

### Dreaming of a Muon Ion Collider: µIC

### Based on the History, Science and Timelines of the EIC

Abhay Deshpande Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science Stony Brook University & Brookhaven National Laboratory



Abhay Deshpande



# Outline of this talk

Physics of EIC

**EIC** project

EIC Accelerator and detector (ePIC) timeline

EIC realization timeline

Lessons learned

While Past performance is no guarantee of future success, I still would dare to extrapolate and advise toward realizing Fantasies of MulC

# Deep Inelastic Scattering: Precision and control



High lumi & acceptance

**Exclusive DIS** detect & identify <u>everything</u>  $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+h(\pi,K,p,jet)+...$ 

#### Semi-inclusive events:

 $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+h(\pi,K,p,jet)+X$ detect the scattered lepton in coincidence with identified hadrons/jets

#### Inclusive events:

 $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+X$ detect only the scattered lepton in the detector

Low lumi & acceptance

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2pq} = \frac{Q^2}{sv}$$

 $Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k_{\mu} - k'_{\mu})^2$  Measure of resolution

 $Q^2 = 2E_{\rho}E_{\rho}'(1-\cos\Theta_{\rho})$ 

 $y = \frac{pq}{pk} = 1 - \frac{E'_e}{E} \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta'_e}{2}\right)$  Measure of inelasticity Measure of momentum fraction of struck guark

power

### Hadron:

$$z = \frac{E_h}{v}; p_t$$
 with respect to  $\gamma *$ 

 $s = 4 E_{1} E_{2}$ 

### QCD Landscape to be explored by a new future facility



ሙ

## EIC Physics at-a-Glance

Eur. Phys. J. A 52 (2016) 9, 268 arXiv:1212.1701 (nucl-ex)

How are the sea quarks and gluons, and their spins, distributed in space and momentum inside the nucleon? How do the nucleon properties (mass & spin) emerge from their interactions?





How do color-charged quarks and gluons, and colorless jets, interact with a nuclear medium? How do the confined hadronic states emerge from these quarks and gluons? How do the Qguard alter in the second for the second of the s

How does a dense nuclear environment affect the quark- and gluon- distributions? What happens to the gluon density in nuclei? Does it saturate at high energy, giving rise to a gluonic matter with universal properties in all nuclei, even the proton?





#### The 2015 LONG RANGE PLAN for NUCLEAR SCIENCE



Gluons, the carriers of the strong force, bind the quarks together inside nucleons and nuclei and generate nearly all of the visible mass in the universe. Despite their importance, <u>fundamental questions remain</u> about the role of gluons in nucleons and nuclei. These questions can <u>only be answered with a powerful new electron ion</u> <u>collider (EIC)</u>, providing unprecedented precision and versatility. The realization of this instrument is enabled by recent <u>advances in accelerator technology</u>.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We recommend a high-energy high-luminosity polarized EIC as the highest priority for new facility construction following the completion of FRIB.

FRIB construction completed, operations began May 2022.



### National Academy of Science, Engineering and Medicine Assessment July 2018

#### The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

CONSENSUS STUDY REPORT

#### AN ASSESSMENT OF U.S.-BASED ELECTRON-ION COLLIDER SCIENCE

![](_page_6_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Physics of EIC**

- Emergence of Spin
- Emergence of Mass
- Physics of high-density gluon fields

#### **Machine Design Parameters:**

- High luminosity: up to 10<sup>33</sup>-10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>sec<sup>-1</sup>
  - a factor ~100-1000 times HERA
- Broad range in center-of-mass energy: ~20-140 GeV
- Polarized beams e-, p, and light ion beams with flexible spin patterns/orientation
- Broad range in hadron species: protons.... Uranium
- <u>Up to two detectors</u> well-integrated detector(s) into the machine lattice

![](_page_6_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

Momentum fraction

Х

Resolution -

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

 $Q^2$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **EIC Accelerator Design Overview**

- Hadron storage ring (HSR): 41-275 GeV (based on RHIC)
  - up to 1160 bunches, 1A beam current (3x RHIC)
  - bright vertical beam emittance (1.5 nm)
  - strong cooling (coherent electron cooling, ERL)
- Electron storage ring (ESR): 2.5–18 GeV (new)
  - $_{\odot}$  up to 1160 polarized bunches
    - $_{\odot}$  high polarization by continual reinjection from RCS
  - large beam current (2.5 A) → 9 MW SR power
  - superconducting RF cavities
- Rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS): 0.4-18 GeV (new)
  - 2 bunches at 1 Hz; spin transparent due to high periodicity
- High luminosity interaction region(s) (new)
  - $\circ$  L = 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
  - superconducting magnets
  - $_{\odot}$  25 mrad crossing angle with crab cavities
  - spin rotators (produce longitudinal spin at IP)

![](_page_9_Picture_18.jpeg)

# **EIC Accelerator Design**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Center of Mass Energies:	20GeV - 140GeV
Luminosity:	$10^{33}$ - $10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ / 10-100fb^{-1} / year
Highly Polarized Beams:	70%
Large Ion Species Range:	p to U
Number of Interaction Regions:	Up to 2!

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

### EIC Project – BNL/JLab, Boards, Advisory Committees

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

- DOE, together with BNL and JLab, envision an EIC facility that is "fully international in character."
- EIC Advisory Board provides oversight and advice on the construction of the facility, focusing on the accelerator (BNL, TJNAF, LBNL, ANL, TRIUMF, IN2P3, CEA, STFC, INFN).
- EIC Project Advisory Committee provides advice on the successful delivery of the DOE Project (management, scope, schedule, cost, and performance).
- EIC Resource Review Board (RRB) to provide oversight of the experiments.

### The EIC User Group: https://eicug.github.io/

Formed in 2016, Currently:

- 1369 collaborators,
- 36 countries,
- 267 institutions

#### **International Participation Growing**

EICUG membership @ time of EICUG Meetings

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

Annual ElCUG meeting 2016 UC Berkeley, CA 2016 Argonne, IL 2017 Trieste, Italy 2018 CUA, Washington, DC 2019 Paris, France 2020 Miami, FL 2021 VUU, VA & UCR, CA 2022 Stony Brook U, NY 2023 Warsaw, Poland

![](_page_12_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_12.jpeg)

# ePIC Detector Current Design

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Collaboration Setup February 2023 : Elections John Lejoie & Silvia Dalla Torre

#### Tracking:

- New 1.7T solenoid
- Si MAPS Tracker
- MPGDs (µRWELL/µMegas)

#### PID:

5.34m

- hpDIRC
- mRICH/pfRICH
- dRICH
- AC-LGAD (~30ps TOF)

### Calorimetry:

- SciGlass/Imaging Barrel EMCal
- PbWO4 EMCal in backward direction
- Finely segmented EMCal +HCal in forward direction
- Outer HCal (sPHENIX re-use)

4/5/23

From John Lajoie @ Epiphany 2023

### **Reference Detector – Backward/Forward Detectors**

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

## 2<sup>nd</sup> IR and 2<sup>nd</sup> Detector

- Not in the EIC project
- Challenging parameters of EIC require careful attention to the post 2<sup>nd</sup> IR era.
- No design decisions now should negate the possibility of the second IR and detector

### Activities starting now: Led by EIC Users Group

- Kick off meeting at Temple University May 17-19, 2023
- What is a compelling physics case for the 2<sup>nd</sup> IR?
- Balance between new physics and affirming important core physics (Detector 1)
- Complementary technologies ←→ generic detector R&D
- Need to get consensus amongst the EIC Users → acceptance in US NP community along with a buy-in from non-DOE sources (within and outside of the US)
- Proposals for a timeline and realization plans

# **Overall Schedule**

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

17\_\_\_

It is reasonable to assume that the EIC physics program will run for about 15 years starting 2035, and possibly extended by another ~5-10 years with detector upgrades.

So we are now in year 2050-2055.

You have 25-30 years to prepare for what comes next! Perfect timing....

### Muons!

Historically extremely important... heavier sister/brother of electron

- >many valuable complementary experiments have been performed in atomic, nuclear and particle physics
- >200 times larger mass  $\rightarrow$  significantly less radiation losses while acceleration  $\rightarrow$  hope to achieve much higher initial beam energy.
- >On the flip side: it is unstable and has to be accelerated to high energy to be used in a collider experiment
- > Challenges here are the same as future Muon Collider! (Synergies)

What is the optimal beam energy one would like to have?  $\rightarrow$  This is governed by the physics you pursue.

>Is LHeC center of mass energy a reasonable goal at this time?

Science of LHeC starting from EIC (CM energy) has been given much thought over past decades...

>could be a time saver

Community interested in this physics already exists

LHeC is just a target, you should be flexible at this early stage including not only considering RHIC as a location of the future facility

Assuming you go in the direction of a LHeC:

- >What luminosity is needed? What is enough luminosity?
- >What are the schemes of muon production? Is there hope for enough luminosity?
- >What sort of detector planning would one need? (very different than an EIC detector being considered)

Can one imagine developing the muon beam of high enough energy to overcome at least some of the technical hurdles faced by muon collider enthusiasts? i.e. Can it ( $\mu$ IC) be viewed as an important R&D milestone for HEP ( $\mu$ +  $\mu$ - collider) while still resulting in a significant physics program?  $\rightarrow$  this combination seems very potent to me.

Collaboration between **accelerator scientists** working on muon collider should look at this critically. **Experimental nuclear and particle** physicists should decide whether the resulting collisions are reasonable and physics communities (**theorists included**) from both sides should opine if this program is compelling.

# Final thoughts: on timeline .... (are you ahead of the curve?)

- EIC was being discussed (as polarized HERA or eRHIC) from 1995/6 in various small workshops until 1999/2000 when a dedicated workshops were held at Yale and RBRC. (Other options at lower energy @IUCF, MIT and GSI/Fair).
- Groups came came together from 2000-2001 @ MIT first eRHIC white paper followed by multiple WS at RBRC/Indiana/MIT and eRHIC got its first mention in the LRP 2002. → [5-7 years since conception]
- It went through one more LRP 2007 (strong mention, recommended significant accelerator and detector R&D) before it was recommended in LRP 2015/6 →
   [20 years since conception].
- Going by currently planned timeline expected date of CD3 2025 [30 years sc]
- And CD4 operations will start in ~2033 → [~ 40 years since conception or ~30 years since first mention in the LRP]

The timeline is not to discourage you but infact challenge you. If the science is good, it needs to be done, and will get done.

My advice is to put your head down and develop a strong:

- Physics case and a collaboration
- Connection with HEP accelerator scientists to develop a ~300-500
   GeV muon beam see if it is useful towards their ultimate goal
- Accelerator R&D towards a TeV muon beam coupled with a strong physics motivation could be be a win-win for everyone

## **DOE Project Phases**

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Formal Process of DOE Gateway Reviews

- CD-0, Mission Need ✓
- CD-1, Alternative Selection and Cost Range √

Partner and collaboration engagement needed to establish the baseline

- CD-2, Performance Baseline
- CD-3a, Long Lead Procurement

# Complementarity for 1<sup>st</sup>-IR & 2<sup>nd</sup>-IR

	1 <sup>st</sup> IR (IP-6)	2 <sup>nd</sup> IR (IP-8)
Geometry:	ring inside to outside	ring outside to inside
	tunnel and assembly hall are larger	tunnel and assembly hall are smaller
	Tunnel: 🚫 7m +/- 140m	Tunnel: 🚫 6.3m to 60m then 5.3m
Crossing Angle:	25 mrad	35 mrad secondary focus
	different b	lind spots
	different forward detec	tors and acceptances
	different acceptance	e of central detector
Luminosity:	optimize Doublet focusing FDD vs. FDF	
	→ impact of far for	vard $p_T$ acceptance
Experiment:	1.7-2.0 Tesla	or 3(?) Tesla
	different subdete	ctor technologies
		i de la companya de l

with I ()(

### Perhaps other intersections

Physics @ the US EIC beyond the EIC's core science Of HEP/LHC-HI interest to Snowmass 2021 (EF 05, 06, and 07 and possibly also EF 04)

#### New Studies with proton or neutron target:

- Impact of precision measurements of unpolarized PDFs at high x/Q<sup>2</sup>, on LHC-Upgrade results(?)
- Precision calculation of  $\alpha_{\text{S}}$  : higher order pQCD calculations, twist 3
- Heavy quark and quarkonia (c, b quarks) studies with **100-1000 times lumi of HERA and with polarization**
- Polarized light nuclei in the EIC
- Physics with nucleons and nuclear targets:
- Quark Exotica: 4,5,6 quark systems...? Much interest after recent LHCb led results.
- Physic of and with jets with EIC as a precision QCD machine:
  - Jets as probe of nuclear matter & Internal structure of jets : novel new observables, energy variability
  - Entanglement, entropy, connections to fragmentation, hadronization and confinement

### Precision electroweak and BSM physics:

- Electroweak physics & searches beyond the SM: Parity, charge symmetry, lepton flavor violation
- LHC-EIC Synergies & complementarity

Study of universality: e-p/A vs. p-A, d-A, A-A at RHIC and LHC

EIC's versatility, resolving power and intensity (luminosity) open new windows of opportunity to address some of the crucial and fundamental scientific questions in particle physics. The paper summarizes the EIC physics from the perspective of the HEP community participating in Snowmass 2021

- Beyond the Standard Model Physics at the EIC
- Tomography (1,3,5 d PDFs) of Hadrons and Nuclei at the EIC
- Jets at EIC
- Heavy Flavors at EIC
- Small-x Physics at the EIC

- High luminosity wide CM range
- Polarized e, p, and ion beams
- All nuclei

![](_page_28_Figure_11.jpeg)

Towards high luminosity: optimizing a multidimensional parameter space

- Luminosity will always benefit from large beam currents
   maximize beam currents
- EIC luminosity benefits from reducing hadron emittance by "cooling" to maximize luminosity as normalized emittance from source is too large
- Minimizing number of bunches (1060, still a large number) will

  Reduce demand on beam cooling (since cooling is hard)
  Is detector friendly because bunch spacing is relatively large (12 ns)
  Results in smaller beam divergence at IP → helps with p<sub>T</sub> acceptance