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## How to calculate $1^{\text {st }}$ order reconstruction matrix

>As one example of analysis in the far-forward region, we want to be able to reconstruct 3 quantities at the interaction point (IP) - the relative rigidity $\left(R_{\text {Rig }}\right)$ and the scattering angles $\left(\theta_{x, i p}, \theta_{y, i p}\right)$ - as a function of the positions and angles measured at the Roman Pot (RP)

- We use the 275 GeV setting and generate single protons as follows

1. Fluctuate $R_{\text {Rig }}$ with $\theta_{x, i p}=\theta_{y, i p}=0$
2. Fluctuate $\theta_{x, \text { ip }}$, with $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Rig}}=\theta_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{ip}}=0$
3. Fluctuate $\theta_{y, \text { ip }}$, with $R_{\text {Rig }}=\theta_{x, \text { ip }}=0$
$>$ We can then calculate the positions (at one of the RPs - we choose the second one) and angles at the RP as function of the IP quantities. Inverting these relations will allow us to determine the reconstruction matrix.

## Current IP6 configuration

## First configuration: $\mathrm{R}_{\text {Rel }}$ generation

| 1 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 21 | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 21 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 2212 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
-10 & 10 & 0.000511 \\
275 & 275.0016007 & 0.9383 \\
-15 & 5 & 0 \\
5 & 5 & 0.000511 \\
10.857 & 310.858 & 0.9383
\end{array}
$$

- Forward spectrometer set assuming 275 GeV proton beam.
- Protons are then generated with rigidity difference of [-15\%,15\%], and no transverse momentum. That is, protons are generated with a momentum ( $0,0,275^{*}$ (1+relative rigidity) ).
- The eic-smear format SIMPLE format is useful for this purpose.
- Next, a minimal (i.e. smallest possible change in energy) Lorentz transformation is applied so that the proton beam goes at 25 mR ad relative to the z-direction. This causes the generated protons to have some transverse momentum, which is a function of the rigidity difference.


## Changes to default simulation

$>$ For these studies, it is simplest to only turn on the far-forward region. Also, we turn off all smearing effects in order to get the 'true' transport matrix.
$>$ Step-by-step, we do the following:

1. In steering macro, remove central detector and set world volume to

G4_Galactic and turn off far-forward beam pipes
2. Use crossing angle in G4_Input.C, but turn off all other beam effects

## Dependence on $R_{\text {Rel }}$-I




## Dependence on $R_{\text {Rel }}$ - II




## Dependence on $R_{\text {Rel }}$ - III




## Dependence on $\theta_{\text {x,ip }}-I$




## Dependence on $\theta_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{ip}}$ - II




## Dependence on $\theta_{x, \text { ip }}$ - III




## Dependence on $\theta_{\gamma, \text { ip }}$ - I




## Dependence on $\theta_{\gamma, \text { ip }}$ - II




## Dependence on $\theta_{Y, i p}$ - III




## Calculated reconstruction matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{r p 2} \\
\theta_{x, r p} \\
y_{r p 2} \\
\theta_{y, r p}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2.77 & 0 & 0.201 \\
0.169 & 0 & 0.182 \\
0 & 0.513 & 0 \\
0 & -0.072 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{x, i p} \\
\theta_{y, i p} \\
R_{\text {Rel }}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.027 \\
-0.418 \\
-0.007 \\
-0.007
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{r p 2} \\
\theta_{x, r p} \\
y_{r p 2} \\
\theta_{y, r p}
\end{array}\right]_{c o r r}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{r p 2} \\
\theta_{x, r p} \\
y_{r p 2} \\
\theta_{y, r p}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.027 \\
-0.418 \\
-0.007 \\
-0.007
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{x, i p} \\
\theta_{y, i p} \\
R_{R e l}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.387 & -0.428 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1.95 \\
-0.359 & 5.89 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{r p 2} \\
\theta_{x, r p} \\
y_{r p 2}
\end{array}\right]_{c o r r}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Positions in cm
Angles in mRad
Rigidity in \%


## Current IP8 configuration

IR8 RP1 (in second set of RPs) x position vs. $R_{\text {Rel }}$

100 GeV Results


275 GeV Results

> Good linear dependence
$>$ Independent of beam energy
$>$ Large offset from zero
$>$ Acceptance cut off at large $\left|R_{\text {Rel }}\right|$

