Chirality, Vorticity and Magnetic Field in Heavy Ion Collisions

2 – 4 December 2022, UCLA

Quarkonia and heavy flavor polarization: recent results and future perspectives

Luca Micheletti (INFN Torino)



Polarization: an introduction

Polarization refers to the particle spin alignment with respect to a chosen direction For a vector meson (ν) the total angular momentum (J, J_z) state can be expressed as:



$$|\boldsymbol{\nu}:\boldsymbol{J},\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathbf{z}}\rangle = \boldsymbol{b}_{+1}|1,+1\rangle + \boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{0}}|1,0\rangle + \boldsymbol{b}_{-1}|1,-1\rangle$$

<u>Spin-alignment \Leftrightarrow decay products angular distribution</u>

EPJC 69 (657-673), 2010, Faccioli et al.

Dilepton decay angular distribution

$$W(\cos\theta,\phi) \propto \frac{1}{3+\lambda_{\theta}} \cdot (1+\lambda_{\theta}\cos^2\theta+\lambda_{\phi}\sin^2\theta\cos^2\phi+\lambda_{\theta\phi}\sin^2\theta\cos\phi)$$



Polarization: an introduction

Polarization refers to the particle spin alignment with respect to a chosen direction

 \checkmark For a vector meson (v) the total angular momentum (J, J_z) state can be expressed as:



•
$$|\mathbf{v}: \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{z}}\rangle = \mathbf{b}_{+1}|1, +1\rangle + \mathbf{b}_{0}|1, 0\rangle + \mathbf{b}_{-1}|1, -1\rangle$$

Spin-alignment \Leftrightarrow decay products angular distribution

EPJC 69 (657-673), 2010, Faccioli et al.

Dilepton decay angular distribution

•
$$W(\cos\theta,\phi) \propto \frac{1}{3+\lambda_{\theta}} \cdot (1+\lambda_{\theta}\cos^2\theta+\lambda_{\phi}\sin^2\theta\cos2\phi+\lambda_{\theta\phi}\sin2\theta\cos\phi)$$

Polarization parameters

 $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (0, 0, 0) \implies \text{No polarization}$

 $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (+1, 0, 0) \Rightarrow$ Transverse polarization

 $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (-1, 0, 0) \Rightarrow$ Longitudinal polarization



INFN Polarization in pp: definitions & motivations

Important to constrain quarkonium production mechanisms in hadronic collisions



Reference frames

- Helicity (HE): direction of vector meson in the collision center of mass frame
- Collins-Soper (CS): the bisector of the angle between the beam and the opposite of the other beam, in the vector meson rest frame

EPJC 69 (657-673), 2010, Faccioli et al.

INFN Polarization in pp: definitions & motivations

Important to constrain quarkonium production mechanisms in hadronic collisions



Reference frames

- Helicity (HE): direction of vector meson in the collision center of mass frame
- Collins-Soper (CS): the bisector of the angle between the beam and the opposite of the other beam, in the vector meson rest frame

EPJC 69 (657-673), 2010, Faccioli et al.

- ...models provide different predictions for quarkonium polarization according to their production mechanism
 - Color Singlet: Longitudinal polarization
 - NRQCD: Transverse polarization



No strong J/ ψ polarization observed by ALICE and LHCb at forward rapidity and up to $p_T = 15$ GeV/c





Chirality retreat



No strong J/ ψ polarization observed by ALICE and LHCb at forward rapidity and up to $p_T = 15$ GeV/c

 PRL 108 (2012) 082001
 EPJC 78 (2018) 562

 EPJC 73 (2013) 11

No significant prompt J/ ψ and ψ (2S) polarization observed by CMS at midrapidity and up to $p_T = 70$ GeV/c (valid also for $\Upsilon(1S)$)



<u>PLB 761 (2016) 31</u>





No strong J/ ψ polarization observed by ALICE and LHCb at forward rapidity and up to $p_T = 15$ GeV/c



No significant prompt J/ ψ and ψ (2S) polarization observed by CMS at midrapidity and up to $p_T = 70$ GeV/c (valid also for Υ (1S))



<u>PLB 761 (2016) 31</u>

- Recent improvements in the theoretical description of J/ψ production with ICEM and CGC + NRQCD
 - JHEP 12 (2018) 057, Yan-Qing Ma et al.
 - PRD 104 (2021) 9, Cheung, Vogt





No strong J/ ψ polarization observed by ALICE and LHCb at forward rapidity and up to $p_T = 15$ GeV/c



No significant prompt J/ ψ and ψ (2S) polarization observed by CMS at midrapidity and up to $p_T = 70$ GeV/c (valid also for Υ (1S))



<u>PLB 761 (2016) 31</u>

- Interestingly CMS observed a sizeable relative polarization between χ_{c1} and χ_{c2}, reproduced by NRQCD
 - PRL 124, 162002 (2020), CMS collaboration



• Expected λ_{θ} for χ_{c2} from the χ_{c1}/χ_{c2} ratio measurement, assuming either no polarization for χ_{c1} or expectations from NRQCD



Polarization in pp: bottomonia



- Recent preliminary measurement of $\Upsilon(1S)$ polarization at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV from ALICE
 - \square $\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\varphi}, \lambda_{\theta\varphi}$ evaluated down to zero p_T
 - $\Box \ \lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\varphi}, \lambda_{\theta\varphi} \text{ are all compatible with zero within uncertainties in HE and CS reference frames}$

 $\hfill\square$ No evidence for p_T dependence

• Results compatible within uncertainties with LHCb mesurements at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

JHEP 12 (2017) 110, Artamonov et al.

Qualitatively in agreement with NLO NRQCD
 PRL 112, 032001 (2014), Gong et al.



First measurement of the prompt and non-prompt D*+ spin alignment at the LHC

• $\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta} \propto (1 - \rho_{00}) + (3\rho_{00} - 1)\cos^2\theta$

Spin density matrix element $\rho_{00} = \frac{1}{3} \implies \text{No spin alignment}$

 $\rho_{00} \neq 1/_3 \implies \text{Spin alignment}$

- Measurement performed with respect to the helicity axis
- \square Prompt D^{*+} compatible with no polarization
- □ Non-prompt $D^{*+} \rho_{00} > 1/3$ due to the helicity conservation $(B(S = 0) \rightarrow D^{*+}(S = 1) + X)$





- First measurement of the prompt and non-prompt D*+ spin alignment at the LHC
 - $\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta} \propto (1 \rho_{00}) + (3\rho_{00} 1)\cos^2\theta$

□ Spin density matrix element $\rho_{00} = \frac{1}{3} \implies \text{No spin alignment}$

 $\rho_{00} \neq 1/_3 \implies \text{Spin alignment}$

- PYTHIA8 + EvtGen manages to describe both the components
- Important baseline for the measurement in nucleus-nucleus collisions











In central HICs...

Modification of J/ψ prompt feed-down fractions due to ψ(2S) and χ_c suppression in the QGP

 J/ψ^{Prompt} :(60%)^{Direct} + (30%)^{χ_c} + (10%)^{$\psi(2S)$}





In central HICs...

Modification of J/ψ prompt feed-down fractions due to ψ(2S) and χ_c suppression in the QGP

 J/ψ^{Prompt} :(60%)^{Direct} + (30%)^{χ_c} + (10%)^{$\psi(2S)$}

 contribution from charmonium (re)generation plays an important role at the LHC energies in central Pb–Pb collisions at low p_T





In non-central HICs...

Large angular momentum due to the medium rotation is predicted

PRC 77 (2008) 024906, Becattini et al.









- ALICE measured J/ψ polarization in Pb-Pb collisions
 PLB 815 (2021) 136146
 - $\label{eq:lambda} \Box \ \lambda_{\theta} \ \text{shows a maximum } 2\sigma \ \text{deviation w.r.t} \\ \text{zero in HE and CS for } 2 < p_T < 4 \ \text{GeV/c} \\ \end{array}$
 - Compatible within the large uncertainties with ALICE results in pp collisions

EPJC 78 (2018) 562, ALICE collaboration

- 3σ difference with LHCb in pp collisions in HE \cong EPJC 73 (2013) 11, LHCb collaboration
- Difference due to suppression/regeneration effect in Pb–Pb w.r.t. pp collisions?
- > What is the role of the angular momentum (\vec{L}) and the magnetic fields (\vec{B}) ?





Can Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effects modify J/ψ polarization in Pb-Pb collisions?

Improved Color Evaporation Model (ICEM)

- > **Direct J/** ψ polarization (no feed-down)
- CNM effects only in Pb-Pb
- No Hot Nuclear Matter effects

PRC 105, 055202, Cheung, Vogt

- ICEM predicts small difference among pp and Pb-Pb results (<u>assuming no QGP</u> <u>formation</u>)
- CNM effects are not expected to modify significantly the polarization
- Impact of feed-down from excited states to be investigated



Possibility to investigate the role of \vec{L} and \vec{B} with an ad hoc reference frame



Reference frame

• Event Plane based frame (EP): axis orthogonal to the event plane in the collision center of mass frame

 \square Event Plane normal to \overrightarrow{B} and \overrightarrow{L}

 Significant spin alignment observed for light vector mesons (K^{*0}, φ)

😂 <u>PRL 125 (2020) 012301</u>

 \Box Heavy quark pair production occurs early in the collision (t ~ 0.1 fm/c) and can experience both the short living \vec{B} and the \vec{L} of the rotating medium





First measurement of quarkonium polarization with respect to the Event Plane

 $\hfill \label{eq:contrality}$ Centrality dependence: Small but significant (3.5 σ) polarization observed in 40-60% and 2 < p_T < 6 GeV/c





First measurement of quarkonium polarization with respect to the Event Plane

- Similarly to light flavors (K^{*0} , ϕ) maximum polarization for semicentral collisions at low p_T

🝃 <u>PRL 125 (2020) 012301</u>



- Not clear which contribution (vorticity and / or magnetic field) is the dominant one
- Can similar approach, used for *φ* meson, be extended to J/ψ?

*a*rXiv:2205.15689, Xin-Li Sheng et al. *a*rXiv:2205.15689, Xin-Li Sheng et al.





Perspectives

pp collisions

What we know?

Quarkonia:

- No strong polarization for J/ψ , $\psi(2S)$, $\Upsilon(nS)$
- $\circ~$ Indication of strong (relative) polarization for χ_c
- Improved agreement among theoretical calculations and data with ICEM and NRQCD

What's next?

- Possibility to improve the precision of the χ_c measurement

Open charm:

- o $\rho_{00}{\sim}1/3$ for prompt D^*
- $\circ
 ho_{00} > 1/3$ for non-prompt D^*
- **PYTHIA + EvtGen** in good agreement with data

Extend the measurement to other species (important baseline for AA)





Perspectives

A-A collisions

What we know?

💰 Quarkonia:

- \circ J/ ψ does not exhibit strong polarization but some differences w.r.t. pp collisions
- $\circ~J/\psi$ exhibits a significant polarization when measured w.r.t. the event plane
- First predictions available (no suppression and regeneration included in the model)

What's next?

- Perform the same measurement at central rapidities for J/ψ
- \checkmark Perform a precise measurement of the $\Upsilon(1S)$
 - b quarks produced before c quarks
 no significant regeneration observed

🔮 Open charm:

No measurement available for the moment

- Evaluate ρ_{00} for D^* in Pb-Pb collisions
- Evaluate ρ_{00} for D^{*0} could help in disentangling magnetic field and vorticity effects

23





Magnetic field



Nuclear Physics A 929 (2014) 184–190

Backup







PHYSICAL REVIEW C 97, 034917 (2018)

$$\rho_{00}(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{9} (\beta \omega)^2 < \frac{1}{3}$$

Vorticity contribution: independent of quark flavor and always negative

$$\rho_{00}(B) \sim \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{9}\beta^2 \frac{Q_1 Q_2}{m_1 m_2} B^2$$

Magnetic field contribution: depends on the quark electric charges

 \succ ρ_{00} for vector mesons depends on the competing effects of vorticity and magnetic field