

1

#### Final Design of the INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review

#### Ladder Performance

WBS: 3.01

#### Takashi Hachiya,

#### Nara Women's University & RIKEN BNL Research Center

March 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2021

March 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2021

#### The INTT ladders

SPHENIX



- Si : 320um thick
- Channel size : 78um x 16mm(20mm),
- Nchannels : 128 x 26 x 2 = 6656 Ch / chip = 128 Readout Chip (FPHX) = 26 x 2

March 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2021

### Sensor + Readout Schematics



- Performance is studied using:
  - Charged particle by beam test, cosmic ray and RI sources
  - Test pulse for Readout electronics

March 2nd, 2021

INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review

#### Beam Test Setup in 2019









Beam test at FNAL 2019

Proton beam w/ 120 GeV

Beam position is clearly seen

- MIP peak
- Efficiency

March 2nd, 2021

## MIP peak in the beam test



March 2nd, 2021

**INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review** 



Single hit Double hits

Gain (mV/fC)	100 (85~100)
Offset (mV)	198

SPHE



MIP peak ~ 600mV

- Double hits are ~200mV higher from single hit
  - Double hit : V1 + V2 = MIP + offset \* 2•
  - Single hit : V1 = MIP + offset
- MIP peak is nicely reproduced by ToyMC & GEANT w/ Gain + Offset
- Raw ADC have ~200mV offset
  - Confirmed by test pulse

### Efficiency in beam test 2019



- Analysis cuts
  - For L1&L2: single hit & ADC>3
    - L0 : multiple hits & No ADC cuts
  - Correlated hits in 3 layers
- Efficiency

$$-\epsilon(L0) = \frac{N(L0+L1+L2)}{N(L1+L2)}$$



- Efficiency  $\varepsilon$ (L0)=96.0  $\pm$  0.6 %
  - consistent w/ result in 2018 (95.8  $\pm$  0.2 %)
  - S/N from MIP suggests higher efficiency
  - Investigating why the efficiency drop occurs
    - Hypothesis is the timing of signal processing

INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review

## **Bias voltage is applied to Sensor**

- **Results:** 
  - ADC threshold clearly seen
  - Linearity between ADC (output) vs amplitude (input)



Test pulse response





March 2nd, 2021

## TP response for one module



- All channels work nicely
- TP is useful for health check of the readout chain

March 2nd, 2021

INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review

SPHEN()

## TP response for one module

#### Amplitude vs Channels



- All channels work nicely
- TP is useful for health check of the readout chain

March 2nd, 2021

INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review

## <sup>90</sup>Sr response





Trigger scintillator is placed behind the sensor



- Good to use for sanity check during the mass production

March 2nd, 2021

11

### Performance summary

SPHENIX

- MIP measurement
  - Mip peak clearly seen from beam test and cosmic ray
  - MIP peak is consistent with the expected value with the gain + offset
- Efficiency in beam test
  - $-~96.0\pm0.6\%$  due to the readout timing
  - Investigating the time scan in the readout chain using cosmic ray
- Test pulse is used for ch-by-ch health check of the readout
  - RI source is also useful to check the sensor + RO
- Evaluation test will be used for the mass production of the ladder

March 2nd, 2021



## Back Up

March 2nd, 2021

### TP response for one module



INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review

SPHE

## Slowing Effect on Efficiency



- Slewing effect may cause the efficiency loss
  - If Data0 pulse is small, data0 can be latched by one clock later than Data1.

SPHENIX

- To study this effect, we calculate the efficiency with the different clock timing
  - Timing can be changed by ADC
- Efficiency
  - Different timing : 97.2  $\pm$  1.0 %
  - Default : 96.0 ± 0.6 %
  - Slewing effect = readout timing is an possible cause of the efficiency loss.

We will study the timing effect at the next beam test.

This effect will be cross-checked by Cosmic ray measurement.

March 2nd, 2021

#### INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review

#### 16

#### MIP measurement with FPHX chip SPHE

- 3 bit ADC but these threshold can be set 8 bit DAC width.
- MIP Scan
  - Took data with changing DAC value to cover the full MIP







#### MIP peak by cosmic ray Subscription of the second second



- Double hits are shifted ~200mV higher from single hit
  - If no offset, these should be the same
- Data is nicely reproduced by ToyMC w/ Gain + Offset
  - Single and double hits peaks are nicely consistent
  - GEANT model also reproduce the MIP peak

#### • MIP peak puzzle is fixed with new conversion formula

March 2nd, 2021

SPHENIX

NEW

100

198

(85~100)

Single hit Double hits

 $V = G * \frac{E_{loss}}{3.6eV} + 0$ 

Original

300

0

Gain

(mV/fC)

Offset

(mV)

# Result2: noise and data error rate

- Noise: check the slope of the threshold
  - Width represents noise level
- Transfer efficiency: check if all the data comes with high amplitude
- These tests should used for the ladder (readout) QA for the mass production



## **Readout Check by Test pulse**

- TP injected to a channel with changing pulse heights
  - 10 TP for an amplitude (Amplitude : unit of TP pulse height (1-64))
- Results
  - Data comes out above the threshold for 128 channels



March 2nd, 2021

INTT Ladder and Production Readiness Review





ゲイン値番号	これまで	今回
GSel=0	46	200
GSel=1	50	150
GSel=2	60	100
GSel=3	67	85
GSel=4	85	67
GSel=5	100	60
GSel=6	150	50
GSel=7	200	46

宇宙線測定からゲイン値の設定を誤って解釈していたことがわかり、テストパルスでも同様の結果を確認することができた

#### 【問題点】

 ゲイン値の設定を変更することでオフセットの値も変わっている ように推測できる

#### The INTT Components

SPHENIX



Conversion Cable (CC) Pre-Production

March 2nd, 2021

## INTT module





- Si : 320um thick
- Channel size : 78 x 1200um(),
- Nchannels : 128 x 26 = 3328
  - Ch / chip = 128
  - Readout Chip (FPHX) = 26







