Thoughts on ATHENA inclusive group work plan

ATHENA inclusive meeting 26 July 2021

Paul Newman (Birmingham)

Most pressing question: What do we actually need to evaluate for detector configuration benchmarking

Also: what else should we aim to do for proposal?

HERA (well, H1) systematic sources

Typically (though every detector / measurement different) ...

Point-to-point correlated sources:

- Electron energy scale
- Electron angle
- Hadronic energy scale / noise
- Photoproduction background (~ electron ID)
- Luminosity

Uncorrelated sources:

- Various efficiencies (electron track, vertexing, trigger)
- Radiative corrections
- Additional low y (< 0.01) effects

Point-to-Point (%) Normalization (%) Statistics (10 fb⁻¹) 0.01-0.35 ~1 Luminosity -~1 (for 90% purity) Electron Purity -**Bin-Centering** < 0.5 < 0.5 Radiative Corrections (HERA) 1 Acceptance / Bin-Migration + 1-2 2-4 Trigger & Tracking Eff. + Charge-Symmetric Background 2 Additional uncertainty for y<0.01 bins Total 1.5-2.3 2.5-4.3 (2.5-3 for y<0.01)

N.C. systematic uncertainties

Summary / simplified version for Yellow Report studies \rightarrow

Dominant sources at H1

Intermediate y (electron method works well, electron ID unproblematic): - electron energy scale

High y (low E'_e, hadrons dominantly in outgoing electron direction, radiative corrections explode for electron method):
- photoproduction background

Low y (high E'_e, electron method resolution poor, hadrons dominantly in outgoing proton direction)

- hadronic energy scale / noise

Notes:

- Hadronic energy scale calibrated against the electron ... so depends on electron energy scale as well as response to hadrons

- Photoproduction background was a modelling uncertainty (dedicated γp MCs such as PHOJET) ... background mostly fake electrons ³

e.g. Electron Energy Scale Calibration



Precision depends on statistics for various studies as well as electron energy resolution

What to evaluate for benchmarking?

- Resolution in (x,Q^2) with e,h,DA, Σ methods throughout full kinematic phase space
- Electron ID efficiency and background contamination
- Resolution on electron energy (ECAL)
- (maybe) electron angle resolution from tracker, track reconstruction efficiency ...

Other systematics not so obviously dependent on expected variations in detector design?

What else to evaluate (more for proposal)?

- Performance for low Q² DIS
- Dependence on magnetic field
- Sensitivity to QED radiative corrections
- Sensitivity to beam effects (crossing angle, crab rotation, beam energy spread, beam divergence, bunch length)

 $\sqrt{s} = 45 \,\text{GeV}$

- Propagation to PDF sensitivity?

