# **TMDs and Precision Theory**

TMDs = Transverse Momentum Dependent Distributions

# Iain Stewart MIT

## CFNS Workshop on "Theory for the EIC in the next decade" MIT, Cambridge September 20, 2022



**Massachusetts Institute of Technology** 





## **Unravelling the Mysteries of Relativistic Hadronic Bound States**



Parton distributions provide fundamental description

Snapshot of the bound constituents

Learn about confined quarks and gluons

- Next frontier includes:
  - more detailed structure (spin, gluons, ion targets, ...)





**Observables**  
**SIDIS with polarized electron & proton:** 
$$e^{-p} \xrightarrow{*} e^{-hX}$$
 **Key target**  
 $a = p \xrightarrow{d^{\circ}} e^{-hX}$  **Key target**  
 $a$ 

# **TMDs with Polarization**



# **TMDs with Polarization**





Rigorous Factorization Theorems



 $\frac{d\sigma}{dQdYdq_T^2} = H(Q,\mu) \int d^2 \vec{b}_T \ e^{i\vec{q}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} \ f_q(x_a,\vec{b}_T,\mu,\zeta_a) \ f_q(x_b,\vec{b}_T,\mu,\zeta_b) \Big[ 1 + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\Big) \Big]$ 

CSS (Collins, Soper, Sterman) SCET (Soft Collinear Effective Theory)

TMD Definitions (constructions & schemes)

full understanding now available

 $f_q(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \zeta) \sim Z_{\rm uv} \langle p | O_B | p \rangle / \sqrt{\langle 0 | O_S | 0 \rangle}$ 



tractable methods with Lattice QCD (see Yong Zhao's talk)

• **Universality** same TMDs in DY, SIDIS,  $e^+e^$ but with sign flip for Sivers and Boer-Mulders: Brodsky-Huang-Schmidt; Collins, ...

 $f_{1T}^{\perp \text{ SIDIS}} = -f_{1T}^{\perp \text{ DY}}$  $h_1^{\perp \text{ SIDIS}} = -h_1^{\perp \text{ DY}}$ 

directly probes final/initial state interactions with "spectator" partons in the proton!

# **Field Theory**

• Evolution Sum large logarithms:  $\ln(Q^2 b_T^2) \sim \ln \frac{Q^2}{q_T^2}$   $f_q(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu, \zeta) = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_{\mu}^q(\mu', \zeta_0)\right] \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\zeta}^q(\mu, b_T) \ln \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_0}\right] f_q(x, \vec{b}_T, \mu_0, \zeta_0)$ CS kernel Boundary condition Perturbative  $\gamma_i^q$ : Leading Log (LL)  $\rightarrow$  Next-to-leading log (NLL)  $\rightarrow$  NNLL  $\rightarrow$  N<sup>3</sup>LL  $\rightarrow$  N<sup>4</sup>LL

**Nonperturbative**  $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^{q}$ : fit to data using models, or calculate with Lattice QCD

#### Operator Product Expansion and (non)perturbative inputs

 $f_{i/h}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) = f_{i/h}^{\text{pert}}(x, b^*(b_T), \mu, \zeta) f_{i/h}^{\text{NP}}(x, b_T)$ 

perturbativenonperturbative (models, lattice) $b_T^{-1} \sim q_T \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$  $b_T^{-1} \sim q_T \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ 

**OPE:** 
$$f_{i/h}^{\text{pert}}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) = \sum_j \int \frac{dy}{y} C_{ij}(x/y, b_T, \mu, \zeta) f_{j/h}(y, \mu)$$
  
**LO**  $(\alpha_s^0) \rightarrow \text{NLO} (\alpha_s) \rightarrow \text{NNLO} (\alpha_s^2) \rightarrow \text{N}^3 \text{LO} (\alpha_s^3)$ 
Iongitudinal PDFs

#### Example of question we now know how to answer



 $d^{2}k_{T} f(x, k_{T}, \mu, \zeta) \stackrel{?}{=} f(x, \mu)$ 

- Intuitive expectation is robust in the vicinity of  $\mu = \sqrt{\zeta} = k_T^{cut}$
- Sizable corrections away from this region
- Uncertainty from  $q_T^{\text{cut}} b_T^{\text{cut}} \gg 1$ and nonperturbative corrections are small (at 1% level)
- Perturbative uncertainty at N3LL dominates (at 4% level)

$$\int_{k_T^{\text{cut}}}^{k_T^{\text{cut}}} d^2 \vec{k}_T f^{\text{TMD}}(x, k_T, \mu = k_T^{\text{cut}}, \zeta) = f^{\text{coll}}(x, \mu = k_T^{\text{cut}})$$

[Ebert, Michel, IS, Sun, 2201.07237]

TMDs



# TMD Handbook

A modern introduction to the physics of Transverse Momentum Dependent distributions

# I 2 chapters470 pages(soon to be released)



11



**Renaud Boussarie** Matthias Burkardt Martha Constantinou William Detmold Markus Ebert Michael Engelhardt Sean Fleming Leonard Gamberg Xiangdong Ji Zhong-Bo Kang **Christopher Lee** Keh-Fei Liu Simonetta Liuti Thomas Mehen \* Andreas Metz John Negele **Daniel Pitonyak** Alexei Prokudin Jian-Wei Qiu Abha Rajan Marc Schlegel Phiala Shanahan Peter Schweitzer Iain W. Stewart \* Andrey Tarasov Raju Venugopalan Ivan Vitev Feng Yuan Yong Zhao

\* - Editors

# Recent Results and Future Opportunities

- Multi-loop results
- Global fit ingredients
- Nonperturbative modeling
- New observables  $(q_*, \tau_1)$
- New distributions (Subleading power TMDs)

## Multi-loop results

TMD physics with state-of-the-art precision

#### **Key new ingredients:**

 OPE for TMD PDFs and FFs to 3-loops (all channels)
 Ebert, Mistlberger, Vita (2020)
 Luo, Yang, Zhu, Zhu (2020)

 $f_{i/h}^{\text{pert}}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) = \sum_j \int \frac{dy}{y} C_{ij}(x/y, b_T, \mu, \zeta) f_{j/h}(y, \mu)$ 

Accuracy	$H, \mathcal{J}$	$\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)$	$\gamma^q_H(lpha_s)$	$\gamma^q_r(lpha_s)$	$\beta(lpha_s)$
LL	Tree level	1-loop	—	_	1-loop
NLL	Tree level	2-loop	1-loop	1-loop	2-loop
$\mathrm{NLL}'$	1-loop	2-loop	1-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NNLL	1-loop	3-loop	2-loop	2-loop	3-loop
NNLL'	2-loop	3-loop	2-loop	2-loop	3-loop
N <sup>3</sup> LL	2-loop	4-loop	3-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$N^{3}LL'$	3-loop	4-loop	3-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$N^4LL$	3-loop	5-loop	4-loop	4-loop	5-loop
$\rm N^4 LL'$	4-loop	5-loop	4-loop	4-loop	5-loop

#### eg. of precision (EEC TMD observable)



## Multi-loop results

TMD physics with state-of-the-art precision

#### **Future Opportunities:**

 Make high precision predictions for unpolarized SIDIS and Drell-Yan using these results!

Accuracy	$H, \mathcal{J}$	$\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)$	$\gamma^q_H(\alpha_s)$	$\gamma^q_r(lpha_s)$	$\beta(\alpha_s)$
LL	Tree level	1-loop	_	—	1-loop
NLL	Tree level	2-loop	1-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NLL'	1-loop	2-loop	1-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NNLL	1-loop	3-loop	2-loop	2-loop	3-loop
NNLL'	2-loop	3-loop	2-loop	2-loop	3-loop
N <sup>3</sup> LL	2-loop	4-loop	3-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$N^{3}LL'$	3-loop	4-loop	3-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$N^4LL$	3-loop	5-loop	4-loop	4-loop	5-loop
$\rm N^4LL'$	4-loop	5-loop	4-loop	4-loop	5-loop

- Need analogous multi-loop calculations for spin dependent TMD PDFs and TMD FFs to fully exploit the EIC program: tools are there to do it!
- Theoretical perturbative uncertainty currently dominate in certain regions: still the case? Reduce to level commensurate with experimental uncertainties?



#### Sivers TMD@EIC:



## Global fit ingredients

- Current highest precision global fits to SIDIS and Drell-Yan data (eg. N<sup>3</sup>LL + NNLO) are often done with constraints
  - **eg.** SV19 = Scimemi, Vladimirov (1912.06532)

Pavia19 = Bachetta, Bertone, Bissolotti, Bozzi, Delcarro, Piacenza, Radici (1912.07550)

 $q_T/Q < 0.2 - 0.25$  (TMD region with 4-6% power corrections)

Only unpolarized data used.

(See talk by Nobuo Sato for more results, JAM, ...)

#### **Future Opportunities:**

• More work is needed to fully and accurately handle multiple kinematic regions:  $q_T \ll Q$  and  $q_T \sim Q$  and thus <u>fully exploit</u> the available/expected data

Move towards fits that simultaneously fit unpolarized and spin-dependent data

Consider also observables involving jets (see talk by Zhongbo Kang)

#### so far, fixed functional forms

- Pavia19 TMDPDF: 7
  - CS kernel: 2

$$f_{\rm NP}(x, b_T) = \left[\frac{1-\lambda}{1+g_1(x)\frac{b_T^2}{4}} + \lambda \exp\left(-g_{1B}(x)\frac{b_T^2}{4}\right)\right]$$
$$g_1(x) = \frac{N_1}{x\sigma} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\ln^2\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)\right]$$
$$g_{1B}(x) = \frac{N_{1B}}{x\sigma_B} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_B^2}\ln^2\left(\frac{x}{\alpha_B}\right)\right]$$
$$\gamma_{\zeta}^q(\mu, b) = \gamma_{\zeta}^q \operatorname{pert}(\mu, b_*) - \frac{1}{2}\left(g_2b_T^2 + g_{2B}b_T^4\right)$$

#### Nonperturbative modeling

- SV19 TMDPDF: 5 TMDFF: 4
  - CS kernel: 2

$$f_{NP}(x,b) = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_1(1-x) + \lambda_2 x + x(1-x)\lambda_5}{\sqrt{1+\lambda_3}x^{\lambda_4}b^2}b^2\right)$$

$$D_{NP}(x,b) = \exp\left(-\frac{\eta_1 z + \eta_2(1-z)}{\sqrt{1+\eta_3(b/z)^2}}\frac{b^2}{z^2}\right)\left(1+\eta_4\frac{b^2}{z^2}\right)$$

 $\gamma_{\zeta}^{q}(\mu, b) = \gamma_{\zeta}^{q \text{ pert}}(\mu, b^{*}) - \frac{1}{2}c_{0}bb^{*} \qquad \gamma_{\zeta}^{q}(\mu, b) = \gamma_{\zeta}^{q \text{ pert}}(\mu, b_{*}) - \frac{1}{2}(g_{2}b_{T}^{2} + g_{2B}b_{T}^{2})$   $b^{*}(b) = \frac{b}{\sqrt{1 + b^{2}/B_{\text{NP}}^{2}}} \qquad b_{*}(b_{T}) = b_{\max}\left(\frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{b_{T}^{4}}{b_{\max}^{4}}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{b_{T}^{4}}{b_{\min}^{4}}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ 

(model for b\* used to split perturbative & non-perturbative parts)



SV19 = Scimemi, Vladimirov (1912.06532)

Pavia19 = Bachetta, Bertone, Bissolotti, Bozzi, Delcarro, Piacenza, Radici (1912.07550)

**Fit Results:** 

**SV19** 

Pavia19

for TMD PDF & TMD FF



Precise determinations for a given fit form.

## Nonperturbative modeling

#### **Future Opportunities:**

- More extensive exploration of dependence on functional forms, Neural Net, ...
- Many fits assume flavor universality for intrinsic TMDs (same for up, down, ...). Need to continue to move away from this assumption.
   Future precision data (EIC) will also play an important role. eg. need to determine antiquark in SIDIS to test SIVERS sign flip [Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov, 2012.05135]
- Lattice QCD results will become more accurate and need to be used
- Interpretation of non-perturbative model parameters in current fits is also difficult.
   Different meaning for parameters in <u>same</u> functional form, with different b\* choice.

Methods that are model independent (no b\*) could be exploited [Ebert, Michel, IS, Sun, 2201.07237]



#### **Future EIC Opportunity**

#### New observables

*Q*\* "A Better Angle on Hadron Transverse Momentum Distributions at the EIC" Gao, Michel, Sun, IS (this week!)  $e^{-}(\ell) + N(P) \rightarrow e^{-}(\ell') + h(P_h) + X$ 

Key challenge for probing hadronization and confinement at EIC is ability to accurately reconstruct final  $\vec{\ell'}$ , and thus  $\vec{P_{hT}}$  (defined relative to  $\vec{q}$ )

eg.  $Q = 20 \text{ GeV}, \Delta \ell' = 0.5 \text{ GeV} \implies 50\%$  uncertainty on  $P_{hT}/z = 1 \text{ GeV}$ 

This makes it difficult to measure TMDs in the most interesting region!

#### New observables

- Future EIC Opportunity
- *Q*\* "A Better Angle on Hadron Transverse Momentum Distributions at the EIC" Gao, Michel, Sun, IS (this week!)  $e^{-}(\ell) + N(P) \rightarrow e^{-}(\ell') + h(P_h) + X$

Key challenge for probing hadronization and confinement at EIC is ability to accurately reconstruct final  $\vec{\ell'}$ , and thus  $\vec{P_{hT}}$  (defined relative to  $\vec{q}$ )

eg.  $Q = 20 \text{ GeV}, \Delta \ell' = 0.5 \text{ GeV} \implies 50\%$  uncertainty on  $P_{hT}/z = 1 \text{ GeV}$ Solve by replacing  $\overrightarrow{P}_{hT}$  by an angular observable  $q_*$ 



#### New observables

#### **Future EIC Opportunity**

#### Gao, Michel, Sun, IS (this week!)

#### *Q*\* "A Better Angle on Hadron Transverse Momentum Distributions at the EIC"

$$q_* \equiv 2P_{\text{EIC}}^0 \frac{e^{\eta_h}}{1 + e^{(\eta_h - \eta_{\ell'})}} \tan \phi_{\text{acop}}^{\text{EIC}}$$

 $e^{-}(\ell) + N(P) \rightarrow e^{-}(\ell') + h(P_h) + X$ SIDIS

Compare EIC resolution for  $q_*$  vs.  $P_{hT}/z$ 

expected event-level resolution for these TMD observables:



Pythia simulation with EIC cuts

Gaussian smearing of final state  $e^-$ , hmomenta using resolutions that match the tracking performance given in EIC Yellow report.

#### *q*<sup>\*</sup> gives an order of magnitude improvement!



**Event shapes:** 

 $\tau_1$ 

DIS thrust @ N3LL and angularity @ NNLL

Lee, Kang, IS (2013, 2021); Zhu, Kang, Maji (2021)

#### **Future EIC Opportunity**

Replace final hadron in SIDIS by a jet

Sensitive to standard PDFs (not TMDs, but some vars do have TMD integral)

Precision predictions for: measuring PDFs and  $\alpha_s(m_Z)$  at EIC



# **Azimuthal Asymmetries in SIDIS at subleading power**

• At subleading order in  $q_T/Q \ll 1$  there are new TMD probes: 8 new structure functions in SIDIS famous Cahn ('78) effect:

 $\frac{d^{6}\sigma_{\text{subleading}}}{dx \, dy \, dz_{h} \, d\phi_{S} \, d\phi_{h} \, dP_{hT}^{2}} = \frac{\alpha_{em}^{2}}{x \, y \, Q^{2}} \left(1 - y + \frac{1}{2} y^{2}\right) \left\{\cos(\phi_{h}) \, p_{3} \, F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_{h})}\right\} \qquad \text{transverse motion of quarks gives a } \cos(\phi_{h}) \text{ asymmetry}$   $+ \lambda \sin(\phi_{h}) \, p_{4} \, F_{LU}^{\sin(\phi_{h})} + S_{L} \sin(\phi_{h}) \, p_{3} \, F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi_{h})} + \lambda \, S_{L} \cos(\phi_{h}) \, p_{4} \, F_{LL}^{\cos(\phi_{h})}$   $+ S_{T} \sin(2\phi_{h} - \phi_{S}) \, p_{3} \, F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_{h} - \phi_{S})} + S_{T} \sin(\phi_{S}) \, p_{3} \, F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{S})}$   $+ \lambda \, S_{T} \cos(\phi_{S}) \, p_{4} \, F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_{S})} + \lambda \, S_{T} \cos(2\phi_{h} - \phi_{S}) \, p_{4} \, F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_{h} - \phi_{S})} \right\}$ Bacchetta, Diehl, Goeke, Metz, Mulders, Schlegel (2006)

 Tree level parton model shows these give access to subleading power TMDs

> Mulders, Tangerman (1995); Bachetta et al (2008)(2019)

> > Compass measurement (2014)



# Factorization for Azimuthal Asymmetries in SIDIS at next-to-leading power

 Recently we derived\* all orders subleading power factorization theorems for these SIDIS structure functions (using SCET)
 Ebert, Gao, IS (2112.07680)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{eg. Cahn effect} \quad \mathcal{F}_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_h)} = \mathcal{F} \bigg\{ -\frac{P_{hT}}{zQ} \,\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \bigg[ f_1 D_1 - \frac{2 \, p_{Tx} \, k_{Tx} - \vec{p}_T \cdot \vec{k}_T}{M_N M_h} \, h_1^\perp H_1^\perp \bigg] & (\text{Kinematic corrections}) \\ & - \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \bigg[ \frac{p_{Tx} + k_{Tx}}{Q} f_1 D_1 + \frac{p_T^2 \, k_{Tx} + k_T^2 \, p_{Tx}}{Q M_N M_h} h_1^\perp H_1^\perp \bigg] & (\text{From the } \mathcal{P}_\perp \text{ operators}) \\ & + \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \bigg[ \frac{2x}{Q} \left( k_{Tx} \, \tilde{f}^\perp D_1 + \frac{M_N}{M_h} p_{Tx} \, \tilde{h} \, H_1^\perp \right) + \frac{2}{zQ} \left( k_{px} \, f_1 \tilde{D}^\perp + \frac{M_h}{M_N} k_{Tx} \, h_1^\perp \tilde{H} \right) \bigg] \bigg\} \\ & (\text{From the } \mathcal{B}_\perp \text{ operators}) \end{array}$$

 Only new TMDs are quark-gluon-quark (QGQ) correlators

 $\tilde{f}_{\rm QGQ} \sim \langle p | \bar{\psi} A \psi | p \rangle$ 



# Factorization for Azimuthal Asymmetries in SIDIS at next-to-leading power

• TMD quark-gluon-quark (QGQ) correlators

 $\tilde{f}_{\rm QGQ} \sim \langle p | \bar{\psi} A \psi | p \rangle$ 





additional probes for hadronic structure, now on a firm theoretical footing!

# Summary

- TMDs provide novel information about hadrons: confinement, hadronization, correlations, access to interesting phenomena in hadron structure
- Prospects are bright for precise measurements, with high precision theoretical predictions for cross sections
- Opportunities with new TMD experimental probes, eg.  $q_*, \tau_1$
- Opportunities with new probes of hadron structure (QGQ TMD correlators). Lots to do to study subleading power theoretically.
- Can look forward to global fits of TMDs reaching towards the level we have now for PDFs
- Continuing the strong support for EIC theory directions, and the synergy among various communities are both necessary for this program to succeed



# Backup



**SV19 = Scimemi, Vladimirov (1912.06532)** 

#### Pavia19 = Bachetta, Bertone, Bissolotti, Bozzi, Delcarro, Piacenza, Radici (1912.07550)

#### **Fit Results:**

$$\chi^2/N_{pt} = 1.06$$

Pavia19

 $\chi^2/N_{pt} = 1.02$ 

NP-parameters				
RAD	$B_{\rm NP} = 1.93 \pm 0.22$	$c_0 = (4.27 \pm 1.05) \times 10^{-2}$		
TMDPDF	$\lambda_1 = 0.224 \pm 0.029$	$\lambda_2 = 9.24 \pm 0.46$	$\lambda_3 = 375. \pm 89.$	
	$\lambda_4 = 2.15 \pm 0.19$	$\lambda_5 = -4.97 \pm 1.37$		
TMDFF	$\eta_1 = 0.233 \pm 0.018$	$\eta_2 = 0.479 \pm 0.025$		
	$\eta_3 = 0.472 \pm 0.041$	$\eta_4 = 0.511 \pm 0.040$		

Low and High energy data are well described

CS kernel parameters are less sensitive to input PDF set Universality of CS kernel satisfied by DY vs. SIDIS data

Parameter	Value		
<i>g</i> <sub>2</sub>	$0.036 \pm 0.009$		
$N_1$	$0.625 \pm 0.282$		
α	$0.205 \pm 0.010$		
$\sigma$	$0.370 \pm 0.063$		
λ	$0.580 \pm 0.092$		
$N_{1B}$	$0.044 \pm 0.012$		
$\alpha_B$	$0.069 \pm 0.009$		
$\sigma_B$	$0.356 \pm 0.075$		
<i>8</i> 2 <i>B</i>	$0.012 \pm 0.003$		



Extraction of Sivers function from global fit to SIDIS, DY, and W/Z data [76 bins: HERMES, COMPASS, Jlab (SIDIS); STAR(W/Z); COMPASS (DY)]

 $f_{1T}^{\perp \text{ SIDIS}} = -f_{1T}^{\perp \text{ DY}}$ 

#### N3LL analysis following SV19

Flavor dependent parametrization (no matching)

$$f_{1T;q \leftarrow h}^{\perp}(x,b) = N_q \frac{(1-x)x^{\beta_q}(1+\epsilon_q x)}{n(\beta_q,\epsilon_q)} \exp\left(-\frac{r_0+xr_1}{\sqrt{1+r_2}x^2b^2}b^2\right)$$

#### **Results:**

**Good global fit:**  $\chi^2/N_{pt} = 0.88$ 

**Opposite signs for up and down Sivers functions** 

Data not precise enough to confirm sign flip

$$f_{1T}^{\perp \text{ SIDIS}} = +f_{1T}^{\perp \text{ DY}}$$
 gives  $\chi^2/N_{pt} = 1.0$ 



Need higher precision data (Jlab, EIC) to pin down antiquark in SIDIS

# Disentangling Long and Short Distances in Momentum-Space TMDs

Ebert, Michel, IS, Sun (arXiv:2201.07237)

- Use of b\* entangles perturbative and nonperturbative TMD components
- Would like to extract nonpert. information without relying on b\* Intuition: perturbative  $q_T$  should be dominated by perturbative  $b_T$
- Recently we developed a method to do this by setting up a systematic expansion



**Evolution**  

$$f_{q}(x, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta) \qquad \mu = \text{renormalization scale}$$

$$f_{q}(x, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta) = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu_{t}^{\mu}} \frac{d\mu'}{d\mu'} \gamma_{q}^{q}(\mu', \zeta_{0})\right] \exp\left[\frac{1}{q_{\tau}^{2}} \left(\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\chi} \frac{d\mu'}{d\mu'} \gamma_{\mu}^{q}(\mu', \zeta_{0})\right)\right] \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\zeta}^{q}(\mu, b_{T}) \ln \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{0}}\right] f_{q}(x, \vec{b}_{T}, \mu_{0}, \zeta_{0})$$

$$OPE: \quad \text{expansion in the region } \frac{1/b_{T}, \mu_{0}, \mu}{h'_{T}, \mu_{0}, \mu} \ge \Lambda_{QCD}$$

$$\gamma_{\zeta,i}^{(0)}(b_{T}, \mu) + b_{T}^{2} \gamma_{\zeta,i}^{(2)}(b_{T}) + \mathcal{O}\left[(\Lambda_{QCD}b_{T})^{4}\right]$$

$$perturbative \quad nonperturbative$$

$$f_{i}(x, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta) = f_{i}^{(0)}(x, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta) + b_{T}^{2} f_{i}^{(2)}(x, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta) + \mathcal{O}\left[(\Lambda_{QCD}b_{T})^{4}\right]$$

$$= f_{i}^{(0)}(x, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta) \left(1 + \Lambda_{i}^{(2)}(x) b_{T}^{2} + \mathcal{O}\left[(\Lambda_{QCD}b_{T})^{4}\right]\right) \quad \text{multiplicative form}$$

$$f_{i}^{(0)}(x, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta) = \sum_{j} \int \frac{dz}{z} C_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{z}, b_{T}, \mu, \zeta\right) f_{j}(z, \mu)$$

$$perturbative \quad nonperturbative PDF$$

•