| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0000 | 00 | 0000 | 0000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Recent Work on Thermal Scattering Covariance Generation and Compression

¹Aaron G. Tumulak, ²Dorothea Wiarda, ²Andrew M. Holcomb, ¹Brian C. Kiedrowski

¹Department of Nuclear Engineering and Radiological Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

²Nuclear Energy and Fuel Cycle Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN

CSEWG Nuclear Data Week(s) - November 15, 2021

| In | tro | du | cti | on |
|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| 0 | 00 | | | |

Data Compression

Table of Contents

Introduction



3 Data Compression

- Data Generation
- Principal Component Analysis
- Reconstruction Error



| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| ●000 | 00 | 0000 | 0000 |
| Mativation | | | |

- How does is a quantity f affected by uncertainty in x_1, \ldots, x_N ?
- Expand f about $\tilde{f} = f(\tilde{x}_1, \dots \tilde{x}_N)$:

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \tilde{f} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \left(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1 \right) + \ldots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N} \left(x_2 - \tilde{x}_N \right)$$

• Treating x_1, \ldots, x_N as random variables, we have f as a weighted sum of random variables with variance

$$\mathsf{Var}\left(f\right) = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} \mathsf{Var}\left(x_{i}\right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \mathsf{Cov}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \,.$$

The partial derivatives \u03c8 f/\u03c8 x_i are sensitivities and Cov (x_i, x_j) is the covariance between x_i and x_j.

| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0000 | 00 | 0000 | 0000 |
| Motivation | | | |
| IVIOLIVALION | | | |

The Sandwich Formula

$$Var(f) = s^{T} A s$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{s} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Cov}(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}(x_1, x_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Cov}(x_N, x_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}(x_N, x_N) \end{pmatrix}$$

- End users compute *s*.
- Evaluators provide covariances A.
- The Evaluated Nuclear Data File format, ENDF-6 [1] provides file formats covariances for *some* types of nuclear data.

| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0000 | 00 | 0000 | 0000 |
| Thermal Scatt | ering | | |

Incoherent Inelastic Scattering

$$\sigma\left(E \to E', \mu\right) = \frac{\sigma_{b}}{2k_{B}T} \sqrt{\frac{E'}{E}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} S(\alpha, \beta)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{E' + E - 2\mu\sqrt{EE'}}{Ak_BT} \text{ and } \beta = \frac{E' - E}{k_BT}.$$

- At energies (approximately less than 5 eV), thermal scattering takes place.
- The thermal scattering kernel $S(\alpha,\beta)$ is two dimensional data. The double differential scattering cross section $\sigma(E \to E', \mu)$ is actually three dimensional data.
- ENDF-6 does not currently specify a format for storing $S(\alpha,\beta)$ covariance.

Generalized Nuclear Data Format

- New data format [2] to eventually supersede ENDF-6
- Previous work developed a format in the Generalized Nuclear Data format for storing $S(\alpha, \beta)$ covariances.



Figure: Structure of covariance data for thermal scattering data. From [3].

| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclus | |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|--|
| 0000 | •0 | 0000 | 0000 | |
| | | | | |

- Implementation performed in AMPX [4] at GNDS Data Structures Level.
- Covariance for $S(\alpha, \beta)$ data is four dimensional.
- α and β indices are flattened to a linear index.
- Underlying data structure is a symmetric two dimensional matrix.



Figure: Hierarchy of abstraction layers for nuclear data in SCALE.

| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0000 | 0 | 0000 | 0000 |
| | | | |

- The base class Covariance is not necessarily symmetric to account for cross-covariance across different reactions.
- Existing classes updated to inherit from common base classes.



Figure: Covariance class inheritance

| Introduction | | SCALE Im | plementatio | on | | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|--------------|---------|----------|-------------|----|---|------------------|-------------|
| 0000 | | 00 | | | | 000 | 0000 |
| ~ | - (| \sim | _ | | _ | | |

Generating $S(\alpha, \beta)$ Covariance Data

- $\bullet\,$ Covariance data was generated from 1000 random realizations of H in $H_2O.$
- Full covariance matrix had $(182 \times 259)^2 \approx 2 \times 10^9$ entries.
- Full uncompressed covariance matrix occupied about 17.78 GB of storage as HDF5 file.
- GNDS format supports more than just α , β parameters. Adding one more dimension with just 10 points brings data storage into TB range.
- GNDS format supports basic compression methods. Sparsity and symmetric are immediately applicable.

| | Min | Max | Points | Interpolation |
|----------|--------|-------|--------|---------------|
| α | 0.0005 | 632.9 | 182 | Lin-Log |
| β | 0.0 | 158.1 | 259 | Lin-Log |

Table: Example TSL Data Dimensions

| D · · · · · | с . <u>А</u> I | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0000 | 00 | 0000 | 0000 |
| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |

Principal Component Analysis

• Decompose the covariance matrix **A** into a unitary matrix of eigenvectors **U** and diagonal matrix of eigenvalues Λ by

$oldsymbol{A} = oldsymbol{U} \Lambda oldsymbol{U}^{\intercal}$.

 If A is of rank r, then there are r eigenvectors making up the columns of U and r eigenvalues making up the diagonal entries Λ:

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | & | \\ \boldsymbol{u}_1 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{u}_r & \boldsymbol{0} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{0} \\ | & | & | & | & | \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r, 0, \dots, 0\right)$$

• A low rank approximation of **A** can be created by keeping only $\tilde{r} < r$ eigenvalues in Λ and discarding the $r - \tilde{r}$ smallest eigenvalues.

| 1 | | |
|---|------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Data Compression

Conclusions

Principal Component Analysis

• The amount of information lost is

$$\frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{A} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{A}\right\|_{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=\tilde{r}+1}^{r} \lambda_{i}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=\tilde{r}+1}^{r} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}}}.$$

 Significant amount of information retained by keeping only a few eigenvalues

| ĩ | Information Lost | Memory Usage (MB) |
|------|------------------|-------------------|
| 0 | 100.00% | 0.0 |
| 1 | 17.34% | 0.3771 |
| 6 | 0.94% | 2.263 |
| 121 | 0.000997% | 45.63 |
| 1000 | 0.00% | 377.1 |



Figure: Frobenius norm of residual matrix $\boldsymbol{A} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{A}}$.

| t | r | 0 | d | u | c | t | ł | 0 | n |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | 0 | | | | | |

Data Compression

Principal Component Analysis

- Each sub-block has fixed β values and changing α values.
- The left column shows off-diagonal submatrices (corresponding to β indices [116, 96]).
- The right column shows on-diagonal submatrices (corresponding to β indices [116, 116]).
- Each row shows the approximated submatrix obtained by keeping the first r̃ largest eigenvalues.
- Values for which S(α, β) is undefined have no covariance



| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0000 | 00 | 0000 | 0000 |
| Conclusions | | | |

- Data structures for representing thermal scattering kernel covariances were implemented in AMPX.
- Covariance matrices will be quite large for practical problems.
- GNDS format already supports some methods of compression.
- Principal Component Analysis can take advantage of large singular values to further compress matrices.

| Introduction 0000 | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Future Work | | | |

- Generate temperature dependent S(α, β, T) from perturbed probability density of states.
- Compute sensitivities for a benchmark problem.
- Propagate uncertainties in $S(\alpha, \beta, T)$ through benchmark problem.
- Quantify uncertainty due to uncertainty in $S(\alpha, \beta, T)$.

| Introduction | SCALE Implementation | Data Compression | Conclusions | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 0000 | 00 | 0000 | 0000 | | | |
| Acknowledgements | | | | | | |

• This work is performed under NEUP Project 18-150008: Development of Thermal Inelastic Scattering Covariance Data Capabilities with Demonstration of Light Water Evaluation.

| Introduction 0000 | SCALE Implementation 00 | Data Compression 0000 | Conclusions |
|----------------------|---|---|--------------|
| Refere | ences | | |
| Ī | M. HERMAN, "ENDF-6 Formats Manual Data Forma Evaluated Nuclear Data File ENDF/B- BNL-90365-2009, Brookhaven Nationa Nuclear Data Center (2009). | nts and Procedures for th VI and ENDF/B-VII," al Laboratory (BNL) Nat | he tional |
| | WPEC Subgroup 38, "Specifications for the next generation | nuclear data hierarchy," | 2016. |
| | A. G. TUMULAK, H. PARK, V. SOBE KIEDROWSKI, "Progress on the Development of Ther Formats and Processing Tools," | ES, W. S. YANG, and B mal Scattering Covarian | . C. |

Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., 121, 1354 (2019).

D. WIARDA, M. DUNN, N. GREENE, C. CELIK, and L. PETRIE, "AMPX-6: A Modular Code System for Processing ENDF/B," ORNL/TM-2016/43, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (2016).