# Simulation Statistics

By Sagar and Siddhant Under the guidance of Dr. Ankhi Roy, Dr. Chris Pinkenburg, and Dr. Kolja Kauder

January 14, 2022

IIT Indore



# Contents

Plots for energy resolution of detectors with manual clustering, theta-parametrized energy cuts on individual towers of EMCs (FEMC and CEMC) to account for pion-MIPs, low-energy cut on events to remove noise, and slice-wise calibration, for the following detector-particle pairs:

- Pion: FHCAL + FEMC
- Pion: CEMC + HCALIN + HCALOUT

tphi : tower  $\phi$ , ttheta : tower  $\theta$ , te<sub>agg</sub>: tower energies aggregated in an event gphi : generated  $\phi$ , gtheta : generated  $\theta$ , ge: generated energy



### **Simulation Parameters**

- Particles: pi<sup>-</sup>
- Events:  $150,000 \text{ pi}^{-}(100,000 \rightarrow 0.30 \text{ GeV/c}, 50,000 \rightarrow 0.2 \text{ GeV/c})$
- momentum (p): 0 to 30 GeV/c
- Pseudorapidity  $(\eta)$ : -4 to 4
- Azimuth ( $\Phi$ ): - $\pi$  to  $\pi$

### Cuts:

- Detector-wise  $\eta$  cuts, intersection for combinations
- Detector-wise Eliptical cuts using dphi vs dtheta plots
- Theta-parametrized energy cut on individual towers of EMCs
- Aggregated energy cut of 100 MeV on all events







### FEMC (mu<sup>-</sup>) Theta-parametrization of muon-MIP energy

### Explicit $\eta$ cut: 1.4 to 3.0



3 gtheta



Eliptical cut on dphi vs dtheta Explicit  $\eta$  cut: 1.4 to 3.0 gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers 100 MeV Aggregate Energy Cut



### After calibration

 $(te_{agg} \rightarrow \sum(weight*te/calibrationFactor)/mean(\sum(weight*te/calibrationFactor))$ 

Each slice of (teagg-ge)/ge vs ge plot will be calibrated on the basis of dividing by a calibration factor which equals to the Mean of teagg/ge corresponding to that particular slice in this plot.







(te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge Explicit η cut: 1.4 to 3.0 gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on individual EMC Towers Aggregated Energy Cut of 100 MeV

After calibration



 $(te_{agg} \rightarrow \sum(weight*te/calibrationFactor)/mean(\sum(weight*te/calibrationFactor))$ calibrationFactor(ge) = mean(te/ge) ; detector-wise; function of ge weight = mean(te/ge); detector-wise; independent of ge







 $\sigma_e_{agg}$  vs ge Explicit  $\eta$  cut: 1.4 to 3.0 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers 100 MeV Aggregate Energy Cut



Explicit η cut: 1.4 to 3.0 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers 100 MeV Aggregate Energy Cut









Explicit  $\eta$  cut: 1.4 to 3.0 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers

Slices of (te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge Explicit η cut: 1.4 to 3.0 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers



Slices of (te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge Explicit η cut: 1.4 to 3.0 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers





### FEMC + FHCAL (pi<sup>-</sup>) **Fitted Gaussians**







# CEMC (mu<sup>-</sup>)

### **Theta-parametrization of muon-MIP energy** Explicit η cut: -0.96 to 0.92



NO. NAM	1E VALUE	ERROR	STEP SIZE	DERIVATIVE
1 p0	9.46093e-01	2.68719e-03	-1.23162e-03	4.05204e-08
2 p1	-1.62771e+00	3.43564e-03	3.70185e-03	-5.93767e-07
3 p2	1.37776e+00	1.81743e-03	-3.83630e-03	1.14713e-05
4 p3	-5.49960e-01	8.68094e-04	1.64797e-03	2.56433e-05
5 p4	8.82673e-02	2.50538e-04	2.50538e-04	3.20234e-04
reduced_chi2 of theta fit: 1.03869				



Eliptical cut on dphi vs dtheta Explicit  $\eta$  cut: -0.96 to 0.92 gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers 100 MeV Aggregate Energy Cut



After calibration

 $(te_{agg} \rightarrow \sum (weight*te/calibrationFactor)/mean(\sum (weight*te/calibrationFactor)))$ 

Each slice of (teagg-ge)/ge vs ge plot will be calibrated on the basis of dividing by a calibration factor which equals to the Mean of teagg/ge corresponding to that particular slice in this plot.





(te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge Explicit η cut: -0.96 to 0.92 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers 100 MeV Aggregate Energy Cut



### After calibration



 $(te_{agg} \rightarrow \sum(weight*te/calibrationFactor)/mean(\sum(weight*te/calibrationFactor))$ calibrationFactor(ge) = mean(te/ge) ; detector-wise; function of ge weight = mean(te/ge) ; detector-wise; independent of ge



 $\sigma_{agg}$  vs ge Explicit  $\eta$  cut: -0.96 to 0.92 **Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering** gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers 100 MeV Aggregate Energy Cut





Explicit η cut: -0.96 to 0.92 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers 100 MeV Aggregate Energy Cut



(te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge plot.



(te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge plot.



Explicit η cut: -0.96 to 0.92 Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers







Slice Exp Eliptica gtheta-parametrize



- Slices of (te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge Explicit η cut: -0.96 to 0.92
- Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering
- gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers





- Slices of (te<sub>agg</sub>-ge)/ge vs ge Explicit  $\eta$  cut: -0.96 to 0.92
- Eliptical Cut for Manual Clustering
- gtheta-parametrized Energy Cut on Individual EMC Towers





### CEMC + HCALIN + HCALOUT (pi<sup>-</sup>) Fitted Gaussians





