# Tagging <sup>3</sup>He (≡h) breakup

W. Schmidke EICUG polarim. mtg. 07.04.21 for brevity here helion <sup>3</sup>He≡h

- h breakup processes
- Beamline downstream of target: breakup tagging; kinematic range
- Strategy:

combined tagging, recoil missing mass

- Requirements on EIC ring
- Tests @ RHIC
- Homework
- Extra: tagging d breakup

#### Processes





- Lowest lying h breakup state is  $h \rightarrow dp$ ,  $m_{d} + m_{p} m_{h} = 5.5 \text{ MeV}$
- Next is  $h \rightarrow npp$ ,  $m_n + 2m_p m_h = 7.7 \text{ MeV}$



- If breakup vertex is target recoil: d,p,n may hit polarim. detectors
   rejected by energy-TOF PID h selection
- If breakup vertex is beam recoil: target h may hit polarim. detectors

   recoil target h missing mass measurement needs ~MeV
   resolution to distinguish from elastic, very challenging
- Can we tag beam breakup downstream from target?

#### **Downstream beamline**

 Somewhere downstream of absolute polarimeter target, beam bent by dipole: (In RHIC this is DX dipole splitting beams ~12 m from IP)



- Beam energy E<sub>beam</sub>
- h has Z=2; dipole imparts transverse momentum 2.∫ Bdℓ
- Small angle  $\theta_{\text{beam}} \sim 2 \cdot \int \text{Bd}\ell / \text{E}_{\text{beam}}$
- In RHIC DX  $\theta_{\text{beam}} \sim 30^*$  mrad

\*discrepancy 15/30 mrad, see drawings sl. 24,25

## Tagging h→dp breakup



## Tagging h→npp breakup

 Consider n,p with p<sub>1</sub>~0 w.r.t. beam i.e. target recoil  $p_{\tau} \sim 0$ , threshold  $m_{npp} = m_n + 2m_p$  n has energy 1/3 E<sub>beam</sub>; Z=0, dipole kick 0 θ\_ = 0 • p have energy 1/3 E<sub>beam</sub>; Z=1, dipole kick ∫ Bdℓ  $\theta_n = (\int Bd\ell)/(1/3 E_{beam}) = 3/2 \theta_{beam}$ ∫ **B**d*ℓ* target h • p's bent out of beam, n not bent Can tag with e.g. calorimeters

# Fragment p<sub>T</sub>: recoil |t|~p<sub>Trecoil</sub><sup>2</sup>

- So far considered fragments with  $p_{\tau} \sim 0$  w.r.t. beam
  - defines  $0^\circ$  point in taggers for each fragment
- Fragments will have some  $p_{\tau}$  and spread around 0° point, from:
  - beam-target recoil  $p_{\tau}$
  - fragmentation system c.m.s.  $p_{_{\rm T}}$



- Entire fragmentation system (dp, npp)
  - gets  $p_{Trecoil} \sim \sqrt{|t|}$  from target recoil:



- Some rough numbers: h similar E<sub>kin</sub> range in Hjet detectors (details extra slide) helion E<sub>beam</sub> = 100 GeV/nucleon taggers 10-20 m from target
  - ⇒ 0° spot spread 0.6-1.2 cm recoil spread easily contained in a reasonable tagger



 Some rough numbers: helion E<sub>beam</sub> = 100 GeV/nucleon (details extra slide) taggers 10-20 m from target 20-40 cm wide (can fit among beam elements)
 ⇒ tag up to m<sub>dp</sub>-m<sub>h</sub> = 200 MeV

<u>Main point:</u> finite tagger size limits m<sub>fragments</sub> < m<sub>tag</sub><sup>max</sup>

#### **Recoil mass**



 Elastic pp→pp / inelastic pp→ppX separated mass gap ≥ m<sub>π</sub>~140 MeV

- Similar for h beams, but:
  - mass gap h ↔ dp, npp only few MeV, close to elastic curve
  - elastic/inelastic separation limited by recoil resolution energy resolution, target & detector size → angular resolution backgrounds

<u>Main point:</u> recoil resolution limits m<sub>fragments</sub> > m<sup>min</sup><sub>recoil</sub>

data: E vs strip # ∝ scattering angle



cut out: min. E each strip

# Strategy



## **Requirements EIC ring**



Need strong dipole in ring with:

- Space for target upstream
- Drift space downstream, fragments separate from beam
- Space for taggers
- Vacuum chamber w/ exit windows for fragments



- Space for taggers up to ~18 m, blocked beyond by cryostat
- 2 Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDCs) from Brahms/Phobos available
  - mediocre hadronic calorimeter
  - adequate for tagging

\*discrepancy 15/30 mrad, see drawings sl. 24,25



















 Detailed measurements, component drawings: where can put ZDCs, angular range covered

# Tests @ RHIC

#### • ZDCs: ~18 m from target, 10×10 cm wide $\Rightarrow$ tag fragments up to $\theta_{n,p,d}$ ~ 2.5 mrad

• helion polarized  $E_{beam} = 60 \text{ GeV/nucleon} \rightarrow \text{fragment } p_{\tau} < 0.25 \text{ GeV}$ unpolarized  $E_{beam} = 100 \text{ GeV/nucleon} \rightarrow \text{fragment } p_{\tau} < 0.15 \text{ GeV}$ 

Tag m<sub>dp</sub>-m<sub>h</sub> up to
 20 MeV / 55 MeV



 Correlate fragment tags ↔ protons in Hjet recoil detectors
 Does Hjet have resolution to see: <u>elastic/inelastic mass gap ~ 20-50 MeV?</u>

#### Homework

Fragment tagging

- Get accurate layout @ RHIC:
  - possible ZDC positions, m<sub>fragments</sub> coverage
- Simulation for EIC w/ realistic dipole bend
  - tagging efficiency vs m<sub>fragments</sub> for tagger parameters:
     dipole |B|, tagger sizes, position
- Target recoil missing mass (Hjet experts)
- Strategy for hh, hp: E<sub>kin</sub> range
- Simulation (toy MC or sophisticated):
  - Missing mass resolution, how low m min distinguish elastic?

#### EIC ring

Find / develop appropriate dipole & neighborhood



## Tagging d→np breakup



• p bent out of beam, n not bent; can tag with e.g. calorimeters 20

# Fragment p<sub>T</sub>: recoil |t|~p<sub>Trecoil</sub><sup>2</sup>

- So far considered fragments with  $p_{\tau} \sim 0$  w.r.t. beam
  - defines 0° point in taggers each fragment
- Fragments will have some  $p_{\tau}$  and spread around 0° point, from:
  - beam-target recoil  $\textbf{p}_{\tau}$
  - fragmentation system c.m.s.  $p_{_{\rm T}}$



#### Fragment p<sub>-</sub>: c.m.s. |p|



For c.m.s. decay || beam direction, fragments faster/slower than nominal, bent less/more in dipole. Need simple simulation, estimate effect  ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$  3-body break up, mean  ${\scriptstyle p_{\rm cms}}$  smaller, small angles, easier to tag





A 



