Acceptance of low- Q^2 tagger

Jaroslav Adam October 27, 2020

Abstract

Acceptance of forward electron tagging is given as a function of several kinematics variables. The results are compared between two event generators. The first section introduces the event generators along with characteristics of generated events. Geant4 model is described in the second section. The results are shown in the third section.

Contents

| 1 | Generated samples | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|----|
| | 1.1 | Angular divergence and vertex spread | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Pythia6 | 1 |
| | 1.3 | Quasi-real (QR) photoproduction | 1 |
| | 1.4 | Total cross section as a function of Q^2 | 2 |
| | 1.5 | Properties of generated samples | 3 |
| | 1.6 | Effect of beam angular divergence | 7 |
| 2 | Gea | nt4 model | 9 |
| 3 | Results on the acceptance 10 | | |
| | 3.1 | Acceptance in a single variable | 10 |
| | 3.2 | Acceptance in two variables | 14 |
| 4 | Sum | mary | 18 |
| A | Generator steering cards 19 | | |
| | A.1 | Pythia6 card | 19 |
| | A.2 | Quasi-real card | 21 |
| B | Steering cards for Imon geometry 22 | | |
| | B .1 | Top geometry | 22 |
| | B.2 | Tagger detectors geometry | 22 |
| | B.3 | Beamline layout | 22 |

1 Generated samples

Two samples of scattered electrons, Pythia6 and quasi-real photoproduction, were used for the acceptance. Both samples have 5M events at 18×276 GeV beams. Effects of beam angular divergence and vertex spread were applied to scattered electrons in both samples

1.1 Angular divergence and vertex spread

Smearing by Gaussian rotations in horizontal and vertical directions has been applied to electron 3-momentum in order to implement beam angular divergence. Position at which the scattered electron has been generated was obtained from a set of three Gaussians to account for vertex spread. Details of the procedure are given in ¹ on page 25

1.2 Pythia6

Implementation for ep, the pythiaeRHIC² has been used. Steering card is located in

/afs/rhic.bnl.gov/eic/PACKAGES/PYTHIA-RAD-CORR-32BIT/input.data.ep_noradcor.18x275.eic.FF.used

the output is in

/eicdata/eic0009/PYTHIA/ep/TXTFILES/pythia.ep.18x275.5 Mevents.1. RadCor=0.Q2. all.txt

and the log is

/eicdata/eic0009/PYTHIA/ep/LOGFILES/pythia.ep.18x275.5 Mevents.1. RadCor=0.Q2. all.log

Full steering card is given in Appendix A.1.

Total cross section is 54.7 μ b.

Event sample of scattered electrons with beam effects applied according to Section 1.1 is located in

/gpfs/mnt/gpfs02/eic/jadam/GETaLM_data/py/pythia_ep_18x275_Q2all_beff2_5Mevt.root

This will be the Pythia6 input to Geant4 model for the acceptance.

1.3 Quasi-real (QR) photoproduction

The model is based on a low- Q^2 approximation with Regge fit to the total photon-proton cross section. Description is given in ³ on page 23.

Event generator for quasi-real photoproduction is a part of GETaLM generator ⁴ which implements also beam effects in Section 1.1. This particular implementation of beam effects was also used for Pythia6 sample.

Steering card for GETaLM quasi-real photoproduction is located in

²https://eic.github.io/software/pythia6.html

```
<sup>3</sup>https://indico.bnl.gov/event/8746/contributions/38747/attachments/28859/44620/JA-
```

```
Luminosity_and_tagger_20200618.pdf
```

¹https://indico.bnl.gov/event/8746/contributions/38747/attachments/28859/44620/JA-Luminosity_and_tagger_20200618.pdf

⁴https://github.com/adamjaro/GETaLM

/gpfs/mnt/gpfs02/eic/jadam/GETaLM_data/qr/quasireal.ini

output is in

/gpfs/mnt/gpfs02/eic/jadam/GETaLM_data/qr/qr_18x275_Qe_beff2_5Mevt.root

and the log is

/gpfs/mnt/gpfs02/eic/jadam/GETaLM_data/qr/qr_18x275_Qe_beff2_5Mevt.log

Full steering card for quasi-real sample is given in Appendix A.2.

Total cross section of quasi-real sample is 54.8 μ b, in good agreement with Pythia8 cross section in Section 1.2.

The quasi-real photoproduction will be abbreviated to QR in the following text.

1.4 Total cross section as a function of Q^2

The cross section in Q^2 , in terms of $\log_{10}Q^2$ is shown in Figure 1 for both samples at the top energy 18×275 GeV.



Fig. 1 Total cross section as a function of Q^2 by Pythia6 and quasi-real photoproduction (QR) at 18×275 GeV.

1.5 Properties of generated samples

Generator true event variables and electron kinematics is shown here for both models, Pythia6 and quasi-real photoproduction. The *true* stands for the stage right after event generation, before the beam effects of angular divergence and vertex spread were applied.



Fig. 2 Bjorken-x and inelasticity y for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b). Structure of lines at large negative x in Pythia6 sample is an artifact of limited numerical precision in its ASCII output.



Fig. 3 Electron transverse momentum p_T .



Fig. 4 Electron polar angle θ .



Fig. 5 Electron pseudorapidity η .

Fig. 6 Electron azimuthal angle ϕ .



Fig. 7 Electron energy E (GeV).

Fig. 8 Photon-proton CM energy W (GeV).

80

100

120

Photon-proton CM energy W (GeV)

60



10⁴

10³

0

20

40

Fig. 9 Electron energy E and polar angle θ for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).

Pythia6

140

QR



Fig. 10 Electron ϕ and η for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).

1.6 Effect of beam angular divergence

The divergence alters angular distribution of scattered electrons at small angles. It is seen as modification to polar angles in Fig. 11 ($\theta = \pi$ is direction of electron beam), where a comparison is made between distribution of true generated polar angles and angular distribution after the angular divergence was applied.

A modulation is introduced to originally uniform azimuthal angles, as seen in Fig. 12.

More dramatic behavior is seen in pseudorapidity, Fig. 13. At very small negative η the shape is completely dominated by effect of divergence.



Fig. 11 Presence of angular divergence in polar angle θ for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).



Fig. 12 Presence of angular divergence in azimuthal angle ϕ for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).



Fig. 13 Presence of angular divergence in pseudorapidity η for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).

2 Geant4 model

Two tagger detectors are considered along the drift space between B2eR and Q3eR magnets in the electron outgoing side. Details are given in ⁵ on pages 6 and 18.



Fig. 14 Geant4 model of electron outgoing IR.

The model is shown on Fig. 14. Tagger 1 is placed at z = -24 m, Tagger 2 is placed at z = -37 m. Drift space in gray is shown only for visualization, it is transparent to all particles.

Implementation is done as part of lmon package ⁶ originally created for luminosity monitor (the lmon stands for luminosity monitor). Individual beam magnets are implemented using particular Geant4 classes for dipole and quadrupole magnets. The tagger detectors are represented as a boxes of expected calorimeter dimensions which count particles hitting their volume. Energy and position of hit for each track is stored to make also for position sensitive layer in front of the calorimeter.

Full steering cards to define the geometry layout are given in Appendix B.

Input to Imon Geant4 simulation is scattered electrons from one of the generated samples in Section 1 which include beam effects of angular divergence and vertex spread. The output is an array of hits reported by the models of tagger detectors. Also the true event variables and electron kinematics from the point before the beam effects were applied are propagated to Imon output.

⁵https://indico.bnl.gov/event/8742/contributions/38722/attachments/28757/44422/JA-Low_Q2_tagger_20200615.pdf

⁶https://github.com/adamjaro/lmon

3 Results on the acceptance

Acceptance for Tagger 1 and Tagger 2 is obtained as a ratio of all generated events to events where the electron makes a hit in one of the taggers. All the acceptance plots were produced with plots_kine_acc.py ⁷ macro.

3.1 Acceptance in a single variable

Ratio of events with a hit in one of the taggers is constructed in bins of the particular kinematics variable, leaving full range of all the other variables. At regions of limited statistics the bins are automatically made longer to satisfy an upper limit on binomial error in each bin.



Fig. 15 Acceptance in electron transverse momentum p_T for Tagger 1 (a) and Tagger 2 (b).

⁷https://github.com/adamjaro/lmon/blob/master/macro/plots_kine_acc.py



Fig. 16 Acceptance in electron polar angle θ for Tagger 1 (a) and Tagger 2 (b).



Fig. 17 Acceptance in electron pseudorapidity η for Tagger 1 (a) and Tagger 2 (b).



Fig. 18 Acceptance in electron azimuthal angle ϕ for Tagger 1 (a) and Tagger 2 (b).



Fig. 19 Acceptance in electron energy *E* for Tagger 1 (a) and Tagger 2 (b).



Fig. 20 Acceptance in Q^2 in terms of $\log_{10}(Q^2)$ for Tagger 1 (a) and Tagger 2 (b).

3.2 Acceptance in two variables

The acceptance is constructed in bins combining two variables. In each bin it is a ratio of events with a hit in one of the taggers to number of all generated events in that particular bin.



Fig. 21 Tagger 1 acceptance in E and θ for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).



Fig. 22 Tagger 2 acceptance in E and θ for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).



Fig. 23 Tagger 1 acceptance in ϕ and η for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).



Fig. 24 Tagger 2 acceptance in ϕ and η for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).



Fig. 25 Tagger 1 acceptance in inelasticity y and Bjorken-x for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).



Fig. 26 Tagger 2 acceptance in inelasticity *y* and Bjorken-*x* for Pythia6 (a) and QR (b).

4 Summary

Acceptance of the two proposed tagger detectors has been shown as a function of several kinematics variables. The results are consistent among the two event generators used for this study.

Effect of angular divergence to kinematics of scattered electrons was briefly discussed. Polar angle is slightly affected at angles close to electron beam. A modulation is introduced to distribution of azimuthal angles. More pronounced effect is seen at large negative pseudorapidity.

Both taggers show compatible interval in Q^2 , within 10^{-7} to 10^{-2} GeV², although it is achieved at different range of electron energies. Behavior of acceptance is more complex in the case of Tagger 2, placed further from the interaction point.

A Generator steering cards

A.1 Pythia6 card

```
pythia.ep.18x275.5 Mevents.1. RadCor=0.Q2. all.txt ! output file name
                  ! lepton beam type
11
275, 18
                  ! proton and electron beam energy
50000,100
                ! Number of events
1e-09, 0.99
                  ! xmin and xmax
                  ! ymin and ymax
1e - 09, 1.00
1e-09 , 200
                   ! Q2min and Q2max
F2PY, 1998
                  ! F2-Model, R-Parametrisation
0
                   ! switch for rad corrections; 0:no, 1:yes, 2:gen.lookup table
                   ! Pythia-Model = 0 standard GVMD generation in Pythia-x and Q2; = 1 GVMD model
1
                    with generation in y and Q2 as for radgen
!
1,1
                   ! A–Tar and Z–Tar
1,1
                   ! nuclear pdf parameter1: nucleon mass number A, charge number Z
201
                   ! nuclear pdf parameter2: correction order x*100+y x= 1:LO, 2:NLO y:error set
! PMAS(4,1)=1.27
                   ! charm mass
MSEL=2
!MSEL=0
!MSUB(91)=1
!MSUB(92)=1
!MSUB(93)=1
!MSUB(94) = 1
!MSUB(95)=1
!MSUB(99) = 1
!MSUB(131)=1
!MSUB(132)=1
!MSUB(135)=1
!MSUB(136)=1
MSUB(11) = 1
!MSUB(12)=1
!MSUB(13)=1
!MSUB(28)=1
!MSUB(53)=1
!MSUB(68) = 1
MSTP(14) = 30
MSTP(15)=0
MSTP(16)=1
MSTP(17)=4 ! MSTP 17=6 is the R-rho measured as by hermes, =4 Default
MSTP(18)=3
MSTP(19)=1 ! Hermes MSTP-19=1 different Q2 suppression, default = 4
MSTP(20)=0 ! Hermes MSTP(20)=0 , default MSTP(20)=3
MSTP(32)=8
MSTP(38)=4
!MSTP(51)=10150 ! if pdflib is linked than non pythia-pdfs are available, like MSTP(51)=4046
MSTP(51) = 7
             ! ---> pdflib used MSTP
MSTP(52)=1
                                         52=2
MSTP(53)=3
MSTP(54)=1
MSTP(55)=5
MSTP(56)=1
MSTP(57)=1
MSTP(58)=5
MSTP(59)=1
MSTP(60) = 7
MSTP(61)=2
MSTP(71) = 1
MSTP(81)=0
MSTP(82)=1
MSTP(91)=1
MSTP(92)=3
                ! hermes MSTP(92)=4
MSTP(93)=1
```

| MSTP(101)=3 MSTP(102)=1 MSTP(111)=1 MSTP(121)=0 ! Now all the PARPs PARP(13)=1 PARP(13)=1 PARP(13)=1 PARP(81)=1.9 PARP(89)=1800 PARP(90)=0.16 PARP(90)=0.16 PARP(91)=0.40 PARP(93)=5. PARP(93)=5. PARP(100)=5 PARP(102)=0.28 PARP(102)=0.28 PARP(103)=1.0 PARP(103)=1.0 PARP(104)=0.8 PARP(161)=3.00 PARP(161)=3.00 PARP(164)=11.5 PARP(164)=11.5 PARP(164)=11.5 PARP(164)=11.5 PARP(101)=1 PARP(101)=1 PARP(101)=1 PARP(104)=11.5 PARP(104)=11.5 PARP(104)=11.5 PARP(104)=11.5 PARP(104)=11.5 PARP(104)=11.5 PARP(104)=1.5 PARP(104)= |
|--|
| PARP(165)=0.47679 |
| PARP(166)= 0.67597 ! PARP165/166 are linked to MSTP17 as R_rho of HERMES is used ! PARP(166)= 0.5 |
| ! Now come all the switches for Jetset |
| PARJ(1) = 0.100 |
| PARJ(2)=0.300 PARJ(11)=0.5 |
| PARJ(12)=0.6 |
| PARJ(21) = 0.40 |
| PARJ(32) = 1.0 |
| PARJ(33) = 0.80 |
| PARJ(41) = 0.30 PARJ(42) = 0.58 |
| PARJ(42) = 0.38 PARJ(45) = 0.5 |
| L |
| MSTJ(1)=1 |
| MSTJ(12)=1 |
| MSTJ(45)=5 |
| MSIU(16)=2 MSIU(112)=5 |
| MSTU(112)=5 MSTU(113)=5 |
| MSTU(114)=5 |
| ! — Now all the CKINs for pythia — |
| $\operatorname{CKIN}(1)=1.$ |
| CKIN(2) = -1. |
| CKIN(3) = 0. CKIN(4) = -1 |
| CKIN(4) = 1. CKIN(5) = 1.00 |
| CKIN(6) = 1.00 |
| CKIN(7) = -10. |
| CKIN(8)=10. |
| CKIN(9) = -40. |
| CKIN(10) = 40. |
| CKIN(12) = 40. |
| CKIN(13) = -40. |
| CKIN(14) = 40. |
| CKIN(15) = -40. CKIN(16) = -40. |
| CKIN(17) = -1. |
| CKIN(18) = 1. |
| CKIN(19) = -1. |
| CKIN(20) = 1. |
| CKIN(21)=0. |

CKIN(22)=1.CKIN(23)=0.CKIN(24)=1.CKIN(25) = -1.CKIN(26)=1. CKIN(27) = -1.CKIN(28)=1.CKIN(31)=2.CKIN(32) = -1.CKIN(35)=0.CKIN(36) = -1CKIN(37)=0.CKIN(38) = -1.CKIN(39)=4.CKIN(40) = -1.CKIN(65) = 1.e - 09! Min for Q^2 ! Max for Q^2 CKIN(66) = -1.CKIN(67)=0.CKIN(68) = -1.CKIN(77) = 2.0CKIN(78) = -1.

A.2 Quasi-real card

[main]

```
Ee = 18 ; energy of electron beam, GeV
Ep = 275; proton beam, GeV
#range in x
xmin = 1e - 11
xmax = 1
#range in y
ymin = 1e-4
ymax = 0.99
#minimal W, GeV
Wmin = 2
#range in Q^2
Q2min = 1e-9
Q2max = 1e7
#select the quasi-real parametrization
model = "quasi-real"
#number of events to generate
nev = 5000000
#output file name
nam = "qr_18x275_Qe_beff2_5Mevt"
[beam_effects]
#beam effects of angular divergence and emittance
use_beam_effects = true ; apply beam effects, true or false
theta_x = 163e-6; angular divergence in x, rad theta_y = 202e-6; angular divergence in y, rad
sig_x = 0.236; vertex spread in x, mm sig_y = 0.0162; vertex spread in y, mm
sig_z = 17.0; vertex spread in z, mm
```

B Steering cards for Imon geometry

B.1 Top geometry

```
#beamline
include ../config/geom_beamline.in
#low Q^2 taggers
include ../config/geom_lowQ2.in
#common top volume
new top topmain
```

topmain.xsiz = 5000 # mm topmain.ysiz = 5000 # mm topmain.zsiz = 100000 # mm

B.2 Tagger detectors geometry

```
# geom_lowQ2.in:
# Tagger 1
new BoxCalV2 lowQ2s1
lowQ2s1.zpos = -24000 # mm, position of front face in z
lowQ2s1.xpos = 528.56 # mm, front face in x
lowQ2s1.xsiz = 400 # mm, tagger size in x
lowQ2s1.ysiz = 400 # mm, tagger size in y
lowQ2s1.rot_y = -0.018332 # rad, rotation in x-z plane by rotation along y
# Tagger 2
new BoxCalV2 lowQ2s2
lowQ2s2.zpos = -37000 # mm, position of front face in z
lowQ2s2.xpos = 661.88 # mm, front face in x
lowQ2s2.xsiz = 300 # mm, tagger size in x
lowQ2s2.ysiz = 200 # mm, tagger size in y
lowQ2s2.rot_y = -0.018332 # rad, rotation in x-z plane by rotation along y
```

B.3 Beamline layout

geom_beamline.in:

new BeamMagnetV2 B2eR # B2eR beam dipole

new BoxCalV2 Q3eR # marker at Q3eR location

 $Q3eR.zpos = -37995.904 \ \mbox{mm}$ $Q3eR.xpos = 472.033 \ \mbox{mm}$ $Q3eR.rot_y = -0.018332 \ \mbox{mm}$ Q3eR.zsiz = 1 $Q3eR.xsiz = 700 \ \mbox{mm}$ $Q3eR.ysiz = 700 \ \mbox{mm}$ $Q3eR.vis_full = 0$

```
new BeamQuadrupole Q1eR # Q1eR quadrupole
 Q1eR.zpos = -6200 \# mm
  Q1eR.length = 1800 \# mm
 Q1eR.r1 = 66 \# mm
 Q1eR.r2 = 79 \# mm
 Q1eR.grad = 13.428092 \# T/m
new BeamQuadrupole Q2eR # Q2eR quadrupole
 Q2eR.zpos = -8300 \# mm
 Q2eR.length = 1400 \# mm
 Q2eR.r1 = 83 \# mm
 Q2eR.r2 = 94 \# mm
 Q2eR.grad = -12.850280 \# T/m
new ConeAperture beampipe # beam aperture in front of quadrupole magnets
  beampipe.zpos = -3480 \text{ \# mm}, front face of the cone along z
  beampipe.length = 1817 # mm, cone length
  beampipe.r1 = 80 # mm, inner radius closer to the IP
  beampipe.r2 = 66 \# \text{ mm}, inner radius further from the IP
  beampipe.dr = 2 \# mm, cone radial thickness
new ConeAperture central_beampipe # beam pipe in front of ecal
  central_beampipe.zpos = 0 # mm
  central_beampipe.length = 3480
  central_beampipe.r1 = 66
  central_beampipe.r2 = 66
  central_beampipe.dr = 2
new ConeAperture rear_beampipe # beam after B2eR
  rear_beampipe.zpos = -15010 # mm, entrance position in z
  rear_beampipe.xpos = 50.42 \text{ } \# \text{ mm}, entrance position in x
  rear_beampipe.length = 22980 # total length
  rear_beampipe.angle = -0.018332 # rad
  rear_beampipe.r1 = 139 # mm, B2eR exit radius
  rear_beampipe.r2 = 40 # mm, Q3eR entrance radius
  rear_beampipe.dr = 2 # mm, vessel thickness
```

rear_beampipe.transparent = 1 # transparent for particles