Virtual Reality visuals by Sean Preins

## Di-Charm Jet Events and an Estimate of Sensitivity to the Gluon Sivers Asymmetry

**Estimate from fast simulation** 

Miguel Arratia (UC-Riverside) and Stephen Sekula (SMU)





# **OVERVIEW**

- Simulation and Methods
- Preliminary Results and Outlook

#### **Simulation and Methods**

- Generate NC DIS events with Q<sup>2</sup>>25 ( $\sigma_{PYTHIA8}$  = 24.817nb) with 18x275 configuration  $\rightarrow$  in 100fb<sup>-1</sup> of EIC data, expect about 2.5B events.
  - Generate 25M events w/ PYTHIA8, CT18NNLO, and the **WeakBosonExchange:ff2ff(t:gmZ)** process only.
  - Scale yields up by 100 for subsequent calculations
- Fast-simulate ATHENA response with DELPHES and delphes\_EIC/ATHENA.tcl
- Reconstruction:
  - For this study, given the minimum Q<sup>2</sup>, reasonably assume the tagging electron will nearly always be in the detector.
  - Require exactly two R=1 jets, each with  $p_T > 5$  GeV, reconstructed in each event.
  - Require both jets pass cut-based charm tagging using displaced tracks or kaons (see <u>https://wiki.bnl.gov/athena/index.php/JetsHF</u>), which is ~23% efficient on real charm jets)

Selection	Relative Efficiency
None	100%
Dijets [True Di-Charm]	2.56% [11.6%]
Charm-Tagged Dijets <i>[True Di-Charm]</i>	0.255% [53.3%]

#### **Error Estimate for Di-Charm Jet Events**

arXiv:1805.05290 and arXiv:2102.08337

$$\delta A = \sqrt{\frac{1}{P^2N} - \frac{A^2}{N}} \, \stackrel{P = 0.70}{\text{N = Yield in kinematic region}}_{\text{A = asymmetry}}$$

Assume polarization of beam points in y-direction (vertically upward); define  $\phi_{kS}$  as angle between di-jet momentum in transverse plane and proton polarization vector.

For the next step, use <u>only</u> the truth-matched di-charm jet-tagged events in the statistical analysis (53% purity of the tagged di-jet events, or S/B  $\cong$  1). Since N>>A, neglect second term and focus on  $\delta A \cong 1/(\sigma P)$ , where  $\sigma$  is the uncertainty on N. For a non-zero background,  $\sigma = S/(S + B)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .





The uncertainty on  $A_{UT}$  from this di-charm study so far looks like it would be almost a factor of 2 smaller than that predicted in a similar measurement using  $D^0D^0$  pairs. This is reasonable, given the inclusive use of jet reconstruction. Dilution by background subtractions is included in the above, using same assumptions as analysis on left.



#### **Conclusions and Outlook**



#### Charm Identification using Displaced Track Counting and Kaon ID





Key elements of backward/central/forward parts of detector (*not shown*: *very low angle components along beam line*):

- **Barrel:** 3T magnet, All-Silicon Tracker + Particle ID (HP-DIRC) + Calorimeters (EMCAL + Iron-Scintillator HCAL)
- Hadron-going direction (Forward): Tracking (Silicon Disks + Gas Electron Multiplier Layer), Particle ID (dual RICH), and Calorimeters (Tungsten Powder/Scintillating Fiber EMCAL + Iron-Scintillator HCAL)
- Electron-going direction (Backward): Tracking (Silicon Disks + Gas Electron Multiplier Layer), + Particle ID (modular RICH) + Calorimeters (Lead-Tungstate iEMCAL + oEMCAL + Iron-Scintillator HCAL)

### **Simulation and Methods**

- Generate NC DIS events with Q<sup>2</sup>>25 ( $\sigma_{PYTHIA8}$  = 19.532nb) with 10x275 configuration  $\rightarrow$  in 100fb<sup>-1</sup> of EIC data, expect about 20M events.
  - Generate 20M events w/ PYTHIA8, CT18NNLO, and the WeakBosonExchange:ff2ff(t:gmZ) process only.
- Fast-simulate ATHENA response with DELPHES and delphes\_EIC/ATHENA.tcl
- Reconstruction:
  - For this study, given the Q<sup>2</sup>, assume the tagging electron will nearly always be in the detector.
  - Require exactly two R=1 jets, each with  $p_T > 5$  GeV, reconstructed in each event.
  - Require both jets pass cut-based charm tagging using displaced tracks or kaons (see <u>https://wiki.bnl.gov/athena/index.php/JetsHF</u>), which is ~23% efficient on real charm jets)

	Selection	Relative Efficiency
1	None	100%
	Dijets [True Di-Charm]	1.60% [11.2%]
	Di-Charm Tagged Jets [True Di-Charm]	0.193% <i>[60.0%]</i>