Update on Geometry



New envelopes as of September 29, c.f. <u>https://eic.jlab.org/Geometry/Detector/Detector-20220929172703.html</u>

 $- z_{min} = -1186 \text{ mm}, z_{max} = 1800 \text{ mm}, r_{out} = 600 \text{ mm}$

Propose a pragmatic approach of only "moving" the outermost disks in the electron direction inwards,

- z = -250, -450, -650, -900, -1150 mm
- minimal (or no) changes to inner and outer radii,
- inevitable loss of lever-arm in tracking, e.g. ~22% for -2.5 < η < -2.0

Alternatives considered:

- dropping outermost electron disk; results in inability to track for $\eta < -3.2$, suboptimal use of available space,
- changing the angle of the inner projective cone; seemingly inevitable to introduce additional material in the electron direction for $\eta > -1$

Not considered here / so far:

- rout = 600 mm is now a combined envelope for MAPS and MPGD; could consider expanding the MAPS radius,
- small insert-like disks at large -z for far backward (electron) tracking to recover (and possibly extend) the tracking lever-arm in this region.

Re-optimized baseline geometry discussed several times;

- 2 curved silicon vertex layers, r = 36, 48 mm, l = 270mm
- 1 curved silicon dual purpose layer r = 120mm, l = 270mm
- 1 stave-based sagita layer r = 270 mm, I = 540 mm
- 1 stave-based outer layer r = 420 mm, I = 840 mm
- 5 disks on either side of the nominal IP,
 - |z| = 250, 450, 700, 1000, 1350 mm
 - Inner radii >= 36 mm, outer radii <= 430 mm

Change necessary in the electron (negative) arm to accommodate new constraints mostly from PID, c.f.

- GD/I 2022-09-25, <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/event/17295/</u> ----
- EPIC 2022-10-06, https://indico.bnl.gov/event/17289/

- moving innermost disk inwards to partially recover lever arm for $-2.5 < \eta < -2.0$; loss of commonality with hadron arm, acceptance near $\eta \sim -2.6$ - defer for now, no good arrangement to make the hadron-arm single-projective,

