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$^{239}\text{Pu}$ files validated:

- ENDF/B-VIII.0

- LANL file:
  - New fission source term: PFNS (Chi-Nu+CEA data, INDEN at thermal), nu-bar (Marini data, CGMF modeling), \((n,f)\) cross section (updates according to template, fissionTPC),
  - New \((n,g)\) cross section (closer to Mosby, M1 enhancement),
  - New scattering data (Engelbrecht-Weidenmuller, removal of fictitious levels).

- INDEN files: Empire p27, ORNL/IAEA/JSI RR parameters, VIII.0 \((n,g)\), fission source term from LANL file,
  - p29: + IAEA nubar + Empire \((n,2n)\),
  - p35: + LANL nubar + Empire \((n,2n)\),
  - p38: + LANL nubar + VIII.0 \((n,2n)\).
$k_{\text{eff}}$ of PMFs and PMIs with INDEN files: all 3 perform well.

Mean bias:
- p29: 104 pcm
- p35: 98 pcm
- p38: 98 pcm
- VIII.0: 78 pcm
\( k_{\text{eff}} \) of PMFs and PMIs with LANL files: small tweak needed.

Mean bias:
- 11/1: 130 pcm
- 11/1ps: 129 pcm
- VIII.0: 78 pcm
Benchmarking of reaction rates in Jezebel critical assembly. INDEN and LANL are reasonably close to VIII.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jezebel</th>
<th>keff</th>
<th>Pu9(n,2n)/(n,f)</th>
<th>Pu9(n,g)/(n,f)</th>
<th>U8/U5(n,f)</th>
<th>Np/U5(n,f)</th>
<th>U3/U5(n,f)</th>
<th>Pu9/U5(n,f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VIII.0</td>
<td>1.00069(1)</td>
<td>0.00230(5)</td>
<td>0.0345(2)</td>
<td>0.212(1)</td>
<td>0.9768(5)</td>
<td>1.566(7)</td>
<td>1.427(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35</td>
<td>1.00031(1)</td>
<td>0.00235(8)</td>
<td>0.0355(3)</td>
<td>0.209(2)</td>
<td>0.9654(8)</td>
<td>1.567(11)</td>
<td>1.423(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p38</td>
<td>1.00029(1)</td>
<td>0.00222(8)</td>
<td>0.0355(3)</td>
<td>0.209(2)</td>
<td>0.9653(8)</td>
<td>1.567(11)</td>
<td>1.423(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANL, 11/1</td>
<td>1.00065(8)</td>
<td>0.00229(8)</td>
<td>0.0342(3)</td>
<td>0.208(2)</td>
<td>0.9640(8)</td>
<td>1.567(11)</td>
<td>1.422(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANL, 11/1ps</td>
<td>1.00072(1)</td>
<td>0.00228(8)</td>
<td>0.0342(3)</td>
<td>0.208(2)</td>
<td>0.9644(8)</td>
<td>1.567(11)</td>
<td>1.422(10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pulsed spheres various INDEN files: virtually the same and all good.
Pulsed spheres VIII.0 vs LANL → Under active development!

LANL is currently working on tweaking for better prediction of pulsed spheres.
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Current status:
• INDEN performs well on $k_{\text{eff}}$, pulsed spheres and many RR ($\text{Pu}_9(n,g)/(n,f)$ needs some work),
• LANL performs reasonably well on $k_{\text{eff}}$ and RR, some work on pulsed spheres needed.