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- EPIC SVT layout developed for the first simulation campaign
- 5 barrel layers, 5 disks per side



## Periphery not included

## Current status



Goal: Minimize material, maximize acceptance

## Stitched sizes

## All layer lengths account only for active area



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LO} & =4 \times 56.5 ; \mathrm{R}=36 \\
\mathrm{~L} 1 & =4 \times 75.5 ; \mathrm{R}=48 \\
\mathrm{~L} 2= & 8 \times 94.0 ; \mathrm{R}=120 \\
& \text { ePIC }- \text { SVT }
\end{aligned}
$$

Same 3 sensor formats:
LO $=3 \times 9$ reticles
L1 $=4 \times 9$ reticles
L2 $=5 \times 9$ reticles
1 sensor per wafer
4 or 8 sensors per layer


Note: radii and lengths work with a reticle size of $18.85 \times 30.00 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ Length of LO, L1 and L2 is made of one 270 mm sensor read out at ONE end Length of L3 is made of two 270 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends Length of L 4 is made of four 210 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends

Digital periphery estimated at 10 mm

Figures by Peter Jones

## Barrel layers

- Layers 0-2
- Active area: 270 mm
- Silicon size: $\sim 280 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Layer 3
- Active area: 540 mm
- Silicon size: ~560 mm (back-toback length 9 sensors)
- Layer 4
- Active area: 840 mm
- Overlap sensors to avoid dead area


Note: radii and lengths work with a reticle size of $18.85 \times 30.00 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ Length of LO, L1 and L2 is made of one 270 mm sensor read out at ONE end Length of L3 is made of two 270 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends Length of L4 is made of four 210 mm sensors read out at BOTH ends

Periphery size estimated towards longer length

## What does this mean for the geometry?



- Layers 0-2 not symmetric in $\mathrm{z} \rightarrow$ periphery \& FPC in forward direction
- Layer 3 is symmetric $\rightarrow$ periphery \& FPC in both directions
- Layer 4 is symmetric $\rightarrow$ periphery \& FPC along the sensor


## Stitched sensors - layers o-2



Side view

ALICE plan: FPC curved to the same radius as the sensor

## Stitched sensors - some unknowns



- Size of periphery
- Periphery on both ends of sensor?
- Liquid cooling for the periphery?
- Length, thickness, type of support?
- Length, thickness, shape of FPC?
- Bendability?


## Barrel services



- In current design, services come directly out of active sensor area
- Up $90^{\circ}$ from layers 0-2 and then projective ( $45^{\circ}$ ) from the inner layer support cylinder


## Services cont.

- Need space for periphery \& FPC
- Sharp bend for the FPC in layers 0-2 is unlikely to be feasible
- Steps?
- Patch panel?
- Periphery cooling?
- How do we direct the air for layers 0-2?


Service routing changes
At these radii, this angle cuts through sensor


- Layers 0-2: extend out to patch panel? Make a gradual bend for the FPC?
- Layer 3: extend out slightly for periphery \& (likely) FPC extension? $\rightarrow$ will change the angle of the service cone. Change the r to keep the service cone angle?


## Summary/Other

- Goal: Minimize material, maximize acceptance
- Will need to divert from ALICE plans
- Layer 2 radius is much larger than ITS3 $\rightarrow$ do we need another support cylinder between layers $1 \& 2$ ?
- How do we direct air to layers 0-2? $\rightarrow$ not currently considered in material/support. Do we need to liquid cool the periphery?
- Actual sensor dimensions longer than shown in geometry $\rightarrow$ does that require a change in the radii?
- FPC connections need to be taken into account $\rightarrow$ what lengths? Can they be bent? Do they need additional support?

