

# **Photon analysis for ZDC EMC optimization**

Shima Shimizu (RIKEN/JSPS)

Forawrd/Backward meeting 8/Oct./2021

# The first design


2 layers

Silicon

3 mm x 3mm x 300  $\mu\text{m}$

Crystal (PbWO<sub>4</sub>)

3cm x 3cm x 10 cm



Si: 3 layers  
Si: 40 layers  
W: 42 layers

12 layers

Pb 3cm Thickness

Silicon


1 cm x 1 cm x 320  $\mu\text{m}$

30 layers (15 layers x 2)

Pb 3cm Thickness

Scintillator

10 cm x 10 cm x 2 mm



The current design has 64  $X_0$  for EM part.

- Too much
- Expensive

Check performance against a single photon:

- **Position resolution**
  - **Energy resolution**
- Optimization of the design.

# Pick-up from physics requirements

I still need to look in details in



<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1IWYx5hFsKXEDIjQgLV5qOZPBfxDNbCMOgzwptTndtTE/edit#gid=0>

but pickups are:

- ◆ **Tag O(100) MeV photons**
  - >90 % efficiency
  - Energy resolution 20-30%
- ◆ **Tag 20-40 GeV photons**
  - 2 photons from pi0
    - Nominal distance of 2 photons: 14 cm. Position resolution: 2 cm
  - neutron + 2 photons ( $\Lambda$  decay), neutron + 3 photons ( $\Sigma^0$  decay)
    - Position resolution: 0.5-1mm
  - Energy resolution
    - 35%/ $\sqrt{E}$


# Position reconstruction

- ◆ Physics requirement:  
Position resolution of (0.5~) 1 mm
- ◆ Checked with three setups:
  - 7 cm ( $7.9X_0$ ) thickness of Crystal
  - 10 cm ( $11 X_0$ ) thickness of Crystal
  - 15 cm ( $16 X_0$ ) thickness of Crystal
- ◆ Analysis:
  1. Photons are shot at the center of the plane (0,0).
  2. Reconstruct the photon position using the 1st crystal layers.  
Energy weighted mean of  
 $3 \times 3$  towers  $\rightarrow (x_{\text{Crystal}}, y_{\text{Crystal}})$
  3. Look into the pixel cells on the next layer, around  $(x_{\text{Crystal}}, y_{\text{Crystal}})$ .




# Photon position reconstruction on Pixel 1

**7 cm crystal**





looking at  
**11 x 11**  
pixels  
(11 = 3.3 cm)

**10 cm crystal**



**15 cm crystal**



looking at  
**21 x 21**  
pixels  
(21 = 6.3 cm)

**Best resolution: 1.1 mm**

from:

- 40 GeV photon.
- 7 cm thickness.
- in 3.3 cm square.  
(11 x 11 chns)

- 20 GeV → 1.5 mm
- 10 cm thickness → 1.4 mm
- 6.3 cm square → 1.5 mm  
(21 x 21 chns)

→ Thinner crystal is preferred.

# Energy reconstruction




- ◆ Physics requirement:
  - 20 – 30 % energy resolution for O(100) MeV photons
  - 35%/ $\sqrt{E}$  energy resolution for O(10) GeV photons

- ◆ Setup

EM calorimeter:

- 1 or 2 layers of 7 cm crystal
- 22 layers of W/SI

with Silicon Pixel layers inserted.



**W/SI layers**

- 3.5 mm Tungsten plate
- Silicon

Pad layer: 1 cm x 1 cm x 320  $\mu\text{m}$  (20 layers)

Pixel layer: 3mm x 3mm x 300  $\mu\text{m}$

# Energy reconstruction

$$E_{\text{Reco, total}} = E_{\text{Reco, crys.0}} + E_{\text{Reco, crys.1}} + E_{\text{Pix1}} + E_{\text{reco, w/ SI}}$$

## Crystal

- ◆ Clustering of EM crystal towers
  - Take a tower with  $E_{\text{tower}} > 15 \text{ MeV}$  as a seed tower.
  - 3x3 towers with a seed as the center → cluster
  - Cluster raw energy is  $\sum_{3 \times 3} E_{\text{tower}}$
  - Cluster raw energy is smeared based on  $\frac{2.5\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 1\%$  → “Reco.” cluster energy
- ◆ On the 1st crystal layer (Crystal 0), a cluster with the highest energy is taken.
- ◆ On the 2nd crystal layer (Crystal 1), a cluster close to the cluster on the Crystal 0 is taken.

## Pixel 1


- ◆ 11x11 cells ROI is formed around (x, y) of Crystal 0 cluster. Energy deposit in ROI is taken.

## W/SI


- ◆ 9cm x 9cm ROI is formed around (x, y) of Crystal 0 cluster.
  - “Reco.” energy =  $82.7 * \text{Energy sum in ROI}$ .

# Reco energy ( $E=0.1 \sim 1$ GeV)

## Setup: 1 Crystal layer




## Setup: 2 Crystal layers




Most of the energy measured in 1st Crystal layer.

# Reco energy ( $E=10, 20, 40$ GeV)

## Setup: 1 Crystal layer



## Setup: 2 Crystal layers





Half ~ less than half of the photon energy is measured in the 1st Crystal layer (Crystal 0). All of the Crys.0, Crys.1, and W/SI contributes to the energy reconstruction for  $E \sim 10$  GeV.

# Some details... (more on backup)


- ◆ Clustering of Crystal tower
  - 15 MeV seed gives reasonable reconstruction efficiency for both 20 GeV and 100 MeV photons

Number of  
clusters on the  
1st Crystal layer  
(Crystal 0)



- ◆ Energy on Pix1
  - Pix 1 is for position (and timing?) measurement.
  - ~0.1 % of photon energy is deposited on Pix1.

Energy deposited  
on Pix1 layer



# Some details... (more on backup)

- ◆ W/SI energy reconstruction

$$E_{W/SI \text{ Reco}} = E_{W/SI \text{ ROI, raw}} \times 82.7$$


- Scale factor 82.7 is obtained from direct shots of photons on W/SI layers

- ◆ W/SI ROI


- ROI (9cm x 9cm) takes ~95% of energy for 1 Crystal setup, but 70~90% for 2 Crystals setup.

## Fraction of ROI energy wrt all W/SI energy

### 1 Crystal setup




### 2 Crystals setup



## Extraction of the scale factor

Shot 10 - 40 GeV photons directly on W/SI layers (No crystal)

Events with  $E_{\text{Abs. (W+PET)}} + E_{\text{SI}} > 99\%$  of beam energy are analysed.




→ SF = 82.7

**E=20 GeV photons**


Roi energy correction may be needed in future.

# Energy leakage

- ◆ Energy in Pb/SI layer (HC part)
  - Not significant for most of the events, even for 40 GeV photons on 1 Crystal-layer setup.
  - Maximum 5 MeV in SI  
→ corresponds to  $\sim 2$



\*Quick estimation of SF for Pb/SI





20 GeV photons are  
directly shot on Pb/SI

$E_\gamma = 20 \text{ GeV} \leftrightarrow$   
 $E_{\text{SI (Pb/SI)}} \sim 50 \text{ MeV}$   
→ SF  $\sim 400$

# Summary of energy reconstruction

$E_{\text{Reco, total}} / E_\gamma$  distributions are fitted.

(Note: No energy correction for RoI use (Crystal, Pix1, W/SI) and for energy leakage)



Both 1 Crystal and 2 Crystals setups have better resolution than required.

- 1 Crystal layer will double the size of resolution, but still better than required.

# Impact of resolution of Crystal

- ◆ The current setting includes:

- No readout system
- **Resolution of crystal is assumed as  $\frac{2.5\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 1\%$ .**

← Based on CMS and PANDA:  $\sim 20$  cm crystals

→ Compared to  $\frac{5\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 1\%$

Doubled crystal resolution gives:


- Less impact on 1 Crystal than 2 Crystals
- In any case, the impact is not large.
  - 300 MeV: still less than 0.2.
  - 20 GeV: difference is minor.

1 Crystal:  $0.037 \rightarrow 0.038$ ,


2 Crystals:  $0.018 \rightarrow 0.022$

## 1 Crystal setup



$E = 300 \text{ MeV}$



$E = 20 \text{ GeV}$



## 2 Crystals setup



# Summary


- ◆ Performance against single photons is studied.
  - Position resolution is 1.1mm/1.5mm for 40 /20 GeV photons, with 7 cm Crystal. Thicker crystals give worse resolution.
  - Energy resolution is well below the physics requirements, for both 1 Crystal and 2 Crystal setups.

## Possible optimization:

- ◆ 7 cm crystal is preferred to 10 cm.
- ◆ W/SI layers can be reduced to 22 layers from 42 layers.
- ◆ Crystal layer can be a single layer.

## To be considered:


- ◆ Finer pixel silicon layer for better position resolution? (or further thinner crystal??)
- ◆ Replacement of silicon by scintillator at the outside of aperture?
- ◆ Reduced coverage of the crystal layer(s) from 60 cm x 60 cm?




Further study needed: radiation hardness, performance against multi-particles....

# Backup

# Tower energy distribution ( $E=20$ GeV, 2 Crystals)











Crystal 0




Crystal 1

Lots of towers with small energies of  $< 150$  MeV




Requirement of 15 MeV will largely reduce their number.









# Tower Energy distribution ( $E = 100$ MeV, 2 Crystals)



Crystal 0



Crystal 1




Towers with small energies are needed for energy reconstruction.


15 MeV requirement could be tight.

# Cluster distribution (E=20GeV, 2 Crystals)

Crystal 0



Crystal 1




Number of clusters looks reasonable.


Use of 3x3 towers drops ~10% of energy on the 2nd Crystal layer (Crystal 1).

# Cluster distribution (E=100MeV, 2 Crystals)

Crystal 0



Crystal 1






Cluster finding looks reasonable.

Almost no cluster on the 2nd Crystal layer, but the most of the energy is on the 1st cluster.




# Energy on Pixel 1 layer

- ROI = 11 ch x 11 ch (3.3cm x 3.3 cm)
- ROI is mostly for position measurement.
- ~0.1% of photon energy is deposited on Pix 1.

E=20 GeV



E=100 MeV




# Energy in W/SI calorimeter

$$E_{W/SI \text{ Reco}} = E_{W/SI \text{ Roll, raw}} \times 82.7$$


Reminder:

Shot 10 - 40 GeV photons directly on  
W/SI layers (No crystal)  
Events with  $E_{\text{Abs. (W+PET)}} + E_{\text{SI}} > 99\%$   
of beam energy are analysed.






Setting: 1 Crystal layer

E=20 GeV




E=40 GeV






# Energy in W/SI calorimeter

Setting: 2 Crystal layers


$E=20 \text{ GeV}$



$E=40 \text{ GeV}$




Correction for energy outside of  
ROI may be needed, but is not  
straightforward.



# Energy in Pb/SI

1 Crystal layer E=40 GeV




There is energy leakage to Pb/SI layers, but they are not significant for most of the events.

For events with leakage:

- 5 MeV corresponds to  $\sim 2 \text{ GeV}^* = 5\% \text{ of } E_\gamma$

## \*Quick estimation of SF for Pb/SI





20 GeV photons  
directly shot on Pb/SI

$$E_\gamma = 20 \text{ GeV} \leftrightarrow E_{\text{SI (Pb/SI)}} \sim 50 \text{ MeV}$$

→ SF  $\sim 400$

# Reconstructed energy

- ◆ Fit on each  $E_{\text{reco}} / E_{\text{photon}}$  distribution





# Transverse spread of energy deposits

with 7 cm x 2 Crystals

- ◆ Energy weighted sigma are checked.

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum E_i(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum E_i}} = \sqrt{\left| \frac{\sum E_i x_i^2}{\sum E_i} - \bar{x}^2 \right|}, \text{ where } \bar{x} = \frac{\sum E_i x_i}{\sum E_i}$$



- First 5 layers will be looked in details later.
- Difference of shower width is visible in Si/W layers (Layer ID > 5).
- Photon shower is fading around Layer ID 20-30.

# Cluster distribution (E=300 MeV)

