Semi-inclusive helicity-dependent DIS measurements

Charlotte Van Hulse,

UAH

SIDIS WG meeting April 27, 2022

- SIDIS data generated with PYTHIA-6 : 5x41 GeV² and 18x275 GeV²
- Full reconstruction through GEANT simulation (ECCE July concept)
- DIS cuts: Q²>1 GeV²; 0.01<y<0.95 and W²>10 GeV²
- Based on reconstructed scattered electron



- SIDIS data generated with PYTHIA-6 : 5x41 GeV² and 18x275 GeV²
- Full reconstruction through GEANT simulation (ECCE July concept)
- DIS cuts: Q²>1 GeV²; 0.01<y<0.95 and W²>10 GeV²
- Based on reconstructed scattered electron
- Weighting of events at parton level at NLO:



- SIDIS data generated with PYTHIA-6 : 5x41 GeV² and 18x275 GeV²
- Full reconstruction through GEANT simulation (ECCE July concept)
- DIS cuts: Q²>1 GeV²; 0.01<y<0.95 and W²>10 GeV²
- Based on reconstructed scattered electron
- Weighting of events at parton level at NLO:

 $1 + \Lambda D(y)$

- $\Lambda = \pm 1$: relative beam helicity orientation
- Δ: DSSV14 helicity distributions
- $D^{q,g \rightarrow h}$ DSS14 pion and kaon fragmentation function
- Unpolarised Fⁿ_{UU}: NNPDF30_nlo_as_0118 and DSS14 FFs
- Weighting only for pythia processes: 99, 131-136
- For ratio of longitudinal and transverse γ^* cross section in D(y): Phys. Lett. B, 452:194–200, 1999
- D(y) set to 1 for evaluation of systematics

$$\frac{\Delta \otimes D^{q,g \to h}}{F^h_{UU}}$$



$A^{h}_{\parallel}(x_{B},Q^{2},z) = \frac{1}{P_{e}P_{p}}$

= D(y)

- Assume constant e and p beam polarisations of 70% with with 2% uncertainty
- $A_1 \rightarrow$ access to convolution of helicity distributions and FFs

$$\frac{\overrightarrow{N^{h}}}{\overrightarrow{L}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{N^{h}}}{\overrightarrow{L}} \\
\frac{\overrightarrow{L}}{\overrightarrow{L}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{L}}{\overrightarrow{L}} (x_{B}, Q^{2}, z) \\
\frac{\overrightarrow{N^{h}}}{\overrightarrow{L}} + \frac{\overrightarrow{N^{h}}}{\overrightarrow{L}} \\
\frac{A^{h}_{1}(x_{B}, Q^{2}, z),$$

70% with with 2% uncertainty ns and FFs



Generated and reconstruct A_1 (D(y)=1)



Generated and reconstruct A_1 (D(y)=1)



5

Systematic uncertainties





Kinematic coverage at low z with October concept

Asymmetries





Kinematic coverage



9

Influence of the magnetic field

Statistical uncertainty



Summary and conclusion

 Proposed EIC detector appropriate for study of SIDIS and extraction of A₁ with broad kinematic coverage and good precision

• Lower magnetic field brings some advantage at low x_B but 1.4 T or 3.0 T both appropriate