Charm Jet Tagging in ATHENA

A look at fast simulation in Delphes/delphes_EIC

Stephen Sekula (SMU) Presented at the ATHENA Jets/Heavy Flavor/EW-BSM WG Meeting - June 8, 2021





Basic Ideas

Charm quarks (e.g. produced from CC DIS s->c reactions) contain long-lived heavy charm hadrons that produce displaced substructures (vertices) within the jet.

For now, focus on simple approaches (displaced track counting). SMU summer research students looking now at kaon PID and electron PID approaches to enhance this method. Vertexing, etc. on the table as well -> approaches less clear there in Delphes (for now).

Former student Jared Burleson investigated jet substructure and tagging -> observed gains over just using displaced tracks -> aim for all inclusive machine learning approach -> assess robustness against detector design changes, etc.



Image from ATLAS Experiment (<u>arXiv:2106.03584</u>). Depicted for pp collisions and b-jets, but applies equally well to charm jets at ep collider.

Signed 3-D Impact Parameter Significance

3-D impact parameter significance easily defined for track inside jet:

$$IP_{3D} = \sqrt{(d_0/\sigma_{d_0})^2 + (z_0/\sigma_{z_0})^2}$$

The sign of this quantity is determined from the sign of this dot-product:

$$\vec{p}_j \cdot \vec{r}_0^{track}$$

For real displaced tracks from charm hadrons ($c\tau$ =100-300µm), this dot product will tend to be positive as the POCA on the track will point parallel to the jet momentum; for prompt decays from light jets, this product will be randomly positive or negative.

Secondary Vertex Primary Vertex 3

Software Framework

- <u>PYTHIA8(.305)</u> for CC DIS collisions (ep at 10 on 275 GeV) -> 20 million collisions
- <u>DELPHES</u> for fast simulation of final-state particles/smearing for detector effects
- <u>delphes EIC</u> for ATHENA-like detector configuration, including tracking, PID, ECAL, and HCAL.
 - All-silicon tracker model (momentum smearing and resolution), 3T magnetic field.
- <u>OLeAA</u> for analysis of DELPHES files
 - A better version of a simple analysis framework I sketched up last year -> still very much a work-in-progress (OLeAA = Own Little e-A Analysis)
 - Analyze small output files in Jupyter/UPROOT



Charm Jet Tagging

Adopted without change the approach in <u>Phys.</u> <u>Rev. D 103, 074023 (2021)</u>.

- "Tagged" if ≥ 2 tracks with: $p_T > 0.5$ GeV/c, $sIP_{3D} > 3$, and $r_0 < 3mm$.
- *sIP*_{3D} > 3, and r₀ < 3mm.
 In previous work (1.5T field, EIC YR baseline detector model), this yielded 20% (0.4%) charm (light+strange) jet efficiency.
- In current all-silicon + 3T model, same basic performance observed: 19.4% (0.42%) charm (light+strange) jet efficiency.



Next Steps

- We know from our previous work (assuming only a conservative across-the-board 3σ K/pi, etc. separation) that PID boosts jet tagging efficiency
 - **SMU Hamilton Research Scholar Stephanie Gilchrist** will revisit the impact of identified Kaons on jet tagging
 - **Highland Park High School summer intern Justine Choi** will revisit the impact of identified Electrons on jet tagging, with emphasis on calorimetry-based approaches to electron ID.
- I will investigate beam crossing, etc. effects (which will affect all downstream work, of course), as well as vertex reconstruction and jet substructure approaches.
 - Combine this in a simple neural network (already in place) to see how different components contribute and to assess robustness of arbitrary multivariate approaches.
 - Iterate as simulations changes/improves and as full simulation is available.
- <u>Translate tagging yield into impact on charm jet population from 1 year of EIC collisions -> impact on intrinsic strangeness assessment</u>