TTL detector performance studies
- DD4hep and eicrecon -

EPIC TOF Meeting
November 28, 2022

Nicolas Schmidt

EPIC
Electron Proton and Ion Collider
EXPERIMENT

OAK RIDGE
National Laboratory

Simulation setup

- Arches with only tracking systems
  → backwards TTL layer included for these studies
  → variations in TTL sensor resolution, material budget and detector setup for these studies
- Single particle simulations:
  → flat in $0.1 < p < 50$ GeV, $0 < \varphi < 2\pi$ and $-4 < \eta < 4$
  → 4M events per detector setup generated on ORNL Cades
- Reconstruction with latest eicrecon software
  → truth seeded ACTS tracking



Momentum resolution - Different Sensors

good agreement between frameworks (considering geometry changes)













Momentum resolution - with and without TTL

less effect in EPIC geometry when removing TTL layers













Tracking efficiency - with and without TTL - bins 1



- Clear improvement of tracking efficiency with TTL, especially at high $|\eta|$
- Overall low efficiency for $p < 1$GeV in fwd/bwd

Tracking efficiency - with and without TTL - bins 2



- Clear improvement of tracking efficiency with TTL, especially at high $|\eta|$
- Overall low efficiency for $p < 1$GeV in fwd/bwd

Momentum resolution - Different Material

now with lower $|\eta|$ bins!







NEW COMPARISONS STILL TO BE MADE!

- Material of TTL layer with small/negliglible impact on tracking resolution
  → however, ECal performance might depend on low material budget

Calorimeter resolution - TTL Material



NEW COMPARISONS STILL TO BE MADE!

- Negligible impact of TTL material to calorimeter resolution in all directions
  → allows for more freedom with supports and cooling as tracking performance is similarly unaffected by material

Conclusions

- New dd4hep/eicrecon simulations confirm old framework studies
  → sensor resolution of TTL layers with negligible impact on track momentum resolution
  → caveat: noise and background (plus realistic seeding) not yet studied
- Time-of-flight PID performance evaluation being worked on (see plot)



Backup with old slides from previous framework

Tracking efficiency - with and without TTL - bins



- Clear improvement of tracking efficiency with TTL, especially at high $|\eta|$
- Overall low efficiency for $p < 1$GeV in fwd/bwd

Performance studies in today's meeting

- **spatial resolution of sensors**
  1) Ideal 30*30 μm
  2) Barrel: 30μm along r*phi, while 3 mm/$\sqrt{12}$, 1cm/$\sqrt{12}$, or 3 cm/$\sqrt{12}$ in Z
  3) Endcap: 30μm along phi, while 3 mm/$\sqrt{12}$, 1cm/$\sqrt{12}$, or 3 cm/$\sqrt{12}$ in R
- **timing resolution of sensors (see presentation by Friederike next week)**
  1) 25 ps (Default ECCE design)
  2) variations: 30 ps, 35 ps, 40 ps, 50 ps
- **material budget**
  1.a) Default ($\sim$7.5%X_0 based on ECCE)
  1.b) $\sim$3.75%X_0 (half thickness of ECCE design)
  1.c) $\sim$15%X_0 (twice thickness of ECCE design)
  ~~2.a) $\sim$1%X_0 based on ATHENA design~~
  2.b) $\sim$2%X_0 (twice of ATHENA design)

ATHENA-style barrel TOF in Det1 (Fun4All)



TTL disk design



- Design based on the CMS forward upgrade [link]
- Basic elements: ladders of 3 or 6 LGAD sensors with service hybrid (for readout and power)
- Sensors mounted on aluminum plate (currently 6mm thick) and contains cooling
- Sensors on back side of plate shifted to cover service hybrid dead area (see bottom figure)
- Barrel layer to be mounted on inner or outer part of DIRC frame (see next slide)





DIRC frame in barrel



- Currently only stepping files of this frame exist (sent around by Tanja)
  → porting to Fun4All needed
- Frame allows to mount modules on various radial positions
- Considered material is steel at the moment
  → significant material budget in certain regions





New Layers in Geant4 - 3



Barrel layer outside DIRC



Barrel layer inside DIRC

- Implemented barrel radial positions: 50 cm, 80 cm, 89 cm (other radii possible, but not optimized!)
- Forward layers can be at any z position and with any radius



New TTL layers in default ECCE configuration

Reminder: ECCE-style TTL Layers in Geant4



Support:

Layer	material	thickness
Top plate	aluminum	1mm
air gap	air	5mm
bottom plate	aluminum	1mm
cooling	aluminum	5mm diam. tube 1mm wall

Services:

Layer	material	thickness
Thermal pad	graphite	0.25mm
High Speed Board	polystyrene	1mm
Power board	polystyrene	3.1 mm

Sensor:

Layer	material	thickness
Thermal pad	graphite	0.25mm
AlN	AlN	0.79mm
Laird Film	graphite	0.08mm
ROC	plastic	0.25mm
Solder (Tin)	tin	0.03mm
Sensor	silicium	0.3mm
Epoxy	epoxy	0.08mm
AlN	AlN	0.51mm



- Material budget $\sim 8\% X/X_0$ dominated by Al plates
  $\rightarrow$ cooling pipes with substantial material

More infos in CMS ETL TDR [[Link]]

Reminder: ECCE-style TTL Laye

- Barrel made of 12 modules in azimuth and multiple modules along z-axis
- Forward layers mounted on both sides of large disk
- AC-LGAD pixel sensors with 500μm pitch
  $\rightarrow$ 30μm position resolution







Reminder: ATHENA-style barrel TOF (DD4hep)





- Material budget $\sim 1\%X/X_0$
- ATHENA design placed at $R \sim 52.5$cm
- Strip AC-LGAD with 0.5×10mm pitch
- Full coverage in φ, 98% coverage in z



NEW: ATHENA-style barrel TOF (Fun4All)



- **Material budget** $\sim 1.2\%X/X_0$
  $\rightarrow$ C-foam (honeycomb) density 0.09(0.03) g/cm^3
  $\rightarrow$ cooling with significant material
- Detector placed at $R \sim 64$cm
- Detector length ~ 2.8m $\rightarrow \approx 11$m^2 of sensors