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## Outline

$\square$ Description of model used to simulate vertex distributions and correlations between vertex positions and collision times
$\square$ Techniques used to extract T0 $-18 \times 275$ beam energy
$\square$ Comparisons with $10 \times 100$ and $5 \times 41$ beam energies

## Vertex Model



## Vertex Model

$\square$ The model shown on the previous slide is of course a simplification, although the general features will hold
> Everything is assumed as gausian - no tails or skew to the bunch shape
$>$ Particle transport model by Jarda can be seen here: https://www.dropbox.com/s/u3ssx2je2syaite/movi e.mp4?dl=0
$\square$ Bottom line: The techniques discussed should be sound and magnitude of the effects we see should be accurate, but don't assume the TO resolutions are exact to the picosecond level
$\square$ N.B. The bunch directions shown on the previous slide are for IP8, but this has no effect on the conclusions below

## ITsonir



## Z-Vertex - TO Correlations: 18x275



Z-Vertex and TO of the collision are tightly correlated due to the relative size differences of the hadron and electron bunches ( 6 vs 0.9 cm ) - practically, determined by size of electron bunch


## Z-Vertex - TO Correlations: 18x275

| $Z[m m]$ | Mean [mm] | Sigma [mm] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[-101,-99]$ | 96.1 | 9.3 |
| $[-76,-74]$ | 71.7 | 8.84 |
| $[-51,-49]$ | 47.7 | 8.84 |
| $[-26,-24]$ | 23.8 | 8.89 |
| $[-1,1]$ | -0.08 | 8.90 |
| $[24,26]$ | -24.0 | 8.89 |
| $[49,51]$ | -47.8 | 8.88 |
| $[74,76]$ | -71.8 | 8.70 |
| $[99,101]$ | -96.1 | 9.01 |

- For a given Z-vertex, the possible TO values follow a gaussian distribution

The sigma of the TO distribution is roughly constant as a function of $Z$ and has a value of $\sim 8.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{ps}$ )Conversion between mm and ps : divide by $0.3 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{ps}$

Z-Vertex and TO of the collision are tightly correlated due to the relative size differences of the hadron and electron bunches ( 6 vs 0.9 cm ) - practically, determined by size of electron bunch


## Adding X-Vertex Information: 18x275


$\square$ Because of the crossing angle and bunch crabbing, the X -vertex of the collision will change as the bunches move through each other
$\square$ The $X$ position is not very well correlated with $Z$ (or TO), but a combination of any two of $X, Z$, and $T O$ should be well correlated with the thirdBreak the TO Vs Z-vertex plot into bins based on X -vertex position
$\square \times$ bins are 50 microns wide - should be an achievable resolution

## Vertex Resolution



Taken from ATHENA proposal - meant to provide a sense of what resolution would be reasonable

## Adding X-Vertex Information: 18x275



Choose the Z-vertex bin at -25 mm and look at T0 distributions for various $X$-vertex bins
$\square X$ and $Z$ binned $T 0$ distributions have much better resolution than $Z$ binned alone ( $\sim 18$ vs $\sim 30 \mathrm{ps}$ )

| $X$ Bin | Mean $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | Sigma $[\mathrm{mm}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 34.6 | 6.14 |
| 1 | 29.5 | 5.4 |
| 2 | 27.0 | 5.36 |
| 3 | 24.5 | 5.39 |
| 4 | 22.0 | 5.44 |
| 5 | 19.5 | 5.38 |
| 6 | 14.1 | 6.24 |

Basically, X-Vertex position is telling where within the electron bunch the colliding particle comes

## Z-Vertex - T0 Correlations: 10x100



| $Z[\mathrm{~mm}]$ | Mean $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | Sigma $[\mathrm{mm}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[-101,-99]$ | 98.0 | 6.96 |
| $[-76,-74]$ | 73.5 | 6.89 |
| $[-51,-49]$ | 48.9 | 6.95 |
| $[-26,-24]$ | 24.4 | 6.98 |
| $[-1,1]$ | -0.11 | 6.96 |
| $[24,26]$ | -24.6 | 6.97 |
| $[49,51]$ | -49.1 | 6.94 |
| $[74,76]$ | -73.5 | 6.91 |
| $[99,101]$ | -98.2 | 6.74 |

## X-Vertex - Z-Vertex - T0 Correlations: 10x100



| $X$ Bin | Mean $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | Sigma $[\mathrm{mm}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 31.5 | 5.58 |
| 1 | 28.1 | 5.20 |
| 2 | 26.3 | 5.25 |
| 3 | 24.7 | 5.23 |
| 4 | 22.9 | 5.24 |
| 5 | 21.1 | 5.18 |
| 6 | 17.7 | 5.61 |

## Z-Vertex - T0 Correlations: 5x41



| $Z[\mathrm{~mm}]$ | Mean $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | Sigma $[\mathrm{mm}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[-101,-99]$ | 98.3 | 6.89 |
| $[-76,-74]$ | 73.6 | 6.94 |
| $[-51,-49]$ | 49.0 | 6.99 |
| $[-26,-24]$ | 24.5 | 6.99 |
| $[-1,1]$ | -0.1 | 6.99 |
| $[24,26]$ | -24.7 | 7.00 |
| $[49,51]$ | -49.2 | 6.95 |
| $[74,76]$ | -73.8 | 6.95 |
| $[99,101]$ | -98.3 | 6.83 |

## X-Vertex - Z-Vertex - T0 Correlations: 5x41



| X Bin | Mean [mm] | Sigma [mm] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 29.5 | 6.19 |
| 1 | 26.8 | 5.97 |
| 2 | 25.7 | 5.93 |
| 3 | 24.6 | 5.90 |
| 4 | 23.4 | 5.99 |
| 5 | 22.3 | 5.89 |
| 6 | 19.6 | 6.10 |

## Summary

$\square$ Bunch sizes and beam crossing configuration provide opportunity to derive the time of the collision from the position of the primary vertex
$\square$ Based on the model used to simulate beam effects in MC, TO resolutions on the order of 20 to 25 pico seconds should be achievable by measuring the $X$ and $Z$ positions of the primary vertex within reasonable tolerances
$\square$ Beam energy combinations of $18 \times 275,10 \times 100$, and $5 \times 41$ in hi-divergence mode were compared: T0 resolutions for $18 \times 275$ and $10 \times 100$ were comparable and somewhat better than for $5 \times 41$
$\square$ Possible next step - look into EIC machine simulations of the interacting beams to confirm model predictions
$\square$ Additional information in the technical note on Beam Effects:
https://zenodo.org/record/6514605\#.YOVOrS-B1qs

Back-up

## PYTHIA-8 Vertex Model

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
z_{\mathrm{Had}}^{\mathrm{Acc}}=\operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \times t+z_{\mathrm{Had}}^{\mathrm{Bunch}} \\
z_{\mathrm{Lep}}^{\mathrm{Acc}}=-\operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \times t+z_{\mathrm{Lep}}^{\mathrm{Bunch}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Z-position of interacting bunch from each beam as a function of time given by this set of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t_{\mathrm{Col}}=\frac{\left(z_{\mathrm{Lep}}^{\mathrm{Bunch}}-z_{\mathrm{Had}}^{\mathrm{Bunch}}\right)}{2 \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} \\
z_{\mathrm{Col}}=\frac{\left(z_{\mathrm{Lep}}^{\mathrm{Bunch}}+z_{\mathrm{Had}}^{\mathrm{Bunch}}\right)}{2} \\
x_{\mathrm{Col}}=t_{\mathrm{Col}} \times \operatorname{Sin}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Transport Model Vertex



Developed by Jaroslav Adam - movie available at: https://eic.github.io/resources/simulations.html

| Species, energy $(\mathrm{GeV})$ | Vertex size | Transport model | PYTHIA-8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| proton | electron | $\sigma_{x}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $0.1894 \pm 0.0014$ | $0.1403 \pm 0.0001$ |
| 275 | 18 | $\sigma_{y}(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $10.0675 \pm 0.0013$ | $8.0173 \pm 0.0056$ |
|  |  | $\sigma_{z}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $32.92 \pm 0.12$ | $30.24 \pm 0.02$ |
| proton | electron | $\sigma_{x}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $0.2057 \pm 0.0023$ | $0.1313 \pm 0.0001$ |
| 100 | 10 | $\sigma_{y}(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $12.2144 \pm 0.0018$ | $8.0221 \pm 0.0057$ |
|  |  | $\sigma_{z}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $36.00 \pm 0.15$ | $35.13 \pm 0.02$ |
| proton | electron | $\sigma_{x}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $0.2429 \pm 0.0020$ | $0.1649 \pm 0.0001$ |
| 41 | 5 | $\sigma_{y}(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $25.0197 \pm 0.0060$ | $19.0005 \pm 0.0134$ |
|  |  | $\sigma_{z}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $37.77 \pm 0.28$ | $37.62 \pm 0.03$ |
| Au ion | electron | $\sigma_{x}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $0.3210 \pm 0.0035$ |  |
| 110 | 18 | $\sigma_{y}(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $15.1721 \pm 0.0025$ |  |
|  |  | $\sigma_{z}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $36.00 \pm 0.07$ |  |
| Au ion | electron | $\sigma_{x}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $0.3130 \pm 0.0022$ |  |
| 41 | 5 | $\sigma_{y}(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $15.3381 \pm 0.0048$ |  |
|  |  | $\sigma_{z}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | $59.91 \pm 0.36$ |  |

Table 3: Results on expected primary vertex size from the transport model for ep and e-Au beams and comparison to PYTHIA-8

## T0 for Z Slices and X Vs Z-Vertex Positions: 10x100




## T0 for Z Slices and X Vs Z-Vertex Positions: 5x41




## Relevant Beam Parameters

Table 4: Parameters used in the PYTHIA-8 implementation taken from Table 3.3 in the CDR. The designations h and v stand for horizontal ( $x$ direction) and vertical ( $y$ direction).

| Species | Proton | Electron | Proton | Electron | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | 275 | 18 | 41 | 5 |  |
| RMS Emittance $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{v}[\mathrm{nm}]$ | $18 / 1.6$ | $24 / 20$ | $44 / 10$ | $20 / 3.5$ | Used with $\beta^{*}$ to determine bunch size |
| $\beta^{*} \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{v}[\mathrm{cm}]$ | $80 / 7.1$ | $59 / 5.7$ | $90 / 7.1$ | $196 / 21$ | Used with emittance to determine bunch size |
| RMS $\Delta \theta \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{v}[\mu \mathrm{rad}]$ | $150 / 150$ | $202 / 187$ | $220 / 380$ | $101 / 129$ | Used to determine angular beam divergence |
| RMS Bunch Length $[\mathrm{cm}]$ | 6 | 0.9 | 7.5 | 0.7 | Used in vertex calculation |
| RMS $\frac{\Delta p}{p}\left[10^{-4}\right]$ | 6.8 | 10.9 | 10.3 | 6.8 | Used to set beam energy spread |

