

Bayesian Monte-Carlo Evaluation Framework for Imperfect Data

Jesse Brown, Goran Arbanas, Andrew Holcomb, and Dorothea Wiarda

Nuclear Data Group

Nuclear Criticality, Radiation Transport and Safety Section

Nuclear Energy and Fuel Cycle Division

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Cross Section Evaluation Working Group (CSEWG) Meeting, Nuclear Data Week, BNL, November 15–19, 2021

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



Assumptions or approximations used with Bayes' Theorem

- 1. The model and the prior PDF of data are assumed to be perfect
- 2. The model is approximated by its 1st order (*linear*) expansion
- 3. Prior and posterior PDFs are approximated by <u>normal</u> PDFs.
- We recognize that 1. is equivalent to constraining the posterior expectation values of $\,\delta$, and of its covariance matrix, to 0:

$$\langle \delta \rangle' = 0$$
 $\Delta' \equiv \langle (\delta - \langle \delta \rangle')(\delta - \langle \delta \rangle')^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle' = \mathbb{O}$ $\delta \equiv \delta(z, T(P)) \equiv T(P) - D$ $z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} P \\ D \end{pmatrix}$

(-)

• We remove 1. by letting evaluator choose values of $\langle\delta
angle'$ and Δ'

• 2. and 3. can be removed by Metropolis-Hastings Monte Carlo

Overview of approximations used by ORNL codes

Code name	<delta>'</delta>	Delta'	Prior/Post PDF	Cost Function	Minimization
SAMMY	0	0	Normal/Normal	$\chi^2(z = (P, T(P)), \langle z \rangle, \boldsymbol{C})$	Linear, iterative
TSURFER	0	0	Normal/Normal	- -	Linear, 1 step
ВМС	Any	Any	Any/any	$X^2(z,\langle z\rangle, C, T(P), \lambda, \Lambda)$	MHMC

• Bayes' theorem with arbitrary constraints:
$$\begin{split} &\Lambda = \Lambda(\langle \delta \rangle', \Delta', \langle z \rangle, \mathcal{C}) \\ &\lambda = \lambda(\langle \delta \rangle', \Delta', \langle z \rangle, \mathcal{C}) \\ &\lambda = \lambda(\langle \delta \rangle', \Delta', \langle z \rangle, \mathcal{C}) \\ &\mathcal{L}(\beta|z, \gamma) \leftarrow \mathcal{L}(\langle \delta \rangle', \Delta'|z, \gamma) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta - \lambda)^{\intercal} \Lambda^{-1}(\delta - \lambda)} \end{split}$$

 $\beta \leftarrow \{ \text{any constraints on posteriors imposed by evaluator} \}, \\ \gamma \leftarrow \{ \text{any parameters needed to define the prior PDF}, p(z|\gamma) \}$

• GLS is recovered, i.e., $X^2 \to \chi^2$, for $(\Lambda = \lambda = 0) \leftarrow (\Delta' = \langle \delta \rangle' = 0)$.

Metropolis Hastings Monte Carlo (MHMC) Algorithm

- 1: $N \leftarrow \text{iterations}$
- 2: $i \leftarrow 0$
- 3: $z_0 \leftarrow$ arbitrary values
- 4: while i < (N + 1) do
- 5: Generate random candidate sample z' from $g(z'|z_i)$
- 6: $A = \min\left(1, \frac{p(z')}{p(z_i)} \frac{g(z_i|z')}{g(z'|z_i)}\right)$
- 7: Generate random value of u from uniform distribution between (0, 1)
- 8: **if** u < A then
 - $z_{i+1} \leftarrow z'$
- 10: **else**

9:

- 11: $z_{i+1} \leftarrow z_i$
- 12: $i \leftarrow i + 1$

CAK RIDG

> necessary number of iterations for convergence

 \triangleright *z_i* can be of arbitrary dimension

Analytical solutions: Linear models

- The upper plot shows the perfect (within statistical uncertainty) agreement between the analytical and MHMC values for (z)' and (δ)'
- The lower plot shows that the difference between the analytical and MHMC (z)' is not > 0.06% for any element of z
- Diagonal of *C*' matches analytical to within < 0.6%, off-diagonal requires more iterations
- Δ' matches well throughout





Comparison to GLS

- Case $\Lambda = \Delta$: solves for $\Delta' = \Delta/2$ and $\langle \delta \rangle' = \langle \delta \rangle/2$ by using $\Lambda = \Delta$ and $\lambda = 0$
- Case $\Lambda \to 0$: solves for $\Delta' = 0$ and $\langle \delta \rangle' = 0$ by using $\Lambda \to 0$ and $\lambda \to 0$ (matches GLS)
- Demonstrates the effect of the GLS assumption: $\langle \delta \rangle' = 0, \Delta' = 0$



Application to RRR evaluation: U-233

- Fit 3 resonances allowing the energy eigenvalues and neutron widths to vary
- The explicit application of δ ! = 0 gives the evaluator control over model/data defects (background, normalization, etc.)
- Uncertainty on model now envelopes the data





Uncertainty analysis: covariance and beyond



- 6 resonance parameters
- ~30,000 posterior sets make up PDF
- Posterior PDFs compared to GLS (black) and prior (black-dashed) PDFs
- Instead of storing covariance, store posterior sets
- All PDFs are positively skewn (blue)



Considerations

- BMC evaluation is a tool to address:
 - Imperfect data & models
 - non-linear models,
 - non-normal PDFs
- fitAPI implementation validated with analytical solutions for linear models
- New posterior PDFs may need new storage formats to allow storage of non-normal PDFs
 - Storing posterior sets allows for: variance, covariance, skewness, etc.

This work was supported by the Nuclear Criticality Safety Program, funded and managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration for the Department of Energy.

Acknowledgement: Contributions were made by Klaus Guber and Marco Pigni

