Measurement of muon g-2 and EDM

November 4, 2021

Brookhaven Forum 2021



Tsutomu Mibe

Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK





Current status of muon g-2



Current status of muon g-2



Muon g-2 theory initiative workshop June 28 – July 2nd, 2021

https://www-conf.kek.jp/muong-2theory/

- Organized by the muon g-2 theory initiative (2017-)
- Hosted by KEK IPNS and Nagoya University
- 280 participants from 27 countries
- The white paper (Phys. Rep. 887,1 (2020)) describes the consensus value for the standard model prediction. A good plan for the improvement of the SM prediction has been developed in the workshop.



In memory of Simon Eidelman



Next workshop : Sep. 5-9, 2022 at U. of Edinburgh

Muon EDM EDM(d_{μ}) vs a_{μ} (model independent relation)

Current upper limit $d_{\mu} < 10^{-19} e^{-10} cm$ (BNL E821)

Complex representation of the dipole moment operator:

$$c_R^{\mu\mu} = -\frac{e}{4m_\mu}a_\mu - i\frac{1}{2}d_\mu \quad \text{Figure}$$

J-PARC and FNAL explore scenarios₂₀ of the phase region (70-90 deg.)

Future plan at PSI to push down to 10 deg.



5

A. Crivellin et al., PRD 98, 113002 (2018)

Three steps of g-2 & EDM measurement

1. Prepare a polarized muon beam.

- Store in a magnetic field (muon's spin precesses)
- π^+ spin spin 0 neutrino: left handed helicity: -1 helicity: -1 B e+
- 3. Measure decay positron

muon g-2 and EDM measurements

nomentur

In uniform magnetic field, muon spin rotates ahead of momentum due to $g-2 \neq 0$

Spin precession vector w.r.t momentum :





Improvements in experiment?



History of muon anomaly measurements and predictions





The experimental average is dominated by the magic gamma experiments (BNL+FNAL). \rightarrow Independent measurements are important.

Conventional muon beam



Source of systematic uncertainties

10

Muon beam at J-PARC

11



Muon g-2/EDM experiment at J-PARC



Experimental sequence



cooling



Expected time spectrum of e^+ in $\mu \rightarrow e^+ \nu \nu$ decay



Muon storage magnet and detector 15



M. Abe et. al., NIM A 890, 51 (2018)

Very weak magnetic focusing

 Radial magnetic field can be a major source of systematics on EDM since the g-2 term mixes to the EDM term.



Very weak magnetic focusing

- Bill Morse, Yannis Semertizdis (2010)
- Field index n = 1E-4 (1ppm/cm)
- Vertical position of muon beam will be self-adjusted to find B_r = 0.
- Also very powerful to suppress the "pitch effect" on g-2 (~10 ppb).



J-PARC muon g-2/EDM experiment 17



M. Abe et. al., NIM A 890, 51 (2018)

- No electric focusing
- Very weak magnetic focusing n = 1.5 x 10⁻⁴ (1ppm/cm)
- Br = 0.1ppm \rightarrow 0.2 mm shift in vertical position
- Sensitivity: 1.5 x 10⁻²¹ ecm



Expected uncertainties

Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019, 053C02 (2019)

	Estimation
Total number of muons in the storage magnet	5.2×10^{12}
Total number of reconstructed e^+ in the energy window [200, 275 MeV]	5.7×10^{11}
Effective analyzing power	0.42
Statistical uncertainty on ω_a [ppb]	450
Uncertainties on a_{μ} [ppb]	450 (stat.)
	< 70 (syst.)
Uncertainties on EDM [$10^{-21} e \cdot cm$]	1.5 (stat.)
	0.36 (syst.)

Beam power 1MW Rep. Rate 25 Hz

Rapid Cycle Synchrotron (3 GeV)

Neutrino exp. facility

Materials and Life science experimental Facility

(MLF)

LINAC

(400 MeV).

Main Ring (30 GeV)

- PA

proton muon neutron

neutrino

Hadron exp. Hall

g-2/EDM

g-2 and muonium experiments 20



g-2 and muonium experiments 21 at J-PARC Fermilab E989 J-PARC g-2/EDM (2025~)

Lead by K. Shimomura (IMSS/KEK)



MuSEUM(J-PARC) Ongoing

Mu HFS

H. Torii's talk

Three independent experiments have launched at J-PARC for improved measurements.

of the Technical of Synergies



KEK, Tsukuba Campus To be transported to J-PARC MLF S-line in summer 2020

Mu 1S-2S

Mu-MASS(PSI), new exp.(J-PARC)

In preparation

Lead by S. Uetake (Okayama)



Experimental areas for experiments 23

Extension for g-2/EDM to be constructed in FY2022

H1 area for Mu-HFS (MuSEUM) to be commissioned from Jan 2022

> S2 area for muon cooling tests and Mu 1S-2S to be commissioned from Jan 2022

Construction of surface muon beamline (H-line)



Fig. 2. The H-line layout. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2018, 113G01



Construction team of the S2 experimental area



Production of thermal energy muon

Silica aerogel with laser-ablated surface (SiO₂, 30 mg/cc)

μ+ (4 MeV)

surface muon beam

8 mm

P. Bakule et al., PTEP 103C0 (2013)G. Beer et al., PTEP 091C01 (2014)J. Beare et al., PTEP 123C01 (2020)

Muonium (μ^+e^-) 30 meV Efficiency 3 x10⁻³/ μ (laser region 5mm x 50mm)

Data taken at TRIUMF



Photo by S. Kamal

Setting up the Mu ionization experiment



Successfully demonstrated ionization of hydrogen atoms Mu ionization will be tested at J-PARC MLF S-line from Jan 2022

KEK, Tsukuba Campus

Demonstration of RF acceleration with Mu-ions



Demonstration of RF acceleration with Mu-ions



Muon LINAC developments







30

IH-DTL test cavity

DAW cold model

Muon beam injection and storage

- Horizontal injection + kicker
- (BNL E821, FNAL E989)

• 3D spiral injection + kicker

31

• (J-PARC E34)



Injection efficiency : 3-5%(*)

Injection efficiency : ~85%

H. linuma et al., Nucl. Instr. And Methods. A 832, 51 (2016)

(*) PRD73,072003 (2006)

Sprial Injection Test Experiment with electron beam

Ibaraki U, KEK, U. Tokyo

CCD-Storage Magnet Camera 82.5 Gauss Beam Profile Monitor E= 80 keV (p= 296 keV/c) **CCD-Camera Steering Coils** CCD-Rot. Quad Camera Bending Steering **Electric Chopper Electron Gun Collimator** Magnets magnet2 Coils Bending magnet1 M. Lens 2 m **CCD-Camera**

KEK Tsukuba campus

Spiral Injection Test Experiment with electron beam



Electrons successfully injected. Next step: demonstration of storage by a pulsed kicker

Magnet shimming test



Cross-calibration of absolute probe

- Absolute probes from Fermilab g-2 and J-PARC are compared in the magnet at ANL for cross calibration.
- Data taking completed at B=1.45 T (Fermilab) and 1.7 T (MuSEUM). Planned another data taking at 3.0 T (J-PARC).
- Supported by the US-Japan cooperative program (2017-2020), P. Winter (US-PI), K. Sasaki (JP-PI)



Positron tracking detector



New frontend ASIC



Basic performance test



IEEE, TNS 67, 2089 (2020)

JINST 15 P04027 (2020)



Full-scale prototype of the frontend board



Achievements and plan



37

Intended schedule and milestone

38

Data

taking

	2020	2021	2022	2022	2024	2025	2026 and
	2020	2021	2022	2025	2024	2025	beyond
KEK Budget							
Surface muon		★ Beam	at H1 area	★ Beam at H	12 area		ing ing
Bldg. and facility			★ Final design		★ Completi	on	nission i tak
Muon source			★ Ionizatio	n test @S2	★ Ionization test at	H2	Comn
LINAC				★ 1 MeV ac	celeration@S2 ★ 4.5 MeV@ H2 ★ 1	★ 210 Me 0 MeV	v –
Injection and storage				★ Completion of electron injection to	est	*	muon injection
Storage magnet					★ B-field probe ready	★ Install ★ Shimn	ning d <mark>one</mark>
Detector			★ Mass productic	on ready	*	Installation	
DAQ and computing					\star Ready		
Analysis			r		 Analysis software Analysis environr 	ready nent ready	

The collaboration



Summary

- Muon g-2 (and also EDM) provides excellent sensitivities to new physics models via quantum loops.
- BNL experiment (1998-2004)
 - More than 3σ larger than the SM prediction
- Fermilab experiment (2018-)
 - Run 1 data confirmed the BNL results. More data to come.
- J-PARC experiment (2025-)
 - New method (complementary to magic gamma experiments)
 - Compact storage ring
 - Very weak magnetic focusing
 - All-tracking detector

Comparison of g-2 experiments 41

Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019, 053C02 (2019)

	BNL-E821	Fermilab-E989	Our experiment
Muon momentum	3.09 GeV/c		300 MeV/c
Lorentz γ	29.3		3
Polarization	100%		50%
Storage field	B = 1.45 T		B = 3.0 T
Focusing field	Electric quadrupole		Very weak magnetic
Cyclotron period	149 ns		7.4 ns
Spin precession period	4.37	us	$2.11 \ \mu s$
Number of detected e^+	5.0×10^{9}	1.6×10^{11}	5.7×10^{11}
Number of detected e^-	3.6×10^{9}	—	—
a_{μ} precision (stat.)	460 ppb	100 ppb	450 ppb
(syst.)	280 ppb	100 ppb	<70 ppb
EDM precision (stat.)	$0.2 \times 10^{-19} e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$	—	$1.5 \times 10^{-21} e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$
(syst.)	$0.9 \times 10^{-19} e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$	—	$0.36 \times 10^{-21} \ e \cdot \mathrm{cm}$

Compl	leted	Running
Compi	leted	Running

In preparation

Statistical and systematic uncertainties

42

Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019, 053C02

Summary of statistical uncertainties

Estimation
5.2×10^{12}
5.7×10^{11}
0.42
450
450 (stat.)
< 70 (syst.)
1.5 (stat.)
0.36 (syst.)

Estimated systematic uncertainties on a_{μ}

Anomalous spin precession (ω_a)		Magnetic field (ω_p)		
Source	Estimation (ppb)	Source	Estimation (ppb)	
Timing shift	< 36	Absolute calibration	25	
Pitch effect	13	Calibration of mapping probe	20	
Electric field	10	Position of mapping probe	45	
Delayed positrons	0.8	Field decay	< 10	
Diffential decay	1.5	Eddy current from kicker	0.1	
Quadratic sum	< 40	Quadratic sum	56	