

WG5 – election policy proposal

- Election Committee: an ad-hoc committee set up for each election; 3 IB members nominated /self-nominated and then appointed by IB chair. Expect rotations for fair representation
- Major elections – IB chair(s) and Spokesperson(s)
- Minor elections: TBD – possible involvement with soliciting nominations for other TBD Standing Committees ?
- Voting: By IB members; electronic (secret) ballots. All votes must be announced four/two (?) weeks ahead of time and include specific details on how the voting will occur.
- Voting weights: proportional weights to group sizes. Possible scheme (a-la ATHENA): 1 vote per group + additional vote per every 10 (?) members
- DEI interface: involve DEI representative(s) into each nomination process
- Reporting: report to the Collaboration at each Collaboration meeting closest to the completed election

WG5 – election policy proposal

- IB Chair elections:
 - Nominations are solicited from the IB; candidates must be IB members
 - Candidate statements to be shared with IB at least 2 weeks before election
 - Virtual meeting with candidates?
 - Election time/voting format to be announced at least 4 weeks ahead of the vote
 - **Simple majority of IB members voted**
 - Quorum: 50% of IB (?)
 - If more than 2 candidates:
 - Ranked choice voting
 - with no single candidates securing 50% threshold in the first round – run-off election for the 2 top candidates

WG5 – election policy proposal

- Spokesperson/people:
 - Nominations are solicited from the entire collaboration
 - Candidate statements to be shared with collaboration at least 2 weeks before election
 - Election time/voting format to be announced at least 4 weeks ahead of the vote
 - **Simple majority of votes**
 - Quorum: 50% of IB (?)
 - If more than 2 candidates:
 - Ranked choice voting
 - with no single candidates securing 50% threshold in the first round – run-off election for the 2 top candidates

WG5 – policy proposal

- Not yet discussed by the WG5, but last moment thought based on STAR experience:
 - High IB quorums are hard!
 - We hope for an active and efficient IB, but should consider extra “incentives”
- Require participation from IB members – suspension of voting rights after 3 missed elections?