

Recent Results from MINOS and MINOS+



Leigh Whitehead University College London

Friday 30th October

NNN2015, Stony Brook



Outline

- Introduction to MINOS and MINOS+
- MINOS+ v_{μ} disappearance analysis
- MINOS sterile neutrino analysis
- Other results and prospects for MINOS+
- Summary

The MINOS+ Experiment

Soudan

MN

Duluth ^D

IA

MO

WI

Fermilab

IL

MI

IN

Madison

- MINOS+ is a long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment
 - Continuation of MINOS in the NOvA era
- Exposed by the NuMI beam from Fermilab
- Two detectors: Near and Far
 - Detectors are both magnetised steel / scintillator sampling calorimeters
- Compare event spectra between the two detectors to study neutrino oscillations
- Can run in neutrino or anti-neutrino modes



Far Detector (FD)

735km from source

Near Detector (ND)

1km from source

5.4kt

1kt

The FNAL NuMI

• 120 GeV protons from the main injector are focussed onto the target



- Horns and target positioned for the medium energy tune.
 - MINOS+ on axis with a wide energy peak.
 - NOvA has a very narrow peak since it is off-axis from the beam.



NuMI Beam Performance

- The upgraded NuMI beam has been running since September 2013.
 - Upgraded to provide the NOvA beam in medium energy mode.



- With MINOS, in the low energy beam configuration, collected:
 - 10.71x10²⁰ POT neutrino mode.
 - 3.36x10²⁰ POT anti-neutrino mode.

Over 6x10²⁰ POT collected for MINOS+ and counting!

Event Topologies

• Expect three classes of events inside the detectors.



Identify muon track and use curvature to measure the sign.

Momentum comes from range or curvature (if not contained).

Compact electromagnetic shower.

Disperse hadronic shower energy deposits.

UCL

7

MINOS+ v_µ Disappearance Analysis

Leigh Whitehead - NNN2015

MINOS / MINOS+ Recap

• Last year we showed the final MINOS combined result combined with additional MINOS+ atmospheric data.



• We have now processed the first 2.99x10²⁰ POT of MINOS+ beam data.

MINOS+ v_µ **Disappearance Analysis**

- Data sample corresponds to the first year of MINOS+ running.
 - 2.99x10²⁰ POT

Near Detector

Far Detector



• Measure the beam in the ND, then extrapolate to predict what should be seen at the FD.

MINOS+ Disappearance Analysis

• Fitted the first year of MINOS+ beam data and compared the result to that from the final MINOS fit.



- Clear to see that the MINOS best fit describes the MINOS+ data.
 - Strong test of the oscillation paradigm away from the maximum.

MINOS+ Disappearance Analysis

• Fitted the MINOS+ beam data and compared the result to that from the final MINOS fit.



- Clear to see that the MINOS best fit describes the MINOS+ data.
 - Only a difference of 1.3 chi-square units between the two best fit points.

^AUCL

MINOS Sterile Neutrino Analysis

Leigh Whitehead - NNN2015

Sterile Neutrinos

 Δm^2 (eV²)

- There have been anomalous results in various low energy short baseline appearance and reactor experiments
 - Look with long-baseline: Higher energy and different systematics
- Oscillations to a 4th light neutrino could explain results
- A sterile neutrino would be seen in MINOS as an energy dependent reduction in event rate
 - In both neutral-current (NC) and charged-current (CC) channels
- MINOS mostly sensitive to Δm_{43}^2 and θ_{24} , but also to θ_{34}



Sterile Neutrinos in MINOS

- Think of potential oscillations in categories:
- Small Δm²₄₃
 - Expect spectral distortions in the FD in the high energy tail
 - No ND effects
- Medium Δm_{43}^2
 - Rapid oscillations in the FD average out
 - No ND effects
 - Counting experiment
- Large Δm²₄₃
 - Rapid oscillations in the FD average out
 - Spectral distortions in the ND
 - Simple extrapolation no longer works



Sterile Neutrinos in MINOS

- Perform combined fit of the NC and CC samples
 - 2712 v_{μ} -CC-like events in FD
 - 1221 NC-like events in FD
- For NC selection, define test statistic
 Predicted Background

 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 Predicted Background
 <



Predicted Signal

- 0 40 GeV: $R=1.075\pm0.107$
- 0 3 GeV $R=1.109\pm0.096$
- No evidence for a sterile neutrino at Δm²₄₃ ≈ 0.5 eV²



Full Four Flavour Fit

- Assume 3+1 scenario
 - Apply oscillations for ND and FD
 - Account for meson decay position
 - Fit for $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$, θ_{23} , $|\Delta m^2_{43}|$, θ_{24} , θ_{34}
- Due to potential ND oscillations, fit expected F/N ratio to data F/N ratio
 - Standard extrapolation technique not applicable in this case
 - Additional constraint on ND rate
- Use Feldman-Cousins method to obtain the confidence limits
- Careful study of systematics in the high energy tail



Systematic Uncertainties

• 26 systematic uncertainties included in the fit via a covariance matrix

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (o_{i} - e_{i})^{T} [V^{-1}]_{ij} (o_{j} - e_{j})$$

- o_i : Observed events in bin i = V: Covariance matrix
- e_i : Predicted events in bin i
- Systematics come from both CC and NC selections.
 - Many sources: selection, energy scale cross-sections, normalistion, beam flux etc



MINOS Sterile Neutrino Result

• Comparison of the MINOS result with disappearance searches in $|\Delta m^2_{43}|$, θ_{24} space.



- MINOS limit stretches over 5 orders of magnitude in $|\Delta m^2_{43}|$
 - For values $|\Delta m^2_{43}| < 1.0 \text{eV}^2$, strongest limit on v_{μ} to v_s disappearance

MINOS Sterile Neutrino Result

- To compare the MINOS result with appearance searches, can combine with Bugey, a reactor disappearance experiment.
- MINOS measures |U_{µ4}|
- Bugey measured |U_{e4}|
- Bugey contour is that calculated by P. Huber with updated reactor fluxes.
- Systematics assumed to be orthogonal between the experiments.



• Tension between the disappearance and appearance experiments.

LOUL

Other Results and Prospects

Leigh Whitehead - NNN2015

MINOS Large Extra Dimensions

- We can use the MINOS sterile neutrino selection to search for extra dimensions.
 - Model by N. Arkani-Hamed et al^[1].
- Two additional parameters:
 - Size of the extra dimension, a.
 - Mass of the lightest neutrino state, m₀.

[1] N. Arkani-Hamed et al. , Phys. Lett. B 429, 263 (1998):



Leigh Whitehead - NNN2015

MINOS Large Extra Dimensions

• We can use the MINOS sterile neutrino selection to search for extra dimensions.



- Strongest limit on this LED model from neutrino oscillation experiments.
- In the limit of $m_0 = 0$, size of LED must be less than 0.35µm

MINOS Sterile Anti-Neutrinos

- We're also working on an antineutrino sterile neutrino search.
 - Sensitivity shown only includes the CC anti-neutrino sample.



- Expect improvements from two samples:
 - NC sample from the anti-neutrino beam configuration.
 - CC anti-neutrinos selected from the neutrino beam mode.

MINOS+ Sterile v_e Appearance

• Take advantage of the higher energy spectrum to search for enhanced appearance signals arising from a sterile neutrino.



- Look above 6 GeV where the background is reduced.
 - Expect a fairly large enhancement compared to the 3-flavour signal.

MINOS+ Sterile v_e Appearance

- Sensitive to θ_{24} and θ_{14} , hence directly comparable to LSND and MiniBooNE.



- Look above 6 GeV where the background is reduced.
 - Expect a fairly large enhancement compared to the 3-flavour signal.

MINOS+ Sterile v_e Appearance

• Take advantage of the higher energy spectrum to search for enhanced appearance signals arising from a sterile neutrino.



• Sensitivity to exclude the LSND signal region below 0.15eV² at 90% C.L.

Summary

- MINOS+ disappearance search in good agreement with the values fitted by MINOS.
 - MINOS still holds the best atmospheric mass-splitting measurement (but coming under increasing pressure!).
- Very strong limits on sterile neutrinos below 1eV²
- Still data being analysed from MINOS:
 - MINOS sterile anti-neutrinos
- More exciting prospects to come with the full MINOS+ data sample:
 - Combined MINOS and MINOS+ disappearance measurement
 - $\circ~$ At least an extra year of data on top of what was shown here
 - Sterile disappearance and appearance search
 - Large extra dimensions
 - Non-standard interactions

Thank You



DICL

1000

Backup Slides

Leigh Whitehead - NNN2015

MINOS Sterile Sensitivity

• The sensitivity expected from the simulation:



MINOS+ Sterile Sensitivities

• Can expect a good improvement over the MINOS limits:



MINOS Sterile Analysis: Meson Decay

• Mesons decay at varying points along the decay pipe. This has a large effect on the ND in terms of the baseline.



MINOS+ NSI Sensitivity

Non-standard interactions provide a framework to accommodate deviations from standard oscillations

$$H = U_{PMNS} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{2E} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{2E} \end{bmatrix} U_{PMNS}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{2}G_F n_e \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \epsilon_{ee} & \epsilon_{e\mu} & \epsilon_{e\tau} \\ \epsilon_{e\mu}^* & \epsilon_{\mu\pi} & \epsilon_{\mu\tau} \\ \epsilon_{e\tau}^* & \epsilon_{\mu\tau}^* & \epsilon_{\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}$$
• MINOS+ sensitive to $\epsilon_{\mu\tau}$
from v_{μ} disappearance
MINOS+ anti-neutrino data
would give a real boost.
Even with just neutrinos, a
decent improvement is
expected.
$$Even with just neutrinos, a decent improvement is expected.$$
$$U_{PMNS}^{\dagger} = \frac{2.8}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} MINOS+ Preliminary Sensitivity \\ 2.4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2.2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \epsilon_{ee} & \epsilon_{e\mu} & \epsilon_{e\tau} \\ \epsilon_{\mu\tau} \\ \epsilon_{\tau} \\ \epsilon_{\tau} \\ \epsilon_{\tau} \\ \epsilon_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \epsilon_{ee} & \epsilon_{e\mu} \\ \epsilon_{\mu\tau} \\ \epsilon_$$

Detector Technology

- Detectors built from alternating planes:
 - 2.54cm steel absorber ~1.4 X₀
 - 1cm thick scintillator.
- Scintillator planes:
 - Made from plastic scintillator bars, each 4.1cm wide.
 - Read out by multi-anode PMTs via WLS fibres.
 - Alternating layers have bars in orthogonal directions views, U and V
- Magnetic field allows for charge separation.
 - Both detectors have average field of 1.3T



Three Flavour Analysis – Methodology I

• Use the ND to predict the FD un-oscillated spectrum



• The extrapolation to the FD requires a few steps...

Three Flavour Analysis – Methodology II

- Starting with the ND data:
 - Correct for ND purity and efficiency and apply reco-true matrix
 - Account for cross-sections, POT and ND mass
- Need to account for beam differences now
 - The energy spectrum differs between the two detectors
 - Different angular acceptances
 - Low energy pions decay upstream in the decay pipe.
 - FD sees a point source
 - ND sees an extended source



Three Flavour Analysis – Methodology III

• Apply the beam matrix to extrapolate to the FD.



- Then apply the FD specific corrections.
 - These are the analogues to those shown previously for the ND
- Provides the un-oscillated prediction at the FD