Jet Fragmentation & Substructure in Nuclear Collisions at the LHC

Anne M. Sickles July 1, 2022



why jets in AA collisions?



"To understand the workings of the QGP, there is no substitute for microscopy. We know that if we had a sufficiently powerful microscope that could resolve the structure of QGP on length scales, say a thousand times smaller than the size of a proton, what we would see are quarks and gluons interacting only weakly with each other. The grand challenge for this field in the decade to come is to understand how these quarks and gluons conspire to form a nearly perfect liquid."

jet quenching

 R_{AA}

 $B_{A^{b}}$

- R_{AA} tells us jet quenching is important but it integrates over everything except the jet momentum
- the focus of current measurements is to understand how quenching depends on the: 0.5
 - structure of the jet
 - amount of QGP the jet sees

jet rates / expectations





fragmentation functions & substructure

- **fragmentation functions**: single particle distributions inside of jets
 - direct measure of the average jet properties & how they are modified by the QGP interactions
- substructure: jet wide correlation measures
 - sorting jets by substructure provides direct information on which jets are suppressed in the QGP

- both types of measurements are very necessary to over-constrain the physics of interest
 - these measurements are very challenging in AA collisions due to the large UE







2D unfolding in p_{Tjet} & z

pp collisions





2D unfolding in p_{Tjet} & θ_g

pp measurements compared to generators



differences between pp & generators require pp data as a reference for AA/ pA collisions

ATLAS

ATLAS

ATLAS

fragmentation functions in PbPb collisions



PRC98 (2018) 024908

fragmentation functions in z & pT



high z scaling: related to fragmentation?

PRC98 (2018) 024908





if gluons be more energy than quarks, then there will be an enhanced fraction of quarks in PbPb compared to pp at a given jet pr



high z excess

Interpreting Single Jet Measurements in Pb+Pb Collisions at the LHC

Martin Spousta^a, Brian Cole^b

larger energy loss for gluons than quarks followed by pythia fragmentation

illustrative model not a substitute for theoretical calculations!





fragmentation functions in z & pT



high z scaling: related to fragmentation?

PRC98 (2018) 024908



how much energy is there in these soft fragments?





PRC98 (2018) 024908

inside an R = 0.4 jet ~2 extra particles carrying a total of ~4 GeV with $1 < p_T < 4$ GeV

angular and momentum dependence of jet particles $D(p_{\rm T}, r) = \frac{1}{N_{\rm jet}} \frac{1}{2\pi r dr} \frac{dn_{\rm ch}(p_{\rm T}, r)}{dp_{\rm T}}$ $126 < p_{\tau}^{jet} < 158 \text{ GeV}$ D (p_T, r) [GeV Jet axis **10**² 0–10% r = R r < R(jet cone) (in cone) 10 ••• •• •• •• •• < 10⁻⁷ 10⁻² < *p* < 1.6 GeV r > R $< p_{\perp}^{+} < 2.5 \text{ GeV}$ (out of cone)

provides the most detailed map of how jets are modified at low pT this kind of information is crucial to constraining models of energy loss & *medium response*





10

10

10



PbPb modifications versus r



in pp collisions most particles are near the jet axis so looking (PbPb - pp) can be useful in addition to the ratio

inclusive jets dominated by dijet production



EW boson tagged-jets

EW boson (photon, Z) tag changes the jet flavor, geometry and reduces the effect of the background, at the cost of reduced rate



photon-tagged jets



jets opposite a photon: dominantly from $q+g \rightarrow \gamma+g$ process also allow lower jet p_T because the γ tag suppresses fakes same qualitative behavior low z (high ξ) behavior as inclusive jets

ATLAS: 1902.10007



describing both inclusive and photon-tagged jets necessary in theoretical models





Z - hadron correlations



CMS:2103.04677

tagging the Z-boson and forgoing jet reconstruction allows push to very low pT & large angle Cent. 30-50% g 20 ATLAS: 2008.09811 **ATLAS** *pp*, √s = 5.02 TeV, 260 pb⁻¹ \square Data, $p_{\tau}^{Z} > 60 \text{ GeV}$ Pb+Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, 1.4-1.7 nb⁻¹ 0-10% Pb+Pb / pp Hybrid Model **—** Data, $30 < p_{\tau}^{Z} < 60 \text{ GeV}$ **Data**, $15 < p_{\tau}^{Z} < 30 \text{ GeV}$ CoLBT-hydro $SCET_{G} (g = 2.0 \pm 0.2)$ **JEWEL** 3 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 2 3 10 2 20 2 3 4 5 6 7 10 p_{τ}^{ch} [GeV] p_{τ}^{ch} [GeV] РЪР

 $EW b \underline{\phi} son tagged jet measurements will 1 1.5 2 2.5 30 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 in the second s$ *luminosity in Run 3*





Z - hadron correlations



CMS:2103.04677

experimental challenge: huge UE event at low p_T

what is the small size limit of jet quenching?

new ATLAS paper looking at jet-hadron correlations in pPb collisions; still no evidence of jet quenching in pPb collisions

2206.01138

this lack of suppression highlights the importance of the OO data at STAR & upcoming LHC data (2024) in understanding the small path length limit of jet quenching

θ_{q} in PbPb collisions

• increase in the fraction of small θ_g jets in PbPb collisions as opposed to pp collisions

ALICE:2107.12984

rg distributions vs jet pr

ATLAS-CONF-2022-026

$$r_g = \Delta R_{i,j}$$
 between the subjets satisfying the SD condition

jet constituents are track-calo clusters unfolded for jet p_{T} and r_{g}

rg

rg dependence of suppression

similar trends with rg for all centralities

ATLAS-CONF-2022-026

rg dependence of suppression

ATLAS-CONF-2022-026

• clear rg dependence to RAA

• r_g , not jet p_T , determines the R_{AA}

SPHENIX

- the kinematic reach of the LHC measurements has been key to extracting the physics
- with sPHENIX we will be able to fully exploit the RHIC luminosity and have large samples of jets in pp & AuAu collisions over most the available kinematic range

Year	Species	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$	Cryo	Physics	Rec. Lum.	Samp
		[GeV]	Weeks	Weeks	z < 10 cm	z <
2023	Au+Au	200	24 (28)	9 (13)	$3.7 (5.7) \mathrm{nb^{-1}}$	4.5 (6
2024	$p^{\uparrow}p^{\uparrow}$	200	24 (28)	12 (16)	0.3 (0.4) pb ⁻¹ [5 kHz]	45 (6)
					4.5 (6.2) pb ⁻¹ [10%- <i>str</i>]	
2024	p^{\uparrow} +Au	200	_	5	0.003 pb ⁻¹ [5 kHz]	0.11
					$0.01 \ { m pb}^{-1} \ [10\%-str]$	
2025	Au+Au	200	24 (28)	20.5 (24.5)	13 (15) nb ⁻¹	21 (2

both sPHENIX & the LHC jet measurements are necessary to constrain the physics of jet quenching 25

inner HCal insertion June 2022

RIKEN BNL Research Center

Predictions for sPHENIX

Hosted by Brookhaven National Laboratory July 20-22, 2022

https://www.bnl.gov/sphenix2022/

summary

- quenching
 - wider jets are suppressed more than narrow jets, single suppression pattern as a function of r_{g}
 - jet fragmentation is softened in PbPb collisions, but no evidence for modification in pPb collisions
 - backgrounds in AA, ...

• experimentalists and theorists should pay attention to how the measurements are done & what drives the uncertainties

- both RHIC & the LHC
- LHC Run 3 starting in November: much more luminosity & OO collisions (2024)
- excited to use sPHENIX to harvest the full potential of RHIC

• jet structure & substructure measurements are a sensitive tool to measure to understanding the phenomenon of jet

• these measurements are hard—rely on detailed understanding of tracking & calorimetry, large fluctuating

• measurements in pp collisions are an essential reference to measurements in AA & pA collisions—key to measure at

measurements at the LHC, RHIC and soon the EIC will bring forth a precision era of using jets to understand QCD