform a cut and count analysis taking into account

1. Number of leptons = 0
2. Number of pions
3. Energy of leading pion
4. Total visible energy excluding leading pion
5. Missing  $p_T$
6. Number of jets





# Tau reconstruction at DUNE

Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

see also

Albright Shrock 1979

NOMAD hep-ex/0106102

Hagiwara et al hep-ph/0408212


Aoki et al hep-ph/0503050

Conrad et al 1008.2984

...

(3.5 yr) x (1.2 MW) x (40 kt) = 168 kt-MW-yr which is equivalent to half of 7 years staged plan  
1 year in tau-optimized beam run is quite significant

Hadronic taus





# Tau reconstruction at DUNE

Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

see also

Albright Shrock 1979

NOMAD hep-ex/0106102

Hagiwara et al hep-ph/0408212


Aoki et al hep-ph/0503050

Conrad et al 1008.2984

...

(3.5 yr) x (1.2 MW) x (40 kt) = 168 kt-MW-yr which is equivalent to half of 7 years staged plan  
1 year in tau-optimized beam run is quite significant

Hadronic taus



For “electronic tau,” things get more complicated, we could not find good cuts by hand for the CP-optimized beam.

We decided to run it through a Deep Neural Network approach.

(See also Miriama’s talk for tau search optimization.)



# Tau reconstruction at DUNE

Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

see also

[Allright Shrock 1979](#)

[hep-ex/0106102](#)


[hep-ph/0408212](#)

[hep-ph/0503050](#)


[et al 1008.2984](#)

...

Leptonic taus (electrons)



Hadronic taus



See more on Thomas' talk



# Tau reconstruction at DUNE

Based on M Schulz Turner 2007.00015

see also

Albright Shrock 1979

NOMAD hep-ex/0106102

Hagiwara et al hep-ph/0408212

Aoki et al hep-ph/0503050

Conrad et al 1008.2984

...

Events after cuts (40 kton, 1 year, 1.2 MW)

Mode	beam	charge id	$N_{\text{sig}}$	$N_{\text{bg}}$	$S/\sqrt{B}$
$\tau_{\text{had}}$	nominal	✓	79	565	3.3
$\tau_{\text{had}}$	nominal	✗	83	731	3.1
$\tau_{\text{had}}$	tau-optimized	✓	433	2411	8.8
$\tau_{\text{had}}$	tau-optimized	✗	439	3077	7.9
$\tau_e$	tau-optimized	✗	63	33	11.0
$\tau_e$	nominal	✗	13	32	2.3

## What about tau neutrinos at the near detector?


Main complication may arrive from pion reconstruction, as HE pions can re-interact and may not be contained

Nevertheless, if an event occurs in the downstream part of the LAr detector, secondaries will likely not re-interact before reaching the ND-GAr detector, where they can be reconstructed with charge and particle ID

Energy + charge + PID is, in principle, very powerful

Study are needed to assess feasibility here


ND-LAr to ND-GAr-Lite event display with a  $\sim 25$  GeV neutrino interaction.



Add active plane here

Magnet cryostat

Fe Yoke with opening



Active LAr ( $\sim 25$ t) downstream of fiducial volume

Thanks to Alan Bross for providing material for this slide



## Take home messages

Leveraging DUNE's topological capabilities can greatly enhance S/B

The higher E tau-optimized beam configuration offers a valuable opportunity to study tau neutrinos

LHC analysis software can be useful for simple studies, perhaps even after detector simulation

# What can we learn with tau neutrinos?


Talks by Kevin, Miriama and Julia will cover this topic in more detail.

Here a few examples:

[De Gouvea et al 1904.07265](#)

- Light sterile neutrino mixing
- Non-unitarity constraints
- Consistency of three-neutrino oscillations
- Non-standard interactions
- Energy dependent neutrino mixing parameters
- ...

[Dentler et al 1803.10661](#)





# Conclusions

Combination of massive detector, LArTPC capabilities and high energy beam offers a **unique opportunity to study tau neutrinos at DUNE**

Preliminary studies accounting for tau polarization and crude detector thresholds show that DUNE could reach **signal to background ratios of about 10 or so for 1 year running on tau-optimized beam mode**



(Though this needs to be verified in a full detector simulation)

Better understanding of tau neutrino physics can probe several scenarios beyond the standard model, including **the origin of neutrino masses**

# What can we learn with tau neutrinos?

## Energy dependent neutrino mixing parameters

Babu et al 2108.11961



If there are significant **quantum corrections** to the neutrino mass matrix at low scales, the **PMNS matrix becomes scale dependent**.


This means that **production and detection of neutrinos may not go via the same PMNS matrices**.



# What can we learn with tau neutrinos?

## Energy dependent neutrino mixing parameters

Babu et al 2108.11961



## Standard case

$$A(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = \langle \nu_\tau | \exp(-iHL) | \nu_\mu \rangle \\ = \sum_i U_{\tau i} U_{\mu i}^* \exp\left(-\frac{im_i^2 L}{2E}\right)$$

## With E dependent effects

$$A(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = \langle \nu_\tau, Q_2^2 | \exp(-iHL) | \nu_\mu, Q_1^2 \rangle \\ = \sum_i U_{\tau i}(Q_2^2) U_{\mu i}^*(Q_1^2) \exp\left(-\frac{im_i^2 L}{2E}\right)$$

**This effect induces zero baseline  $\nu_\mu$  to  $\nu_\tau$  appearance, particularly at high energies**