Learning about New Physics with Neutrinos

Irína Mocíoíu Pennsylvanía State Uníversíty



Work supported by

Why New Physics with Neutrinos?



Neutrino mass and mixing are physics beyond SM

- Non-trivial extension:
 - add right handed neutrino to SM (like for other SM fermions)
 - add Yukawa coupling to Higgs $Y_{\nu}\bar{L}HN_R$ (like for other SM fermions)
 - BUT Majorana mass term $M_R \overline{N_R^c} N_R$ allowed by SM symmetries (unlike for other SM fermions)

Need to consider at least:

new implications of Majorana neutrinos or new symmetry to forbid Majorana mass term —> new interactions, new phenomena, etc.

Three flavor neutrino oscillations (the new - or ν - standard model)

- Weak interactions (W & Z exchange) are the only neutrino interactions
- There are no additional neutrino states that can mix with the three SM flavors
- Only an "effective theory"
- additional states and/or interactions needed

to generate neutrino masses and mixing

may or may not connect to observed "anomalies"

- other interactions and new light states possible
- do not know correct scale/model
 - *if all* new physics is at high scale (e.g. GUT models)

 \rightarrow deviations are negligibly small

- observable corrections possible in many models (more later)
- ultimately observational question

Lots of sources: natural and artificial and in huge energy range

Natural:

- $C\nu B$ (Chris Tully)
- Geoneutrinos
- Sun
- Supernovae
- Atmospheric
- (other)Astrophysical ~ 0

- < meV
- < MeV
- ~ MeV
- ~ 10 MeV
- ~ MeV-PeV
- al ~ GeV-EeV

Artificial:

. . .

- Reactor MeV
- Accelerator/collider MeV-TeV

Lots of sensitive detectors and detection techniques

- many complementary observables
- experiments designed for high precision measurements e.g. DUNE, short baseline program at Fermilab, etc.
- very high statistics data
 e.g. atmospheric neutrinos in IceCube Deep Core/PINGU
- extreme/unexplored energies/densities/distances

 e.g. astrophysical neutrinos in IceCube, CVB
 small effects accumulate over long distances or get amplified
 because of environment
 unique to neutrinos

Long Baseline Experiments



Phillips Synch

(TSONWO



The NOvA Experiment

- Long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment
- NuMI beam: v_{μ} or \bar{v}_{μ}
- 2 functionally identical, tracking calorimeter detectors
- Near: 300 T underground
- Far: 14 kT on the surface
- Placed off-axis to produce a narrow-band spectrum
- 810 km baseline
- Longest baseline of current experiments.

Take a tour in VR!

Himmel, Nu2020

Long Baseline Experiments

DUNE



The IceCube Neutrino Observatory



Digital Optical Module (DOM)

Why New Physics with Neutrinos?

Weak interactions

- can escape extreme environments: Sun, supernovae, extreme astrophysical objects (AGN, GRB, etc.)
- can do astronomy (point back to source)
- relative effects of new physics can be large new physics: O(1) effects for weak scale new physics % level effects: un-probed regime

Many complementary observables

Large model parameter space for new physics effects

Many (possible) connections to other particles/ phenomena



New Physics with Neutrinos

- high precision determination of standard neutrino properties
- sensitivity to new neutrino properties and other new physics require:
 - 1. precision measurements of many types
 - 2. high precision theoretical understanding of many issues (e.g. interaction cross sections, analysis framework)

Three-flavor neutrino oscillations (the new - or ν - standard model)

- Weak interactions (W & Z exchange) are the only neutrino interactions
- There are no additional neutrino states that can mix with the three SM flavors

Three-flavor neutrino oscillations

- solar, atmospheric, accelerator, reactor experiments
- three-flavor mixing matrix

$$U = R_{23} K R_{13} K^* R_{12}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = \Delta m_{sol}^2 \qquad \Delta m_{32}^2 = \Delta m_{atm}^2$$

$$\theta_{12} = \theta_{sol} \qquad \theta_{13} = \theta_{reactor} \qquad \theta_{23} = \theta_{atm} \qquad \delta$$



					NuFIT 5.1 (2021)
		Normal Ordering (best fit)		Inverted Ordering $(\Delta \chi^2 = 2.6)$	
without SK atmospheric data		bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range
	$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.304\substack{+0.013\\-0.012}$	$0.269 \rightarrow 0.343$	$0.304\substack{+0.012\\-0.012}$	$0.269 \rightarrow 0.343$
	$\theta_{12}/^{\circ}$	$33.44_{-0.74}^{+0.77}$	$31.27 \rightarrow 35.86$	$33.45_{-0.74}^{+0.77}$	$31.27 \rightarrow 35.87$
	$\sin^2 heta_{23}$	$0.573^{+0.018}_{-0.023}$	$0.405 \rightarrow 0.620$	$0.578\substack{+0.017\\-0.021}$	$0.410 \rightarrow 0.623$
	$\theta_{23}/^{\circ}$	$49.2^{+1.0}_{-1.3}$	$39.5 \rightarrow 52.0$	$49.5^{+1.0}_{-1.2}$	$39.8 \rightarrow 52.1$
	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02220\substack{+0.00068\\-0.00062}$	$0.02034 \rightarrow 0.02430$	$0.02238\substack{+0.00064\\-0.00062}$	$0.02053 \rightarrow 0.02434$
	$\theta_{13}/^{\circ}$	$8.57^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$	$8.20 \rightarrow 8.97$	$8.60^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$8.24 \rightarrow 8.98$
	$\delta_{ m CP}/^{\circ}$	194^{+52}_{-25}	$105 \rightarrow 405$	287^{+27}_{-32}	$192 \rightarrow 361$
	$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{10^{-5} \ {\rm eV}^2}$	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$6.82 \rightarrow 8.04$	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$6.82 \rightarrow 8.04$
	$\frac{\Delta m^2_{3\ell}}{10^{-3}~{\rm eV}^2}$	$+2.515\substack{+0.028\\-0.028}$	$+2.431 \rightarrow +2.599$	$-2.498\substack{+0.028\\-0.029}$	$-2.584 \rightarrow -2.413$

Esteban I., Gonzalez-Garcia M.C., Maltoni M., Schwetz T., Zhou A. JHEP <u>09 (2020) 178 [arXiv:2007.14792]</u> NuFIT 5.1 (2021), www.nu-fit.org. Three-flavor neutrino oscillations (the new - or ν - standard model)

- Weak interactions (W & Z exchange) are the only neutrino interactions
- There are no additional neutrino states that can mix with the three SM flavors

Specific Questions

• What are the 3 flavor oscillation parameters?

2 mass square differences, 3 mixing angles

Is 2-3 mixing maximal? if not, what is the octant, ...

- Is there CP violation in the lepton sector? measure phase
- What is the mass ordering?

New Physics?

Bishai

Neutrino mass generation Flavor

Generic ideas: see-saw

- Explicit model building
 - grand unification
 - radiative mechanisms
 - flavor symmetries
 - •
- What is the scale?
 - GUT
 - TeV
 - Sub-eV

Experimental observables

Neutrino interactions

New interactions predicted in specific models explored in "effective theory"

New states

"directly" observable Non-unitary lepton mixing Fleming

Shoemaker Hostert

Gehrlein

Other neutrino properties

e.g. decay length, electromagnetic prop., LIV

Many (possible) connections to other particles/ phenomena



Astrophysics and Cosmology

- Neutrinos in early universe
- Supernovae
 - Both astrophysics and neutrino physics
 - Neutrinos carry out most of energy
 - Neutrino oscillations very complex, including self-interactions
- Connections between neutrinos and dark matter, baryogenesis
- Very high energy neutrinos
 - Interesting astrophysical sources extreme environments
 - Energies beyond those accessible in labs
 - Propagation over large distance sensitive to particle properties

Neutrino interactions:

Standard Model

- many energy ranges MeV EeV
- many types of processes
- many not understood/measured with sufficient precision

Non-Standard Interactions (NSI)

- many types of processes
- models can predict them
- model-independent phenomenological parametrizations useful to connect to experimental observables

Neutrino interactions

- Many types of processes and observables at different energies
- E.g.
 - Coherent elastic neutrino nucleus scattering
 - Neutrinoless double beta decay
 - Majorana vs Dirac answered by detection
 - Quantitative connections to neutrino mass, CP phases, etc.: need nuclear matrix elements
 - DIS at extremely high energies (astrophysical neutrinos)
 - QCD beyond parameter space probed by colliders
 - Relative effects of new physics can be large
 - Neutrino interactions at few GeV :
 - many processes can contribute to one observable signature
 - hadronic physics effects large

Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions (NSI)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 17, NUMBER 9

1 MAY 1978

Neutrino oscillations in matter

L. Wolfenstein

Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213 (Received 6 October 1977; revised manuscript received 5 December 1977)

The effect of coherent forward scattering must be taken into account when considering the oscillations of neutrinos traveling through matter. In particular, for the case of massless neutrinos for which vacuum oscillations cannot occur, oscillations can occur in matter if the neutral current has an off-diagonal piece connecting different neutrino types. Applications discussed are solar neutrinos and a proposed experiment involving transmission of neutrinos through 1000 km of rock.

 $\mathcal{L} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F \epsilon^{fP}_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\rho}\nu_{\beta}) (\bar{f}\gamma_{\rho}Pf)$

Non-Standard neutrino Interactions (NSI)

- Standard Model can be treated as an effective low energy theory of some high energy completion at scale M
- Write down all effective higher-dimensional operators involving SM fields and respecting SM symmetries
- Dimension 5 (1/M) : Majorana mass
- Dimension 6 ($1/M^2$): lots of operators, with and without Higgs
- new neutrino interactions, smaller than SM ones
- → (suppressed by high scale M) can be parametrized as $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\mathcal{L} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F \epsilon^{fP}_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\rho}\nu_{\beta}) (\bar{f}\gamma_{\rho}Pf)$$

- Effective low-energy parametrization in terms of $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ very general: can come from different types of underlying physics
- E.g.: effects of a sterile neutrino at energies much lower than its mass look like $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$; leptoquarks
- If you can constrain general $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$, many models can map their parameters onto $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$

NSI: matter effects

$$H_{I,NSI} = V_{cc} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \epsilon_{ee} & |\epsilon_{e\mu}|e^{i\delta_{e\mu}} & |\epsilon_{e\tau}|e^{i\delta_{e\tau}} \\ |\epsilon_{e\mu}|e^{-i\delta_{e\mu}} & \epsilon_{\mu\mu} & |\epsilon_{\mu\tau}|e^{i\delta_{\mu\tau}} \\ |\epsilon_{e\tau}|e^{-i\delta_{e\tau}} & |\epsilon_{\mu\tau}|e^{-i\delta_{\mu\tau}} & \epsilon_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \sum_{\substack{f=e,u,d\\P=L,R}} \epsilon_{P}^{\alpha\beta,ff} \frac{n_{f}}{n_{e}}$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{NSI} = -2\sqrt{2}G_F \bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \nu_{\beta} \left(\epsilon_L^{\alpha\beta,ij} \bar{f}_L^i \gamma^{\mu} f_L^j + \epsilon_R^{\alpha\beta,ij} \bar{f}_R^i \gamma^{\mu} f_R^j\right) + h.c.$

NSI: constraints

OSC				+ COHERENT		
	LMA	$\mathrm{LMA} \oplus \mathrm{LMA}\text{-}\mathrm{D}$		LMA	$LMA \oplus LMA-D$	
	[-0.020 + 0.456]	$\oplus [-1 \ 192 \ -0 \ 802]$	ε^u_{ee}	$\left[-0.008, +0.618 ight]$	$\left[-0.008, +0.618 ight]$	
$\begin{bmatrix} c_{ee} & c_{\mu\mu} \\ c^{u} & c^{u} \end{bmatrix}$	[-0.025, +0.130]	$\bigcirc [-0.152, -0.002]$	$arepsilon^u_{\mu\mu}$	[-0.111, +0.402]	$\left[-0.111, +0.402 ight]$	
$ ^{c}\tau\tau^{-c}\mu\mu$	$[-0.003, \pm 0.130]$	$[-0.152, \pm 0.150]$	$arepsilon_{ au au}^{u}$	[-0.110, +0.404]	$\left[-0.110, +0.404 ight]$	
$arepsilon_{e\mu}^{u}$	[-0.060, +0.049]	$\left[-0.060, +0.067 ight]$	$arepsilon^u_{e\mu}$	$\left[-0.060, +0.049 ight]$	$\left[-0.060, +0.049 ight]$	
$arepsilon_{e au}^{u}$	$\left[-0.292, +0.119 ight]$	$\left[-0.292, +0.336 ight]$	$arepsilon_{e au}^{u}$	$\left[-0.248, +0.116 ight]$	$\left[-0.248, +0.116 ight]$	
$arepsilon_{\mu au}^{u}$	$\left[-0.013, +0.010 ight]$	$\left[-0.013, +0.014 ight]$	$arepsilon^u_{\mu au}$	$\left[-0.012, +0.009 ight]$	$\left[-0.012, +0.009 ight]$	
$e^d - e^d$	[-0.027 + 0.474]	$\oplus [-1 232 -1 111]$	ε^d_{ee}	$\left[-0.012, +0.565 ight]$	$\left[-0.012, +0.565\right]$	
$\begin{bmatrix} c_{ee} & c_{\mu\mu} \\ c^d & c^d \end{bmatrix}$	[-0.005, +0.095]	[-0.013, +0.095]	$arepsilon_{\mu\mu}^d$	$\left[-0.103, +0.361 ight]$	$\left[-0.103, +0.361 ight]$	
$\int c_{\tau\tau} - c_{\mu\mu}$			$arepsilon_{ au au}^d$	$\left[-0.102, +0.361 ight]$	$\left[-0.102, +0.361 ight]$	
$arepsilon_{e\mu}^d$	$\left[-0.061, +0.049 ight]$	$\left[-0.061, +0.073 ight]$	$arepsilon_{e\mu}^d$	$\left[-0.058, +0.049 ight]$	$\left[-0.058, +0.049 ight]$	
$arepsilon_{e au}^d$	$\left[-0.247, +0.119 ight]$	$\left[-0.247, +0.119 ight]$	$arepsilon_{e au}^d$	$\left[-0.206, +0.110 ight]$	$\left[-0.206, +0.110 ight]$	
$arepsilon_{\mu au}^d$	$\left[-0.012, +0.009 ight]$	$\left[-0.012, +0.009 ight]$	$arepsilon_{\mu au}^d$	$\left[-0.011, +0.009 ight]$	$\left[-0.011, +0.009 ight]$	
	[_0.0/1 _1.319]	$\oplus [-3, 397, -1, 058]$	$arepsilon_{ee}^p$	$\left[-0.010, +2.039 ight]$	$\left[-0.010, +2.039 ight]$	
$cee - c_{\mu\mu}$	[-0.041, +1.012]	$\oplus [-3.327, -1.936]$	$arepsilon^p_{\mu\mu}$	$\left[-0.364,+1.387 ight]$	$\left[-0.364,+1.387 ight]$	
$\varepsilon_{\tau\tau} - \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}$	$[-0.015, \pm 0.420]$	$[-0.424, \pm 0.420]$	$\varepsilon^p_{ au au}$	$\left[-0.350, +1.400 ight]$	$\left[-0.350, +1.400 ight]$	
$arepsilon_{e\mu}^p$	[-0.178, +0.147]	$\left[-0.178, +0.178 ight]$	$arepsilon^p_{e\mu}$	[-0.179, +0.146]	[-0.179, +0.146]	
$arepsilon_{e au}^p$	$\left[-0.954, +0.356 ight]$	$\left[-0.954, +0.949 ight]$	$arepsilon_{e au}^p$	$\left[-0.860, +0.350 ight]$	$\left[-0.860, +0.350 ight]$	
$arepsilon^p_{\mu au}$	$\left[-0.035, +0.027 ight]$	$\left[-0.035, +0.035 ight]$	$arepsilon_{\mu au}^p$	$\left[-0.035, +0.028 ight]$	$\left[-0.035, +0.028 ight]$	

Esteban, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, I. Martinez-Soler, J. Salvado arXiv:1805.04530, JHEP 08 (2018) 180, JHEP 12 (2020) 152 (addendum)

Non-Standard Neutrino Interactions (NSI)

- any interaction beyond weak (*W*, *Z* exchange)
- pheno approach: parametrize most general interaction i.t.o.
 known particle content → explore observational consequences
 - long baseline neutrino oscillations
 - matter effects sensitive to any new vector-type interactions propagation effects large
 - source/detector effects smaller, but can include other types of interactions (scalar, pseudo-scalar, axial, tensor)
 - other types of experiments (e.g. CEvNS) are complementary
 - → need overall consistency
 - current and future sensitivity: 10% to under 1% of G_F
 - → probing relevant, unexplored physics scales
- construct model \rightarrow explore observational consequences in all relevant experiments (ν , collider, flavor, etc.)

NSI & New Flavor Physics

- In the SM each family consistent on its own (anomalies cancel, etc.)
- 3 families?
- Mixing?
- Why such small mixing for third family quarks?
- Maybe third family is special: we gauge B-L for 3rd generation

$$U(1)_{B-L}^{\left(3
ight) }$$

Babu, Friedland, Machado, Mocioiu, JHEP 1712 (2017) 096

Low scale flavor models: there could be flavor dependent physics below the electroweak scale

Synergy between vastly different physics:

neutrino oscillations, Higgs decays, b-physics, APV, meson oscillation and decays...

 $U(1)^{(3)}_{B-I}$

- First and second family have no charge
- Third family is charged $(Q_{3L}, u_{3R}, d_{3R}) : 1/3, (\ell_{3L}, e_{3R}, \nu_{3R}) : -1$, Need two Higgs doublets to generate CKM mixing



Also need a SM singlet with X charge 1/3

 $U(1)_{B-L}^{(3)}$ Quarks

$$\mathcal{L}_{yuk}^{q} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{L} \begin{pmatrix} y_{11}^{u} \widetilde{\phi}_{2} & y_{12}^{u} \widetilde{\phi}_{2} & y_{13}^{u} \widetilde{\phi}_{1} \\ y_{21}^{u} \widetilde{\phi}_{2} & y_{22}^{u} \widetilde{\phi}_{2} & y_{23}^{u} \widetilde{\phi}_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & y_{33}^{u} \widetilde{\phi}_{2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{R} + \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{L} \begin{pmatrix} y_{11}^{d} \phi_{2} & y_{12}^{d} \phi_{2} & 0 \\ y_{21}^{d} \phi_{2} & y_{22}^{d} \phi_{2} & 0 \\ y_{31}^{d} \phi_{1} & y_{32}^{d} \phi_{1} & y_{33}^{d} \phi_{2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{R} + \mathbf{h.c} \\ \begin{pmatrix} m_{u}^{0} & 0 & V_{ub}^{0} m_{t}^{0} \\ 0 & m_{c}^{0} & V_{cb}^{0} m_{t}^{0} \\ 0 & 0 & m_{t}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{d}^{0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{b}^{0} & 0 m_{b}^{0} \\ am_{b}^{0} & bm_{b}^{0} & m_{b}^{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $V_{\rm CKM} = V_u^L V_d^{L\dagger}$

Generates flavor changing interactions in the quark sector

$U(1)_{B-L}^{(3)}$

Leptons

13 and 23 off-diagonal couplings forbidden by charge assignments and minimal Higgs sector Can only generate θ_{12} here

$$\mathcal{L}_{yuk}^{\ell} = y_{ij}^{\ell} \overline{L}_i \phi_2 \ell_{Rj} \quad y_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } ij = 13, 23, 31, 32.$$

Mass generation in neutrino sector less constrained

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(\bar{L}_{1,2} \tilde{\phi}_2 \right) \left(\phi_2^{\dagger} \tilde{L}_{1,2} \right), \qquad \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left(\bar{L}_3 \tilde{\phi}_1 \right) \left(\phi_1^{\dagger} \tilde{L}_{1,2} \right) s^*$$

No flavor changing interactions in the lepton sector!



Scalars

	ϕ_1	ϕ_2	S
$SU(2)_L$	2	2	1
$U(1)_Y$	+1	+1	0
$U(1)_{B-L}^{(3)}$	+1/3	0	+1/3

The flavor symmetry is broken by a Higgs doublet its "natural" scale is approximately electroweak

 $U(1)_{B-L}^{(3)}$

Gauge Sector



$$s_{X} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{3}{\sqrt{g^{2} + g^{\prime 2}}} \frac{1}{v^{2}}$$

$$s_{X} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{g^{2} + g^{\prime 2}}} \frac{1}{v^{2}}$$

$$M_X^2 = \frac{1}{9}g_X^2 \left(\frac{v_1^2 v_2^2}{v^2} + v_s^2\right)$$

Small X-Z mixing suggests small g_X and M_X below weak scale $g_X = 10^{-3} \sim 10^{-2}$ would correspond to $M_X = 100 MeV \sim 1 GeV$

New contribution to neutrino matter potential



Flavor changing: D oscillations, top, K and D decays Generation of V_{ub} and V_{cb} in up sector leads to small u-c FC



X couples to third family







New Physics with Neutrinos

- high precision determination of standard neutrino properties
- sensitivity to new neutrino properties and other new physics require:
 - 1. precision measurements of many types
 - 2. high precision theoretical understanding of many issues (e.g. interaction cross sections, analysis framework)
- many complementary observables
- many new signatures to search for

Neutrino mass

Simplest scenario:

right-handed neutrino

Majorana mass $M > v \longrightarrow$ see-saw mechanism

$$m_1 \sim M \qquad m_2 \sim \frac{Y^2 v^2}{M}$$
$$Y_t \sim 1 \qquad M \sim M_{GUT}$$
$$Y_e \sim 10^{-6} \qquad M \sim TeV$$

Other scenarios: $m \sim \frac{Y'^2 v'^2}{M'}$

v' and M' can both be much smaller
Different scenarios
keV, MeV, GeV discussed in different contexts
(hidden sectors, dark matter connections, etc.)