# Yet another attempt to tune / evaluate ATHENA forward (d)RICH geometry & performance

#### A. Kiselev

ATHENA PID WG meeting September 13th, 2021

# Machinery

- Standalone GEANT sandbox
  - Mirror properties as implemented by Evaristo (can just use 90% reflectivity)
  - C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> properties (and later aerogel) from the same source; no attenuation
  - SiPMs (S13361-3050AE-08 8x8 panels)
    - 3.4 mm pitch
    - QE as given by Hamamatsu
    - 87% geometric fill factor
- Custom event dump in ROOT format
- IRT as implemented by Alessio del Dotto (Roberto's code gives the same)
- Application to a multi-mirror system + reflection accounting, etc.

### Geometry





# This configuration

- Pretty suboptimal optics, distortions up to a couple of mrad ...
- ... yet it kind of works:
  - At least ~120 cm of path in gas, and consequently ~30 p.e. per ring
  - $\sim 1.0 1.2$  mrad single photon RMS at large  $\eta$
  - Consequently 4+ sigma  $\pi/K$  separation at ~50 GeV/c and  $\eta$  = 4
- Can comfortably reach  $\eta$  = 4 for both gas and aerogel

- Field orientation is unfortunate, no chance to use MCP-PMTs
- Field-inflicted distortions: had no time to check them yet

# Other optical layouts tried so far

- Second reflection by a flat mirror a la LHCb
  - Kind of works, but has implications [remember, LHCb has  $\theta_{max} \sim 15^0$ ]
- Second reflection by a spherical mirror (to compress the focal area)
- Segmented spherical mirror
- Stereo-focusing between 60-degree sectors
- Cross-focusing through the beam pipe area (sounds weird, but actually almost works)
- Combinations of the above



# **Our options & questions**

- Quantify this geometry (it is what it is) and freeze it
- Request ~20-30cm shortening of the cryostat (now?)
  - ... and grab the sweet spot located presently inside the barrel EmCal
- How much space is needed behind the sensors, actually?
- Can one reduce the  $\theta_{max}$  coverage to  $\eta \sim 1.6 1.7$ ?
- Can one claim larger radius area (up to R ~ 260cm)?
- To what extent the **size** of the focal zone is important?

• What is the correct value of the attenuation length in C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>?