

Photo: Reider Hahn

#### Neutrino Beam Optimization NNN15

Laura Fields Northwestern University

31 October 2015

#### Outline

Overview of Beam Optimization

Specific Beam Optimization Efforts

✤ LBNF/DUNE

\* BNB

J-PARC Neutrino Beamline
NuStorm

This is not intended to be an exhaustive description of all current beam optimization work, but an overview of some of the work that is going on in this very interesting field!

#### Outline

Overview of Beam Optimization

Specific Beam Optimization Efforts

LBNF/DUNE

✤ BNB

J-PARC Neutrino Beamline
NuStorm

If you know of other optimization efforts, I'd love to hear about them! <u>laurajfields@gmail.com</u> This is not intended to be an exhaustive description of all current beam optimization work, but an overview of some of the work that is going on in this very interesting field!



Conventional neutrino beamlines have a lot of configurable parameters

- Primary proton beam parameters, off -axis angle
- Target shape, size and material
- Focusing horn shape and placement
- Dimensions of decay volume



- First: what exactly do we mean by beam optimization?
  - Can be factorized into two pieces:
    - Optimizing the number of protons on target
    - Doing the best you can with your protons

- First: what exactly do we mean by beam optimization?
  - Can be factorized into two pieces:
    - Maximizing the number of protons on target
    - Doing the best you can with your protons

This is a **pretty straightforward** (if difficult to solve!) problem — we always want more protons

There are **big efforts** at all neutrino beamlines to increase beam power

- First: what exactly do we mean by beam optimization?
  - Can be factorized into two pieces:
    - Maximizing the number of protons on target
    - Doing the best you can with your protons

This is has a **less obvious** solution, but can be very cost effective and is the primary focus of my talk today

Although it is of course **coupled to beam power** — the focusing system has to be able to withstand the many stresses created by the proton beam

- The next question: what exactly is "the best" beam?
  - Also not a straightforward question
  - Ideally, it would mean the beam that gives the best physics measurements but:
    - We always want to make a bunch of measurements with one beam, so have to choose one (or a very small number) of quantities to maximize
    - Have to take into account cost and engineering limitations

"Beam Optimization" is clearly a **complicated concept** 

For the purposes of this talk, it primarily means: "How do we **maximize our physics per proton**"

And I'm going to focus on the physics of **neutrino oscillations** 

- How most beams have been configured:
  - Choose the energy region where you want to study neutrinos (frequently the region where you want to look for neutrino oscillations)
  - Identify designs that maximize neutrinos in the region using basic simulations of the beam and calculations, balancing neutrino yield against:
    - Technical feasibility

#### $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ oscillation probabilities for the LBNF/DUNE baseline



\* Cost

9

**DUNE CDR** 

#### This strategy has been a huge success!



- But we are entering a new era for two reasons
  - Advances in computing power have made it feasible to do detailed simulations of many, many beam options
    - And to simulate not just the number of expected neutrinos, but detailed estimates how how well each beam accomplishes many different physics goals





**JUNE CDR** 

5

201

FNAL User's Meeting

- Also, intense neutrino beams mean we have to worry about a lot more than signal statistics
  - High energy and wrong sign backgrounds, systematic uncertainties, energy resolution



 What we'd like to do is to simulate a bunch of beam configurations, estimate the physics performance of each configuration, and pick the best one



 But considering e.g. just 20 parameters, each with 20 possible values, scanning over the available phase space would take much longer than the lifetime of the universe, even with very fast simulations.

We can speed things up with modern algorithms, e.g. a genetic algorithm:

- This algorithm views each beam configuration as an organism; initially, a population with randomly generated traits is simulated
- Configurations are judged based on fitness (number of neutrinos or some physics deliverable) and mated together to form new (and better) configurations



 Repeating this survival-of-the-fittest procedure over many generations eventually converges on a optimal beam design



We know these algorithms give us good beam designs

We can **never know** whether they have given us **the best** possible beam designs

#### LBNF/DUNE

#### LBNF Overview

 "First truly international mega-science project hosted in the United States"



 60-120 GeV protons from Fermilab's Main Injector, to DUNE detectors in Illinois and South Dakota

## **LBNF Beam Optimization**

Has also implemented a genetic algorithm that optimizes a fast approximation of CP sensitivity



Considered dramatically different first focusing horn shape (known to effectively focus low energy particles and based on previous work by T2K and LBNO), also modifications to target, primary proton beam and second focusing horns Fast approximation **reduces computation time** from ~ a week to ~ an hour, and tracks full simulation well



## **LBNF Beam Optimization**



Minimum Mass Hierarchy Sensitivity

200

400

600

Exposure (kt-MW-years)

800 1000 1200 1400

# **DUNE Beam Optimization**

Preferred beam has significant changes from baseline design:



- Substantial changes to the shape, size and position of horns longer and wider horns
- A much longer target (> 1.5 m vs 1 m in baseline)
- Larger target chase (~20 m) needed to accommodate optimized horns (now included in baseline design)
- Target transverse dimensions and proton beam not substantially altered

## **DUNE Beam Optimization**

#### Plans to increase beam power:



PIP-II replaces upstream portion of linac feeding into 8 GeV Booster: 1.03 MW at 60 GeV 1.07 MW at 80 GeV 1.20 MW at 120 GeV

Further upgrades (PIP-III) would replace booster with Rapid Cycling Synchrotron (RCS) or SC Linac. Currently in R&D stage.

 $\geq$  2.0 MW at 60 GeV  $\geq$  2.3 MW at 120 GeV M. Bishai HINT2015

Ready by 2025

24 / 35

#### BNB

F

#### **BNB** Overview





- BNB provides beam for MicroBooNE
  - And eventually to SBND and ICARUS
  - Utilizes an 8 GeV proton beam and a single focusing horn

## **BNB Beam Optimization**

- Optimization strategy
  - Identify upgrade options that fit within current enclosure with minimal changes (single horn, less than 3.5 m long) and cost < ~ \$6 M</li>
  - Used a genetic algorithm optimizing total number of events:
    - A relatively small number of parameters (~10)
    - A streamlined simulation of the beam line using simple tracking and reuse of decaying hadrons

### **BNB Beam Optimization**

Option 1:

 A new horn with modified inner conductor shape, but length equal to the current horn





FNAL PAC Jun 2015

#### **BNB Beam Optimization**

Option 2:

A longer horn with modified inner conductor shape



Increases flux in peak by up to ~50% depending on current



FNAL PAC Jun 2015

Total increase in peak flux is **up to 200%**, adding in proposed upgrades to power supplies that would enable **opportunistic use of Fermilab protons** (up to 15 Hz from 5 Hz). All options currently under **further study** by BNB team <sup>26</sup>

#### J-PARC Neutrino Beamline



- 30 GeV Proton beam
  - Three horn focusing system
  - Provides beam T2K
     detectors (INGRID,
     ND280, Super
     Kamiokande)



The same beam will host the proposed Hyper-Kamiokande experiment:



Slightly different location than SuperK, Same off-axis angle 25 times larger fiducial volume

#### Beam Upgrade Plans

#### Much effort focused on improving beam power

Beam Power	# of protons/pulse	Rep. rate
350 kW (achieved)	$1.8 \times 10^{14}$	2.48 sec.
750 kW (proposed) [original plan]	$2.0 \times 10^{14}$ [ $3.3 \times 10^{14}$ ]	1.30 sec. [2.10 sec.]
1.3 MW (proposed)	$3.2 \times 10^{14}$	1.16 sec.

Running at 1.3 MW will require many beam upgrades, such as:

- New cooling water pumps
- Improved stripline cooling
- Removal of hydrogen produced in horns
- Radiation studies, Reinforcement of air-tightness

#### Also planning to increase horn currents:

#### Horn current

- 250 kA operation for physics data taking since 2010.
  - Mainly due to refurbishment of old K2K PS (rated 250 kA).

#### Current increase: 250 kA $\Rightarrow$ 320 kA (rated)

- 10 % improvement of neutrino flux at far detector
- $5\sim10\%$  reduction of wrong-sign neutrinos around  $E_v$  peak



Other horn and target configuration studies ongoing, but not yet public Have also considered alternate off-axis angles<sup>31</sup>

T. Sekiguchi HINT2015

#### NuStorm

## NuStorm Overview

Not a conventional neutrino beam, but still a cool example of neutrino beam optimization:



Very **well understood fluxes**, lower backgrounds, for neutrino cross sections and sterile searches

Neutrinos from both  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_e$  and  $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$ 

## NuStorm Overview

Not a conventional neutrino beam, but still a cool example of neutrino beam optimization:



**Key requirement** of focusing horn: collect pions that will decay to **muons that are within the angular and momentum acceptance** of the muon beamline

Quite a **different situation than NuMI** (for which the horn was designed)

# NuStorm Optimization

Developed fast horn-shape optimization metric that only requires tracking pions to end of horn, and implemented a "multi-objective" genetic algorithm:



Number of muons within angular acceptance

Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A794 (2015) 200-205

# NuStorm Optimization

Another idea: "Pion Injection Line": eliminate muon storage ring and optimize just pion straight



Ao's new FODO PIL

- Becomes feasible to consider for long-baseline experiments
  - Well understood flux (measured by beamline)
  - Optimization ongoing now



#### Conclusion

- Neutrino Beamline Optimization is a fascinating subject
  - And one with big payoffs
- Modern computing power and algorithms, plus clever simulation shortcuts are showing us how to dramatically improve existing and future beamlines
  - Requires that extensive engineering studies proceed in parallel with simulations
- Beamline optimization offers benefits beyond just increases in flux
  - Background reduction, more desirable energy spectra, etc
  - And can be an economical alternative to increasing detector size or protons on target

#### Thank You!

# NuStorm Acceptance

- Transverse acceptance:
  - 2000 μm rad (or expressed as 2 mm)And one with big payoffs
- Momentum Acceptance:
  - ✤ +/- 10% of 5 GeV/c for pions and 3.8 GeV/c for muons
- The number of pions within +/-10% of 5 GeV/c after the horn is 0.29 / POT
- The number of muons within the acceptance of the ring is 0.013 per POT.
  - Optimization increases this to 0.015