Electromagnetic Barrel Calorimetry



Tanja Horn

The Catholic University of America / Jefferson Lab



Ongoing Activities

Pro-Con List for Calo WG

- Prototype beam test with 40cm long blocks eRD105 (SciGlass) ahead of schedule with long block scale-up
- Further optimizations ongoing (e.g., to further minimize space between blocks and wedges)
 - O(1 mm) gap between blocks makes stacking easier (NPS choice: 0.5 mm).

Simulations

Pro/Con List for SciGlass Barrel EMCal

From Calo WG meeting 9/1/22

Category	PRO	CON
Performance	 Well-established technology (homogeneous EM calorimeter) Fulfills YR/NAS requirements even before optimization Further optimizations ongoing (e.g., to further minimize space between blocks and wedges) 	 O(1 mm) gap between blocks makes stacking easier (NPS choice: 0.5 mm).
Risk	 Main risks of developing high-quality SciGlass were addressed by DOE/SBIR grants and generic detector R&D program Performance for 7X0 glass validated by prototype test Several 15X0 (40 cm) blocks routinely produced now Experienced team of institutions taking on the construction 13 universities (10 US, 3 international) 	 Delay of beam validation with 15 X0 glass in Hall D (scheduled Fall 2022)
Integration	 Matched to backward region needs Requires no additional technology for readout electronics – can be identical to backward EMCal Moderate number of readout channels Advanced design concept built on PANDA precision homogeneous barrel EMCal design Adding serviceability of individual wedges (24 or 12) 	 Radial space need, would prefer 17 X0 (~45 cm) – addressed by ongoing work to optimize frame, serviceability and minimization of readout space need. Gap of O(1 cm) between wedges
Cost	 SciGlass is cost-effective option for homogeneous calorimetry 80% of labor provided in-kind – domestic and international Opportunities for many early-career in-kind contributions NSF/MSRI proposal discussed – additional in-kind for materials No long-lead items 	

Cost

- SciGlass is cost-effective option for homogeneous calorimetry
- 80% of labor provided in-kind domestic and international
- Opportunities for many early-career in-kind contributions
- NSF/MSRI proposal discussed additional in-kind for materials
- No long-lead items

SciGlass Barrel Cost from WBS06.10.05 (May 2022)

	N of towers	M&S, \$	Labor, h
Barrel	8800	\$15,668,721	16,319
e-endcap	3256	\$7,103,707	36,249
h-endcap	53616	\$4,272,708	17,276
Total	65672	\$27 <i>,</i> 045,136	69,844

- Opportunities for many early-career in-kind contributions for radiator, design/construction, simulation, readout
- □ 80% of the labor hours are provided in-kind.
- □ Based on previous experience these can be completed with students and postdocs, e.g., past experience with Q&A for order 1000 crystals.
- **Opportunity also for in-kind for M&S (NSF MSRI)**

NSF Proposal – additional in-kind for equipment

"Apparatus for the Detection and Identification of Electrons of the Electron-Ion Collider"

13 institutions, 10 US, 3 international

Will submit to FOA later in 2022

EIC-NSF Level XX (Draft)	Institution (Draft)	Major Funding	Major Team Member (Draft)
Mechanical Structure	IJCLab-Orsay	International	Carlos Munoz-Camacho
	MIT/MIT-Bates	DOE	Richard Milner
Radiator	Charles U./Prague	International	Miroslav Finger
	CUA	NSF	Tanja Horn
Front-end electronics	Lehigh U.	NSF and DOE	Rosi Reed
	FIU	DOE	Lei Guo
Back-end readout	James Madison U.	NSF	Ioana Niculescu
electronics, DAQ, full-	Ohio U.	NSF	Justin Frantz
chain tests	JLab	DOE	Vladimir Berdnikov
Prototyping, test stands, calorimeter assembly	AANL	International	Ani Aprahamian
	U. Kentucky	NSF	Renee Fatemi
	Abilene Christian U.	DOE	Jim Drachenberg
Simulation, reconstruction	W&M (also Ohio U.)	NSF and DOE	Cristiano Fanelli

Consortium: Electromagnetic Precision Calorimetry

(AANL, CUA, UKY, MIT, Lehigh, FIU and IJCLab had earlier meeting with NSF to pre-discuss) followed by meeting DOE-NSF-EIC PM



Risk

- Main risks of developing high-quality SciGlass were addressed by DOE/SBIR grants and generic detector R&D program
- Performance for 7X0 glass validated by prototype test
 - Delay of beam validation with 15 X0 glass in Hall D (scheduled Fall 2022)
- Several 15X0 (40 cm) blocks routinely produced now
- Experienced team of institutions taking on the construction
- 13 universities (10 US, 3 international)

Production: Radiator

- SciGlass 20cm has been produced reliably; We tested a 3x3 20 cm SciGlass prototype detector in beam and measured its performance (ongoing R&D EEEMCAL consortium, eRD105)
- \Box Measured performance for 20cm SciGlass (7X₀) as per GEANT simulation
- □ We have an SBIR phase-II to start large-scale production or larger blocks (40+ cm, rectangular and projective shapes)
- \Box Received the first polished 40 cm SciGlass (15X₀) late 2021; issues identified and fixed
- SciGlass 40cm can now be produced routinely; received the first detector prototype 40cm SciGlass two weeks ago, eight more coming in the next few days





SciGlass Projected Performance

SciGlass development is supported by SBIR/STTR DE-SC0020619



Prototype 3x3 array installed and tested – energy resolution measured for three different beam energies
 Results for ~7 X₀ blocks – matches with Geant4
 Plans for 2022: Test with ~15X₀ (40cm) long blocks





Preparations for Prototype Test





ltem	Task	FY22		FY23				FY24					
			Q1	Q2 (Q3 Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q 4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Glass fabrication	Scale up to 4x4x45cm ³	\checkmark											
	Show uniformity and reproducibility	\checkmark											
	Fabrication process optimization	\sim											
	Process design verification to scale up												
	Large scale production study												
Glass Characterizatio	Optical characteristics												
	Irradiation												
Software	Prototype												
	Design options												
Prototype	Small prototype												
	Upgrade and commissioning												

Integration

- Matched to backward region needs
 - Radial space need, would prefer 17 X0 (~45 cm) addressed by ongoing work to optimize frame, serviceability and minimization of readout space need.
- Requires no additional technology for readout electronics can be identical to backward EMCal
- Moderate number of readout channels
- Advanced design concept built on PANDA precision homogeneous barrel EMCal design
- Adding serviceability of individual wedges (24 or 12)
 - Gap of O(1 cm) between wedges

Design optimizations PANDA



Optimizations to optimize frame, serviceability and minimization of readout space

EIC



EIC variant would omit the module plate and support feet layer and attach the alveoli's inserts directly to the support beam as well as omitting the front thermal shield.

Ongoing Activities

□ Simulation – updating the Barrel EMCal model (U. Kentucky, FIU, AANL)

- DD4HEP (Dmitry Kalinkin, Renee Fatemi (U. Kentucky) with help from Wouter et al.)
- Fun4All (Taya Chetry (FIU), Hamlet Mkrtchyan et al. (AANL), MIT, with help from Friederike, Nico et al.)

Mechanical – advancing the design (Josh Crafts, CUA and Avishay M., MIT, Rahul Sharma, BNL)

- Slide/supermodule details also cooling, cabling, etc.
- $\,\circ\,$ Attachment to support structure and optimization
- Access and maintenance Thomson slides integrated in frame or Teflon coating

□Electronics/Readout – fully compatible with PbWO4 choices

 $\circ~$ Geant simulation if similarly do not need light guides for SciGlass

Prototype beam tests Fall 2022 (Vladimir Berdnikov, JLab)

- \circ 3 x 3 prototype of SciGlass 40cm
- \circ SiPM readout with 10um 50um pixel pitch matrices also relevant for PWO

Homogeneous Design based on PANDA



separated single slice of 710 crystals. A slice covering 1/16 of the barrel volume.

Impact of Gaps Between Blocks – Example PWO



Figure 6: Comparison of the 10GeV gamma energy deposition histogram Gap : 2mm

For the 2 x 2 x 20 cm3 PbWO4 crystals the impact of a 2 mm air or carbon gap has been well studied

Impact of Gaps Between Blocks – Example PWO

Resolution of the NPS depending on the gap btw the crystals

Resolution of the NPS depending on the gap btw the crystals



Figure 7: Comparison between the air gap & carbon gap. 1% miscalibration.

For the 2 x 2 x 20 cm³ PbWO4 crystals the impact of an air or carbon gap has been well studied. \rightarrow A gap of 2 mm air gives about the same performance as a gap of 0.5 mm carbon.

- □ For NPS the choice became a 0.5 mm air gap with only a small piece of carbon in front and back of the crystal to hold them as compromise between resolution and ease of installation.
- □ The impact of gaps for SciGlass needs to be similarly understood resolve by updating the barrel EMCal model in simulation. Mechanically, we have found that with six families of 4 x 4 x 45 cm³ SciGlass blocks we can already limit to a < 3 mm air gap (flaring from < 1 mm in the front to ~2.5 mm in the back). Further mitigation is possible (more families, look at interaction region) As with NPS we likely would use a small piece of carbon in front and back of the glass to hold them, but the main gap will be air. Between the supermodule wedges (each containing 5 x 65 glass blocks) there would be of O(1 cm) gap for stainless housing, needed for ease of wedge removal.
- □ For SciGlass with block size 4 x 4 x 45 cm³ we are now investigating the effect of these gaps in simulations.

