

Some engineering challenges with the EPIC SVT design

N. Apadula, G. Contin, G, Deptuch, D. Elia, L. Gonella, P. Jones, I. Sedgwick, E. Sichterman EIC SC general meeting November 7, 2022



Current status

- EPIC SVT layout developed for the first simulation campaign
 - 5 barrel layers, 5 disks per side



Periphery not included

BARREL	r [mm]	l [mm]	X/X0 %
Layer 0	36	270	0.05
Layer 1	48	270	0.05
Layer 2	120	270	0.05
Layer 3	270	540	0.25
Layer 4	420	840	0.55
DISKS	+z [mm]	-z [mm]	X/X0 %
Disk 1	250	-250	0.24
Disk 2	450	-450	0.24
Disk 3	700	-650	0.24
Disk 4	1000	-900	0.24
Disk 5	1350	-1150	0.24

Goal: Minimize material, maximize acceptance

Stitched sizes

area

active

270 mm length:



840 L4 L0 = 4 x 56.5; R = 36 L1 = 4 x 75.5; R = 48 L2 = 8 X 94.0; R = 120 L3 540 ePIC - SVT 420 Same 3 sensor formats: $L0 = 3 \times 9$ reticles 270 270 L2 120 $L1 = 4 \times 9$ reticles $L2 = 5 \times 9$ reticles L1 L0 48 36 1 sensor per wafer 4 or 8 sensors per layer 30 Note: radii and lengths work with a reticle size of 18.85 x 30.00 mm² Length of L0, L1 and L2 is made of one 270 mm sensor read out at ONE end **Digital Periphery** 18.85 Length of L3 is made of two 270 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends 56.5 Length of L4 is made of four 210 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends

All layer lengths account only for active area

Digital periphery estimated at 10 mm

Figures by Peter Jones

75.5 94.0

Barrel layers

- Layers 0-2
 - Active area: 270 mm
 - Silicon size: ~280 mm
- Layer 3
 - Active area: 540 mm
 - Silicon size: ~560 mm (back-toback length 9 sensors)
- Layer 4
 - Active area: 840 mm
 - Overlap sensors to avoid dead area



Note: radii and lengths work with a reticle size of 18.85 x 30.00 mm² Length of L0, L1 and L2 is made of one 270 mm sensor read out at ONE end Length of L3 is made of <u>two</u> 270 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends Length of L4 is made of <u>four</u> 210 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends

Periphery size estimated towards longer length

What does this mean for the geometry?



- Layers 0-2 not symmetric in z \rightarrow periphery & FPC in forward direction
- Layer 3 is symmetric \rightarrow periphery & FPC in both directions
- Layer 4 is symmetric \rightarrow periphery & FPC along the sensor

BERKELE

Stitched sensors – layers o-2



ALICE plan: FPC curved to the same radius as the sensor

BERKELE

Not to scale

Stitched sensors - some unknowns



- Size of periphery
- Periphery on both ends of sensor?
- Liquid cooling for the periphery?
- Length, thickness, type of support?
- Length, thickness, shape of FPC?
 - Bendability?

BERKELE'

Barrel services





- In current design, services come directly out of active sensor area
- Up 90° from layers 0-2 and then projective (45°) from the inner layer support cylinder

- Services cont.
- Need space for periphery & FPC
- Sharp bend for the FPC in layers 0-2 is unlikely to be feasible
 - Steps?
- Patch panel?
- Periphery cooling?
- How do we direct the air for layers 0-2?





Service routing changes









- Layers 0-2: extend out to patch panel? Make a gradual bend for the FPC?
- Layer 3: extend out slightly for periphery & (likely) FPC extension? → will change the angle of the service cone. Change the r to keep the service cone angle?



Summary/Other

- Goal: Minimize material, maximize acceptance
 - Will need to divert from ALICE plans
- Layer 2 radius is much larger than ITS3 → do we need another support cylinder between layers 1 & 2?
- How do we direct air to layers 0-2? → not currently considered in material/support. Do we need to liquid cool the periphery?
- Actual sensor dimensions longer than shown in geometry → does that require a change in the radii?
- FPC connections need to be taken into account → what lengths?
 Can they be bent? Do they need additional support?