



# The Gluon Sivers Asymmetry in Dijet Production at the EIC

John Terry

University of California, Los Angeles  $\rightarrow$  Los Alamos National Lab

July 19, 2022





Z.B. Kang



J. Reiten



<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

D.Y. Shao



E

1/2

Overview (How can we use heavy flavor jets to probe the proton spin structure?)

#### The Sivers asymmetry before the EIC

- What is the Sivers function and why is it important?
- What can current data tell us about this Sivers function?

#### The gluon Sivers asymmetry at the EIC

- What unique perspective can the EIC offer us in terms of understanding the gluon Sivers function?
- Which processes are optimal for measuring the gluon Sivers function at the EIC?
- How can we generate a factorization and resummation formalism for generating predictions at the EIC.

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E の Q @ 2/25

The Sivers asymmetry before the EIC



## The Sivers function



Proton Pol	$\Phi$	U	L	Т	
	U	f		$h_1^\perp$	
	L		$g_1$	$h_{1L}^{\perp}$	
	Т	$f_{1T}^{\perp}$	$g_{1T}$	$h_1 \ h_{1T}^{\perp}$	

Decomposition of the quark correlation function

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{jj'}(x, \mathbf{k}_T, \mathbf{S}; \mu, \nu) &= \int \frac{d\xi^- d^2 \xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{ik \cdot \xi} \left\langle P, \mathbf{S} \left| \bar{\psi}_{j'}(\xi) \, \mathcal{U}_{(\xi^-, -\infty; \xi_T)}^{\bar{n}} \, \mathcal{U}_{(\xi_T, \mathbf{0}; -\infty)}^T \, \mathcal{U}_{(-\infty, 0, \mathbf{0}_T)}^{\bar{n}} \, \psi_j(0) \right| P, \mathbf{S} \right\rangle \Big|_{\xi^+ = 0} \\ &= \left[ f(x, \mathbf{k}_T, \mathbf{S}; \mu, \nu) - \frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^T k_T^\mu S_T^\nu}{M} f_{1T}^\perp(x, \mathbf{k}_T, \mathbf{S}; \mu, \nu) \right] \frac{\vec{\mu}_{j'j}}{2} + \dots \end{split}$$



<□ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ ○ E ○ のへで Abs

## Our recent global extraction of the Sivers function



Collab	Ref	Process	$Q_{\text{avg}}$	$N_{\rm data}$	$\chi^2/N_{\rm data}$
	[44]	$ld \rightarrow lK^0X$	2.52	7	0.770
		$ld \rightarrow lK^-X$	2.80	11	1.325
		$ld \rightarrow lK^+X$	1.73	13	0.749
COMPAGE		$ld \rightarrow l\pi^- X$	2.50	11	0.719
COM A55		$ld \rightarrow l\pi^+ X$	1.69	12	0.578
	[43]	$lp \rightarrow lh^-X$	4.02	31	1.055
		$lp \rightarrow lh^+X$	3.93	34	0.898
	[ <b>46</b> ]	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \gamma^* X$	5.34	15	0.658
		$lp \rightarrow lK^-X$	1.70	14	0.376
		$lp \rightarrow lK^+X$	1.73	14	1.339
UPDMES	[41]	$lp \rightarrow l\pi^0 X$	1.76	13	0.997
mananas		$lp \rightarrow l(\pi^+ - \pi^-)X$	1.73	15	1.252
		$lp \rightarrow l\pi^- X$	1.67	14	1.498
		$lp \rightarrow l\pi^+ X$	1.69	14	1.697
TAD	[45]	$lN \rightarrow l\pi^+X$	1.41	4	0.508
JLAD		$lN \rightarrow l\pi^- X$	1.69	4	1.048
	[47]	$pp \rightarrow W^+X$	$M_W$	8	2.189
RHIC		$pp \rightarrow W^-X$	$M_W$	8	1.684
		$pp \rightarrow Z^0X$	$M_Z$	1	3.270
Total				226	0.989

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Old RHIC analysis is inconsistent with our result



χ<sup>2</sup>/d.o.f. ~ 1.0, 12 parameters and 226 data points [2]. Issues with the RHIC data.
 [2] Echevarria, Kang, JT 19

#### Our recent global extraction of the Sivers function continued



<ロト < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Re-analysis of the RHIC data is completely consistent with our result



Re-analysis of the RHIC data is completely consistent with our result.

Results for the extraction of the Sivers function x = 0.2, Q = 2 GeV



<ロト < 母 ト < 国 ト < 国 ト 国 の < @ <sub>8/25</sub>

The gluon Sivers asymmetry at the EIC

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < 三▶ < 三▶ E の Q @ 9/25

## Process dependence for the gluon Sivers function



[5] Boer, Mulders, Pisano, Zhou 16

#### Gluon Sivers Function at the EIC

Feasibility of measuring the gluon Sivers asymmetry was examined in [6] using a Pythia reweighting analysis  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 10 f b^{-1}$ .



Imbalance for heavy flavor dijet production [7]



Mode Analysis

$$(-,+,\perp)$$

- Hard:  $p_h \sim p_{jT}(1, 1, 1)$ ,
- Jet<sub>Q</sub>:  $p_{JQ} \sim p_{jT}(R^2, 1, R)$ ,
- Collinear:  $p_c \sim p_{jT}(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$ ,
- Soft:  $p_s \sim p_{jT}(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$ ,
- C-Soft<sub>Q</sub>:  $p_{csQ} \sim q_{\perp}(R^2, 1, R)$ ,

[7] Kang, Reiten, Shao, JT 20

Also need to consider the heavy-flavor mass of the quarks We assume the scale heirarchy  $q_T R \ll q_T \lesssim m_Q \lesssim p_T R \ll p_T$ 

#### Factorization for HF Dijet Production



$$\begin{split} \frac{d\sigma^{UU}}{dQ^2 dy d^2 \boldsymbol{p}_T dy_J d^2 \boldsymbol{q}_T} = & H(Q, y, p_T, y_J, \mu) \int \frac{d^2 b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_T} S(\mathbf{b}, \mu, \nu) \, f_{g/N}\left(x, b, \mu, \zeta/\nu^2\right) \\ & \times J_{\mathcal{Q}}(p_T R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \, S_{\mathcal{Q}}^c(\mathbf{b}, R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \, J_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}(p_T R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \, S_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}^c(\mathbf{b}, R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \,, \end{split}$$

*H* and *S* are the same as light flavor dijet production since  $m_Q \ll p_T$ .

The functions  $S_{\mathcal{Q}}^c$  and  $J_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are sensitive to the mass.

#### Global soft function

NLO expression can be obtained by explicitly calculating the graphs [8]



Can obtain the soft anomalous dimensions

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{s}(\alpha_{s}) &= 2C_{F} \gamma^{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_{s}) \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu_{b}^{2}} - C_{A} \gamma^{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_{s}) \ln \frac{\nu^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \gamma^{s}(\alpha_{s}) \\ \gamma^{s}_{\nu}(\alpha_{s}) &= -\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{A} \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu_{b}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2}) \,, \\ \gamma^{s}_{0} &= 8C_{F} \ln \left(4c_{bJ}^{2}\right) + 4(C_{A} - 2C_{F}) \ln \frac{\hat{s}}{p_{T}^{2}} + 4 C_{A} \ln \frac{\hat{s}}{Q^{2}} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial I_{H} \mu} S(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \Gamma^{\mu}_{S}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \, S(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \end{split}$$

[8] Hornig, Kang, Makris, Mehen 17; del Castillo, Echevarria, Makris, Scimemi 20

Э

## Collinear-soft function

Blue lines represent heavy Wilson lines

$$v_J^{\mu} = \frac{\omega_J}{m_Q} \frac{n_J^{\mu}}{2} + \frac{m_Q}{\omega_J} \frac{\bar{n}_J^{\mu}}{2}, \quad \text{with } v_J^2 = 1$$

Recall the power counting of the collinear-soft modes  $p_{
m cs} \sim q_{\perp}(1, R^2, R)$ .

$$w_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\alpha_s \mu^{2\epsilon} \pi^{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon\gamma_E}}{2\pi^2} \int d^d k \, \delta^+(k^2) e^{-i\,\bar{n}_J \cdot k\,n_J \cdot b/2} \frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{(\alpha \cdot k)\,(\beta \cdot k)} \, \theta \left[ \frac{n_J \cdot k}{\bar{n}_J \cdot k} - \left(\frac{R}{2\cosh y_J}\right)^2 \right]$$

We derive the collinear soft anomalous dimensions

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{cs\varrho}(\alpha_s) &= C_F \gamma^{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \ln \frac{R^2 \mu_b^2}{\mu^2} + \gamma^{cs\varrho}(\alpha_s) \\ \gamma_0^{cs\varrho} &= -4C_F \left[ 2\ln\left(-2ic_{bJ}\right) - \frac{m_Q^2}{m_Q^2 + p_T^2 R^2} - \ln \frac{m_Q^2 + p_T^2 R^2}{p_T^2 R^2} \right] \end{split}$$

 $c_{bJ} = \cos(\phi_b - \phi_J)$  gives rise to azimuthal asymmetries [9]. We average over the  $\phi_b$  angle to generate the numerics.

<sup>[9]</sup> Hatta, Xiao, Yuan, Zhou 20

# Mass dependent jet function

Virtual Graphs



$$J_{Q}^{\rm NLO,V} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \left[ \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( 1 + 2\ln\frac{\mu^2}{m_Q^2} \right) + \left( 1 + \ln\frac{\mu^2}{m_Q^2} \right) \ln\frac{\mu^2}{m_Q^2} + 4 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right]$$

Real Graphs

$$\bigotimes_{q} (0,0,0) = \theta \left[ \left( \frac{q^{-} (\omega_J - q^{-})}{\omega_J} \right)^2 \left( \frac{R}{2 \cosh y_J} \right)^2 - q_{\perp}^2 \right]$$

$$\begin{split} J_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{NLO,R}}(p_{T}R,m_{\mathcal{Q}},\epsilon) &= \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}\epsilon^{e\gamma_{E}}\mu^{2\epsilon}}{2\pi\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}\int\frac{dq^{-}}{\omega_{J}}\frac{d\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^{2}}{q_{\perp}^{2\epsilon}}\bigg[\frac{q^{-}}{\omega_{J}-q^{-}}\frac{2\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^{2}\omega_{J}^{4}}{[\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^{2}\omega_{J}^{2}+m_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}(\omega_{J}-q^{-})^{2}]^{2}} \\ &+ (1-\epsilon)\frac{\omega_{J}(\omega_{J}-q^{-})}{\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^{2}\omega_{J}^{2}+m_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}(\omega_{J}-q^{-})^{2}}\bigg]\theta(\omega_{J}-q^{-})\Theta_{\mathrm{anti-}k_{T}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}C_{F}\left[-2\ln\left(\frac{m_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}+p_{T}^{2}R^{2}}{m_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}}\right)+2-\frac{2m_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}}{m_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}+p_{T}^{2}R^{2}}\right]\frac{1}{\epsilon}+J_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{R,fin}}\,, \end{split}$$

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ の Q @ 16/25

# Resummation of large logarithms



Perturbative evolution of the cross section: account for broadening of distributions from perturbative radiation

$$S_{\text{pert}} = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_{\text{TMD}}}^{\mu_{h}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left(\Gamma^{s} + \Gamma^{f_{g}}\right) + 2\int_{\mu_{j}}^{\mu_{h}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \Gamma^{j} \mathcal{Q} + \int_{\mu_{cs}}^{\mu_{h}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left(\bar{\Gamma}^{cs} \mathcal{Q} + \bar{\Gamma}^{cs} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}\right)\right]$$

< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < E ▶ < E ▶ E のへで 17/25

## Unpolarized Phenomenology at NLL

Mass dependence enters in the anomalous dimensions

$$\mu_j = p_T R, \quad \mu_{cs} = \mu_{b*} R.$$

Non-perturbative parameterization [10]

$$S_{\rm NP}(b, Q_0, n \cdot p_g) = g_1 b^2 + \frac{g_2}{2} \frac{C_A}{C_F} \ln \frac{n \cdot p_g}{Q_0} \ln \frac{b}{b_*}.$$

charm :  $5 \,\mathrm{GeV} < p_T < 10 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ ,

bottom :

$$10 \,{\rm GeV} < p_T < 15 \,{\rm GeV}$$

 $|y_J| < 4.5$ , see [11]



[10] Sun, Isaacson, Yuan, Yuan 14[11] Aschenauer, Baker et al 14

#### Spin Dependent Resummation

Replace TMDPDF with the gluon Sivers function



$$\Gamma^{f\perp} = \Gamma^f \qquad \gamma_v^{f\perp} = \gamma_v^f$$

Need to consider additional gauge link attachment for the spin dependent cross section



# Phenomenology

Non-perturbative collinear parameterization

$$f_{1T,g/N}^{\perp f}(x,\mu) = N_g \frac{4\rho \sqrt{2e\rho(1-\rho)g_1}}{M_{\rm proton}} x^{\alpha_g} (1-x)^{\beta_g} \frac{(\alpha_g + \beta_g)^{\alpha_g + \beta_g}}{\alpha_g^{\alpha_g} \beta_g^{\beta_g}} f_{g/N}(x,\mu) \,,$$

Parameters from [12]

$$N_g = 0.65, \quad \alpha_g = 2.8, \quad \beta_g = 2.8, \quad \rho = 0.5, \quad M_{\text{proton}} = 1 \text{ GeV},$$

For the TMD

$$S_{\text{NP}}^{\perp}(b, Q_0, n \cdot p_g) = g_1 \rho \, b^2 + \frac{g_2}{2} \frac{C_A}{C_F} \ln \frac{n \cdot p_g}{Q_0} \ln \frac{b}{b_*} \,,$$



[12] D'Alesio, Murgia, Pisano 15

# Summary and Outlook

- The non-perturbative structure of the quark Sivers function has been largely explored by experimental data in standard processes.
- Using SCET, we have generated the factorization and resummation formalism for heavy-flavor dijet production at the EIC to probe the gluon Sivers function.
- Once data is available, we can perform extractions of the non-perturbative physics for the gluon-Sivers function from heavy-flavor dijet data.

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の Q @ 21/25

Thank you to the audience and the organizers!





<□▶ <圖▶ < ≧▶ < ≧▶ = ● の Q @ 20/25

# Signs change of the Sivers function



[1] Collins 02; Boer-Mulders-Pijlman 03; Collins-Metz 04; Kang-Qiu 09

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ の Q (2 - 23/25

#### Factorization Theorems for standard processes

Visual representation of the factorization



 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}d^2q_{\perp}} = \sigma_0 H(\mathcal{Q},\mu) \int \prod_{i=1,3} dk_i f_1(x_1,k_{1\perp},\mu,\nu) f_2(x_2,k_{2\perp},\mu,\nu) S(k_{3\perp},\mu,\nu)$ 

Mode Analysis

## Renormalization Group Consistency

Hard anomalous dimension: Can obtain from general structure

$$\Gamma^{h}(\alpha_{s}) = C_{A}\gamma^{\mathrm{cusp}}(\alpha_{s})\ln\left(\frac{\hat{u}\,\hat{t}}{\hat{s}\,\mu^{2}}\right) - 2C_{F}\gamma^{\mathrm{cusp}}(\alpha_{s})\ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\hat{s}}\right) + 4\gamma^{q}(\alpha_{s}) + 2\gamma^{g}(\alpha_{s})$$

TMD anomalous dimension: Obtainable through explicit one loop calculation

$$\Gamma^{f_g}(\alpha_s) = C_A \gamma^{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \ln \frac{\nu^2}{(n \cdot p_g)^2} - 2\gamma^{f_g}(\alpha_s), \quad \gamma^{f_g}_{\nu}(\alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_A \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\mu_b^2} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$$

Cross section should not depend on choice of  $\mu$ , v.

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\sigma^{UU}}{dQ^2 dy d^2 \boldsymbol{p}_T dy_J d^2 \boldsymbol{q}_T} = & H(Q, y, p_T, y_J, \mu) \int \frac{d^2 b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i \mathbf{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_T} S(\mathbf{b}, \mu, \nu) \, f_{g/N}\left(x, b, \mu, \zeta/\nu^2\right) \\ & \times J_{\mathcal{Q}}(p_T R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \, S^c_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{b}, R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \, J_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}(p_T R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \, S^c_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}(\mathbf{b}, R, m_{\mathcal{Q}}, \mu) \,, \end{split}$$

Definition of anomalous dimensions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} F = \Gamma^{\mu} F \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \nu} F = \gamma^{\nu} F$$

RG consistency requires

$$\Gamma^h + \Gamma^s + \Gamma^{f_g} + 2\Gamma^{j_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}} + \Gamma^{cs_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}} + \Gamma^{cs_{\bar{\mathcal{Q}}}} = 0 \qquad \qquad \gamma^{f_g}_{\nu,0} + \gamma^s_{\nu,0} = 0$$

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ • • ○ Q ○ 25/25