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The Focus is on Possible Changes to the Neutron Standards Since the Last Standards Evaluation

- Not all standards will be discussed – only relatively new data where important changes may occur
- Measurements will be discussed that will have an impact on the new evaluation of the Neutron Standards
  - Possible changes in standards will be suggested but since the evaluation has not been done, final results cannot be given
H(n,n)H Angular Distribution

- A very important measurement was done by Jiang et al.
  - Differential cross section data were obtained at 10 angles from 10 to 55 degrees. The measurements extend from 6 MeV to 52 MeV with 23 energy points. The flight path was 57.99m.
  - The measurements generally agree well compared with existing measurements, evaluations and theoretical calculations,

- The hydrogen standard is limited to 20 MeV at the present time. The Jiang et al. data combined with the database being developed by Paris and Hale at LANL will allow an evaluation with an extended energy range to be produced. The present results are up to 50 MeV and Paris is hoping to have data up to 100 MeV by this CSEWG meeting.
H(n,n)H Angular Distribution Measurements by Jiang et al.

\[
E_n = 37.83 \pm 2.83 \text{ MeV}
\]

\[
E_n = 52.48 \pm 4.64 \text{ MeV}
\]
Li(n,t) Measurements

- Measurements have been made by Bai et al. that extend from 1 eV to 3 MeV with 80 energy groups and angular distribution measurements at each energy group for 15 angles between 19.2 and 160.8 degrees. Those data were normalized to the present standard in the interval from 0.1 to 0.4 MeV.

- The data may be overall the most complete, and best-quality, set of relative differential cross sections for the $^6$Li(n,t) reaction that presently exists at energies below 3 MeV.

  - The neutron energy spectrum was not measured at the position of the experiment. It is expected that this will affect the cross section values. It will not affect the angular distributions.

  - There are deviations from an isotropic angular distribution in the eV energy region. Some of this may be from problems due to the use of the $^{235}$U(n,f) cross section for determining the neutron fluence in regions where it is not smooth.

  - Also the integrated cross sections are below the standard for energies above 0.5 MeV, but within uncertainties. The magnitude of the cross section at the resonance peak differs from expected values. The agreement with the standard in many regions is relatively good. More work is planned.

- It is important to extend the energy range of this standard to above 1 MeV, the present limit of this standard. That would allow convenient overlap with H(n,n) standard. The Bai et al. data could possibly allow an energy range increase for this standard with more work on this experiment.
$^6$Li(n,t) Angular Distributions Measurements by Bai et al. (cont.)

$E_n = 1.00$ MeV

$E_n = 2.80$ MeV
$^{10}\text{B}(n,\alpha)$ and $^{10}\text{B}(n,\alpha \gamma)$ Measurements

- Several measurements of the $^{10}\text{Be}(p,n)^{10}\text{B}$ reaction have been made by Massey and Jones-Aberty at Ohio University. The data include a measured excitation function at zero degrees and two selected angular distributions. Also a total neutron yield for the reaction was measured.

- Massey et al. have measured $^{10}\text{B}(n,Z)$ reactions for neutron energies from 2 to 20 MeV.
  - The work was done at the LANSCE WNR facility where proton, triton and alpha particles were measured at four angles. Differential cross sections were obtained for the $^{10}\text{B}(n,p_0)^{10}\text{Be}$, $^{10}\text{B}(n,t_0)^{8}\text{Be}$, $^{10}\text{B}(n,a_2)^{7}\text{Li}$ and $^{10}\text{B}(n,a_3)^{7}\text{Li}$ reactions. The sum of the $^{10}\text{B}(n,a_0)^{7}\text{Li}$ and $^{10}\text{B}(n,a_1)^{7}\text{Li}$ differential cross section was measured. Partial angular distributions were obtained for the $^{10}\text{B}(n,p_1)^{10}\text{Be}$, $^{10}\text{B}(n,t_1)^{8}\text{Be}$ and $^{10}\text{B}(n,d_0)^{9}\text{Be}$ reactions.
  - It has been submitted for publication.

- This wide range of data can all be used in R-matrix fits to improve the $^{10}\text{B}$ standards since they all have the $^{11}\text{B}$ compound nucleus.

- This cross section is now a standard only up to 1 MeV. These data should help in extending the energy of this standard to higher energies, possibly 3 MeV or higher.
Work by Jiang et al. extends from 1 eV to 2.5 MeV with 59 energy groups. Data were obtained with at 15 angles between 19.2 and 160.8 degrees. These data were normalized to the present standard in the interval from 0.3 to 0.5 MeV. This is a very comprehensive complete set of measurements up to 2.5 MeV.

The neutron energy spectrum was not measured at the position of the experiment. It will not affect the angular distributions.

It was not possible to separate the $a_0$ and $a_1$ peaks for energies above 1 MeV.

There are a number of cases where both the $^{10}$B(n,$\alpha_1$) and $^{10}$B(n,$\alpha$) differential cross section data are somewhat low compared with the standard. The integrated $^{10}$B(n,$\alpha$) cross section data are largely in good agreement but somewhat low in the several hundred keV energy region compared with the standard.

The neutron fluence was the same for the Jiang boron measurements and the Bai lithium measurements. One experiment followed the other. Many problems in these experiments were remove by making them ratio data.

A new experiment is planned where more precise appropriate spectrum measurement will be made. Also to improve separation of alpha peaks, a thinner sample and higher resolution detectors will be used then.

These data in addition to those of Massey et al. should help in extending the energy of this standard to higher energies.
$^{10}\text{B}(n, \alpha)^7\text{Li}$ Cross Section Measurements by Jiang et al.

(g) $^{10}\text{B}(n, \alpha)^7\text{Li}$
$E_n = 1.40$ MeV

(h) $^{10}\text{B}(n, \alpha)^7\text{Li}$
$E_n = 1.80$ MeV
C(n,n) Cross Section

- The most recent evaluation of the carbon standard by Hale was done by combining $^{12}$C and $^{13}$C R-matrix evaluations to obtain the elemental cross section that is the standard. That evaluation, the ENDF/B-VIII standards evaluation (the 2017 standard), is somewhat higher than the ENDF/B-VII standards evaluation (the 2006 standard). The difference is most noticeable at the highest energies.

- Danon at RPI made total cross section measurements that are slightly lower than the 2017 standard values in the 150 to 400 keV energy region. Those data with uncertainties from a fraction of a percent to about 1% are in better than 1% agreement with the 2006 standards evaluation.

- Data at RPI also indicate differences with both evaluations at back angles (about 156 degrees) in the standards energy region.

- Hale is investigating this now.
Fission Cross Section Measurements

- Absolute measurements by the n_TOF collaboration were made of the $^{235}\text{U}(n,f)$ cross section relative to hydrogen scattering from 10 MeV to 1 GeV. The analysis of the data from 10 MeV to 150 MeV is being done by Pirovano et al. The data analysis up to 1 GeV by Manna et al. is underway. The present standard is limited to 200 MeV. There is a strong need for an extension to higher neutron energies that may be possible with these data.

- Absolute measurements of the $^{238}\text{U}(n,f)/^{235}\text{U}(n,f)$ cross section ratio were made by Wen et al. up to 20 MeV. They agree with the standards results within their uncertainties of 2.3% to 3.6%.

- The Casperson et al., NIFFTE collaboration, measurements at LANSCE of the $^{238}\text{U}(n,f)/^{235}\text{U}(n,f)$ cross section ratio have been shown here previously. The data that extend to 30 MeV were normalized at 14.5 MeV to the present standards values. The agreement is quite good with the standard except in the region between about 2 and several MeV where their results are a percent or so low compared with the standard but generally within the uncertainties of the standard.

- $^{239}\text{Pu}(n,f)/^{235}\text{U}(n,f)$ cross section ratio measurements by Snyder et al. made at LANSCE by the NIFFTE collaboration have been submitted for publication. Those data are higher than the standards evaluation by about 2%. They agree in shape with the standards evaluation. New measurements are underway with an improved sample,
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)/^{235}\text{U}(n,f)$ Cross Section Ratio Measurement at CSNS for One Cell Combination by Wen et al.
Comparison of the NIFFTE $^{239}\text{Pu}(n,f)/^{235}\text{U}(n,f)$ Cross Section Ratio with the Standards Evaluation
Use of Integral Data

- Starting with the standards for the ENDF/B-VI evaluation, very “clean” integral data were used.

- The data used were measurements of the $^{235}$U(n,f) and $^{239}$Pu(n,f) cross sections in the $^{252}$Cf spontaneous fission neutron spectrum (SACSs).

- Since there is a relatively small change in the cross section for these nuclides over most of the energy range of the $^{252}$Cf spectrum, an accurate determination of the cross section is possible. The result is also only weakly dependent on the uncertainty in the spectrum shape. These integral data basically help provide normalization for the standards evaluation.

- It was discovered that the spectrum averaged cross section values in our standards evaluation differed slightly from those in an IRDFF evaluation for the dosimetry community by Mannhart. This has led to an investigation of the two databases and concerns about some experiments due to insufficient documentation. Several other studies of inconsistencies are underway.

- This investigation is ongoing with no definite conclusions. Unfortunately, some data such as SACS ratios can not be handled directly in GMA.
Additional Work that Impacts the Determination of the Standards

- Additional effort by Neudecker et al. on inspection of uncertainty sources for standards measurements
  - All data in the GMA database should be used and correlations should be taken into account for this investigation

- Further work on unrecognized sources of uncertainty
  - Inspection of data sets for unrecognized sources of uncertainty and correlations in data
  - Investigation of the energy dependence of USU for each standard

- Improved evaluation techniques for the standard cross sections

- The items above will be discussed at a virtual standards meeting on Dec. 6-10 in addition to:
  - Reviewing new experimental data
  - Discussing changes in the evaluation methodology and uncertainty quantification
  - Quantifying differences between new evaluations and the IAEA standards issued in 2017