

# **BNL involvement in D1** forward calorimeters

PS with enormous help from from F. Bock, O. Tsai, C. Woody, J. Huang...

## **Reference EIC detector**



## **Basic properties (from FB's talk)**

LFHCAL	inner radius (envelope)	17 cm
	outer radius (envelope)	270 cm
	$\eta$ acceptance	$1.2 < \eta < 3.5$
tower information		
	х, у	5 cm
	z (active depth)	140 cm
	z readout	20 cm
	# scintillor plates (0.4 cm)	70
	# steel plates (1.6 cm)	60
	# thungsten plates (1.6 cm)	10
	weight	$\sim 30.6 \text{ kg}$
	# towers	9040
	<pre># read-out channels/ SiPM</pre>	7 x 9040 = 63 280
	interaction lengths $\lambda / \lambda_0$	6.9
	Molière radius $R_M$ for $\pi^{\pm}$	21.1 cm
	Sampling fraction $f$	0.040
Assembly Modules		
8 LFHCAL towers (8M)	total	1091
no FEMC towers	LFHCAL only	538
200 FEMC towers	LFHCAL+FEMC (inner)	87
72 FEMC towers	LFHCAL+FEMC (outer)	466
4 LFHCAL towers (4M)	total	76
no FEMC towers	LFHCAL only	36
100 FEMC towers	LFHCAL+FEMC (inner)	16
36 FEMC towers	LFHCAL+FEMC (outer)	24
2 LFHCAL/ 50 FEMC towers (2M)	LFHCAL+FEMC (inner)	2
1 LFHCAL/ 25 FEMC towers (1M)	LFHCAL+FEMC (inner)	4

### General case for forward calo at BNL

- The forward calorimetry contributes to a wide range of important physics measurements
  - Hermetic coverage
  - High energy, high-Q<sup>2</sup> jets (higher x)
    Improves containment, esp. jets with neutral hadrons
  - High resolution allows substructure measurements
  - Important for determining exclusivity, e.g. for diffractive VM
  - Important for determining gaps, e.g. for inclusive diffraction
- Technologies chosen are well matched to skills of our department
  - sPHENIX group has just built sPHENIX, including a WSciFi EMcal and ECCE OHCal
  - coldQCD group contributed extensively to STAR forward upgrade
  - Extensive experience in how to move from initial concepts to final designs, in close collaboration with other institutions
- Proposed investment : 15-20 FTE after 2025, perhaps 3-5 in shorter term to contribute to design/R&D process before CD2/3A
  - R&D proposal deadline for 2022-2023 is July 25
- I have been discussing this with ORNL and they are eager to see a proposal for a large contribution from BNL

## **Current plans**

- ECCE and Athena proposals had separate concepts for both detectors
  - Detector 1 process has converged on a merger of the two concepts
- The forward EMCal will be based on WSciFi technology, familiar to the sPHENIX group
  - Easier construction
  - Smaller extent in Z (fits available envelope)
- Forward HCal will be based on ECCE LFHCal, itself based on the CBM PSB design
  - 7 longitudinal segments of steel/scint with W/scint. as tail catcher, readout by WS fibers





2. The full pro/con list that was used to inform your recommendations. This should include any and all considerations that helped the WG form your recommendations.

### Technology Pro:

- WScFi is a unique technology allowing to achieve e/h ~I (response to hadrons) and at the same time keep em energy resolution at ~10%/ $\sqrt{E}$  + 2%, no other known technology for EMcals can achieve this. (details <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/event/15493/</u>)
- WScFI is a self supporting structure no dead areas within detector volume.
- WScFi technology allowing to build detectors with different configurations such as an Optical Accordeon, eRDI. Insert next to beam pipe (channeling).
- WScFi technology allows to build very high density calorimeters. Insert next to the beam pipe.  $23X_0$  WScFI 30 cm integration length in Z vs  $18X_0$  SHASHLYK with ~60 cm in Z.
- WScFi method is very simple requires only few components to build detector.
- Very simple mechanical integration (with Hcal and readout).
- Technology Con:
- Absolute light yield is lower than in SHASHLYK (small sampling fraction).
- Uniformity of light collection need to be improved, compared to sPHENIX Important considerations:
- Strong/good record team interested in pECAL (UC\_EIC Consortia, Chinese Consortia, eRD106) see presentation from Chinese consortia https://indico.bnl.gov/event/15812/
- Performance, cost and risk are well understood due to almost 10 years long R&D and sPHENIX construction. Know How well spread in EIC Users community.
- Technology is simple and can be easily transferred (US, China), has minimal requirements on infrastructure at production site.
- R&D plan was submitted (pended now) eRD106 to address LY and uniformity of light collection with compact readout.

## LFHCal concept: module



Based on CBM PSD design: sandwich of 60 layers of 16mm steel/4mm scint., and 10 layers of W/scint as a tail catcher: groups of 10 fibers read out by SiPM (so 7 longitudinal sections)

Notable challenge is production of grooved scintillator



### **Current 8M Scintillator Plate Design**

• Most Scintillator plates produced as 1 unit of 100x200mm plates (8 single tower tiles)

- Separation of tiles edged into the plate (95%) through, refilled with Epoxy-TiO<sub>2</sub> mix
- Wrapped in Tyvek paper and Kapton tape



- Fiber thickness chosen for minimal light loss while bending, might be increased to 0.5mm
   → other geometries under consideration (i.e. 1/4 circle)
- Originally costed from Uniplast as 1 unit of assembly + material
- Updated estimate:
  - ► tile material produced, finished & groved externally
  - fiber installation: 0.25h enginering time/per tile
  - wrapping: 0.1h students time/per tile
  - neccessary tooling 100K
- Exploring possible robot supported options for tile assembly

F. Bock (ORNL)	LFHCal	May 18, 2022	4 / 11

## LFHCal concept: construction



# Costs & labor: LHFCal and pECal

#### **Example 8M module costs:**

Material procurement	Units	Unit Pricing
Absorber plates $+$ support	1	<b>\$</b> 1750
Scintilator plates	70	<b>\$</b> 65
tyvek $+$ capton	4.04	<b>\$</b> 0.4
WLS fibers	1360	<b>\$</b> 3
8M module cost:	1091	\$9822
Assembly labor	hours	cost
installing fiber mech. engineer	17.5 h	<b>\$</b> 2680.5
tile wrapping PhD students	7 h	<b>\$</b> 140
tower assembly mech. engineer	0.083 h	<b>\$</b> 12.8
tower assembly PhD Student	1.92 h	<b>\$</b> 38.4
tower assembly Undergrad	11 h	<b>\$</b> 220
tower assembly Postdoc	1 h	<b>\$</b> 71
tower assembly PhD Student	4.5	<b>\$</b> 90
8M module cost:	1091	\$2252.7
Electronics	Units	Unit Pricing
SiPMs	56	<b>\$</b> 10
mounting boards	1	<b>\$</b> 10
cable+HV/LV	1	$\sim$ <b>\$</b> 822
8M module cost:	1091	\$1392

primary cost driver is 1091 8M modules - total of \$17.7M (escalated is a bit over \$18M) Cost drivers (ATHENA dimensions)

- Scintillating fibers \$1.87M (Quoted, KURARAY)
- W powder \$1.38M (sPHENIX, price in China)
- SiPMs \$2.44M (Quoted)
- Electronics \$1.33M (Direct scaling from STAR FCS)
- Labor \$4.1M (\$1.98M to project) (mostly historical)

Total: ~\$12.7M (using project templates)

looking at a \$30M project overall.

# Where can BNL help?

### lots of input from Friederike Bock here

### • Physics (motivation and analysis)

- jet physics requires high granularity hermetic forward calo can we do the measurements we need? (TMDs, substructure, etc.)
- exclusive physics would have less stringent requirements, but still necessary or neutral particles (and forward eID)
- Can contribute to detector calibration(s), jet calibration, etc.

### Detector design: lots of design details and R&D needed (right now!)

- R&D needed for e.g. LFHCal scintillator production (e.g. use of robots?)
- Lots of experience from STAR forward upgrade and sPHENIX EMCal
- Perhaps even optimize final design based on further physics input, e.g. jet substructure
- Contribute to RD106 and RD107 (testbeam planning and execution)

### Mechanical engineering

- Coordinate construction, assembly and integration into D1
- Close collaboration with project, but can utilize extensive experience within PO

### DAQ/electronics

Readout planned to be on-detector but readout concepts still in early stages (e.g. suggestion to use CMS HGCROC) - D1 pECal is following LFHCAL developments

### Slow controls