Accelerator-driven Compton Gamma-ray Source: High Intensity Gamma-ray Source



Ying K. Wu

Department of Physics, Duke University Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory April 5, 2023

Work supported by U.S. DOE Grant: DE-FG02-97ER41033



Workshop "Using muons from backscattered photons on targets for various studies at the EIC," Center for Frontiers in Nuclear₁ Science, Brookhaven National Laboratory, April 5, 2023



• Accelerator Driven Light Sources

Compton Gamma-ray Source and Characteristics

• High Intensity Gamma-ray Source (HIGS) and New Capabilities

- Operation Principle of HIGS
- Gamma-ray Beam Capabilities and Performance
- Pulsed Beam, Two-color, Polarization Control
- Summary of Nuclear Physics Program at HIGS

Future Compton Gamma-ray Sources

Spectrum of Electromagnetic Radiation



Compton Scattering





Compton Scattering Arthur H. Compton (1892 – 1962) Discovery: 1923 Nobel Price for Physics: 1927



$$\lambda_f - \lambda_i = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \theta)$$

http://fishbein.uchicago.edu/courses.html
 http://missionscience.nasa.gov/ems/12_gammarays.html

A.H. Compton, Bull. Nat. Res. Council (US) 20 (1922) 19; Phys. Rev. 21 (1923) 483.

Photon Energy







Compton Photon Beam Flux



Energy Distribution of Compton Gamma-beam







High-Intensity Gamma-ray Source (HIGS) and New Capabilities with Accelerator Research

- HIGS Facility: Compton Gamma-ray Source and Characteristics
- Pulsed Mode Operation
- Two-color FEL
- Polarization Control

Operation Principle of HIGS



Gamma-Ray Production in a Storage Ring Free-Electron Laser

V.N. Litvinenko, B. Burnham, M. Emamian, N. Hower, J.M.J. Madey, P. Morcombe, P.G. O'Shea, S.H. Park, R. Sachtschale, K.D. Straub, G. Swift, P. Wang, and Y. Wu Free Electron Laser Laboratory, Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708

R.S. Canon, C.R. Howell, N.R. Roberson, E.C. Schreiber, M. Spraker, W. Tornow, and H.R. Weller Department of Physics, Duke University, and Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, Durham, North Carolina 27708

I. V. Pinayev, N. G. Gavrilov, M. G. Fedotov, G. N. Kulipanov, G. Y. Kurkin, S. F. Mikhailov, V. M. Popik, A. N. Skrinsky, and N. A. Vinokurov Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

> B.E. Norum University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

A. Lumpkin and B. Yang APS, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439



HIGS/TUNL: Accelerator Facility



Facility/Project: High Intensity Gamma-ray Source (HIGS) Institution: TUNL Country: US Energy (MeV): 1–120 Accelerator: Storage Ring, 0.24–1.2 GeV Laser: FEL, 1060 – 175 nm (1.17–7.08 eV) Total flux: 10⁷–3x10¹⁰g/s (max ~10 MeV) Status: User Program Research: Nuclear physics, Astrophysics, National Security

Port of the second

Accelerator Facility 160 MeV Linac pre-injector 160 MeV–1.2 GeV Booster injector 240 MeV–1.2 GeV Storage ring FELs: OK-4 (lin), OK-5 (cir) HIGS: two-bunch, 40–120 mA (typ)



Contributors to HIGS R&D (2008–2023): M. Busch, M. Emamian, J. Faircloth, B. Jia, H. Hao, S. Hartman, C. Howell, S. Huang, B. Li, J. Li, W. Li, P. Liu, S. Mikhailov, M. Pentico, V. Popov, C. Sun, G. Swift, B. Thomas, P. Wang, P. Wallace, W. Wu, Y.K. Wu, W. Xu, J. Yan

HIGS: an Electron-Photon Collider

Storage Ring

Booster

Gamma Energy Tuning Range with OK-5 FEL (3.5 kA)





HIGS Flux Summary



Electron/Photon Collision Angle Monitor



Aiming at a golf ball at the end of 150 football fields

Figure 15: Horizontal beam angle at OK4 for about 36 hours operation from Aug. 20 to Aug. 21, 2009. The angle varied 2.5μ rad (peak to peak) during this operation, this value corresponds to 150μ m variation of gamma ray beam position at the gamma vault which is located 60 m downstream of the collision point. Typically, the collimator radius of the γ ray beam is 6 mm to 15 mm, therefor the misalignment caused by the beam orbit is about 2.5% to 1.0% of radius of the beam.



Gamma beam energy resolution in high-flux operation: Typically 3 – 5% (FWHM), or larger, selected by collimation

New Capabilities: Pulsed Beam for Low Background Experiments





Gain Modulation with RF—FEL Macropulse Operation

- Rapidly and periodically change f_{RF}
 - $Df_{RF}/f_{RF} \sim 10^{-5}$
 - Transition in 10s of microseconds
 - Duration for a few milliseconds
- Rep-rate: few to tens of Hz, depending on operational conditions
- About the same average FEL power
- Good beam stability and lifetime
- Type of user experiments Detector calibration with low background

New Capabilities: 2-Color FEL

© LZH

Spectra & Power

Measurements

Dual-band: 720/360nm

R@720 + 360 nm 0 ° HfO2+SiO2 IBS TIN

Pol.: unpol., Einfallsw.: 0°

100-

90

80-70-

60. R [%]

50-

40

30-

20.

Gain Matching OK5: IR (~ 720 nm) E-beam OK4: UV (~ 360 nm) **B1 B2 B3** FEL beam **FEL Power Control** OK-5A OK-4B OK-4C **OK-5D** Dipole 712 (uu) 720 Ł Lasing Phasespace 724 728 Power of UV Power of IR (a) 356 358 -20 $N_{
m rf}$ for 695 nm -20 (uu) 360 -10 -10 \sim^{\sim} 362

0.5

364

3.5 10

Scaled to 16 mA (arb. units)

Power

린 0.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

v=0.990x-0.163

2 4 6 8 10 Count of IR Power Minimums

N_{B1} (for 718 nm)

N_{B1} (for 718 nm)

Power of IR (λ_{1})

Power of UV (λ_{α})

Sum of IR and UV Power

1

0 0 0.5 10 10 20 20 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 N_{B1} for 695 nm Power of UV Power of IR (b)





Y.K. Wu et al. PRL 115, 184801(2015); J. Yan et al. PRAB 19, 070701 (201



0.1

0.05

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

10 11

(b).

New Capabilities: Precision Polarization Control









nature photonics	ARTICLES
---------------------	----------

Precision control of gamma-ray polarization using a crossed helical undulator free-electron laser

New Gamma-ray Capability in Polarization Control

Precision control of gamma-ray polarization:

two crossed helical undulators ==> FEL and gamma-ray beams with linear polarization in any direction

- Linear polarization, P_{Lin} > 0.97 for gamma-ray (P_{Lin} > 0.99 for FEL)
- Available: 3 30 MeV
- Impact on nuclear physics research:
 - Experiment can rapidly access gamma-ray beams with variable polarization: left- and rightcircular, linear with changeable direction
 - Allow for the exploration of polarization-dependent nuclear observables
 - Significantly reduce systematic errors in measurements.

Jun Yan¹², Jonathan M. Mueller^{12,10}, Mohammad W. Ahmed^{2,3}, Hao Hao^{1,2}, Senlin Huang⁴, Jingyi Li⁵, Vladimir N. Litvinenko^{6,4}, Peifan Liu^{61,2}, Stepan F. Mikhailov^{1,2}, Victor G. Popov^{1,2}, Mark H. Sikora^{2,7}, Nikolav A. Vinokurov^{8,9} and Ying K. Wu^{61,2*}

B1

BS: Beam Splitter

QWT: Quarter-Wave Plate

PD: Photodiode

E-beam

PD2

FEL beam

Arm A

Polarization, Spectra & Power Measurements





PD1

Tracking)

(Waveform

Spectrometer

Thermal Powermeter

(FEL Total Power

Measurement)

B3

Electron Storage Ring

B2

E_e = 600 MeV



4

6

8

10

Time (min)

12

16

14

18

20

0.8

0

2



From Jun Yan's dissertation (2016)



TUNL



Figure from 2007 USA Nuclear Science LRP

Low-Energy QCD:

Nucleons

<u>Compton Scattering:</u> nucleon electric and magnetic polarizabilities nucleon spin polarizabilities

Few-nucleon Systems

Photodisintegration of ²H, ³He and ³H (cross sections, target-beam helicity dependent cross sections, polarization transfer) $b(\Delta L = n\hbar) = n\lambda$

Nuclear Structure and Nuclear Astrophysics

Many-body strongly interacting systems

NRF, (γ, γ') $(\gamma,n), (\gamma,p), (\gamma,\alpha)$ and $(\gamma, fission)$ reactions

Applied Research:

- Nuclear Security .
- Medical Isotope R&D
- Particle Detector R&D





 $\langle \wedge \wedge \wedge \rangle \rightarrow$



Future Compton Gamma-ray Sources

Unconventional Approaches

Laser-plasma Accelerator Based Compton Sources

Gamma Factory at Large Hadron Collider (LHC), CERN

Atomic-beam-driven light source using resonant photon absorption to reach several orders of magnitude higher flux than conventional Compton gamma-ray sources

TUNE

HIGS2: Next-Generation Gamma-ray Source



High-res capability: 0.6% (FWHM)

Status: Seeking funding



Low-Energy CGS: Storage Ring



Electron beam				
Beam energy	$500 { m MeV}$			
Stored currents	1000 mA			
Bunch filled	24			
Hori./Vert. emittance	7.5/0.75 nm-rad			
Hori./Vert. size (rms)	$212/39~\mu\mathrm{m}$			
Bunch length (rms)	150 ps			
Laser beam				
Wavelength	$1064~\mathrm{nm}$			
Intracavity power	100 kW			
Pulse length (rms)	$20 \mathrm{\ ps}$			
Hori./Vert. size (rms)	$40/40~\mu{ m m}$			
Gamma-ray beam				
Max. energy	$4.43 { m MeV}$			
Max. energy Collision rate	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz			
Max. energy Collision rate Collision angle	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz 6°			
Max. energy Collision rate Collision angle Luminosity	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz 6° $3.3 \times 10^{36} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$			
Max. energy Collision rate Collision angle Luminosity Total flux (in 4π solid an	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz 6° $3.3 \times 10^{36} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ gle) $2.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ //s}$			
Max. energy Collision rate Collision angle Luminosity Total flux (in 4π solid an E-beam:	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz 6° $3.3 \times 10^{36} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ gle) $2.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ y/s}$ FP cavity: 100 kW			
Max. energy Collision rate Collision angle Luminosity Total flux (in 4π solid an E-beam: E = 500 MeV, $I = 1$ A	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz 6° $3.3 \times 10^{36} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ gle) $2.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ //s}$ FP cavity: 100 kW Beam size: 40/40 μm			
Max. energy Collision rate Collision angle Luminosity Total flux (in 4π solid an E-beam: E = 500 MeV, I = 1 A Laser wavelength (nm)	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz 6° 3.3 × 10 ³⁶ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ gle) 2.2 × 10 ¹² //s FP cavity: 100 kW Beam size: 40/40 μ m $\lambda_1 = 1064$ $\lambda_2 = 1550$			
Max. energy Collision rate Collision angle Luminosity Total flux (in 4π solid an E-beam: E = 500 MeV, $I = 1$ A Laser wavelength (nm) Tot. flux (γ /s): θ =6°	4.43 MeV 121.66 MHz 6° 3.3 × 10 ³⁶ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ gle) 2.2 × 10 ¹² //s FP cavity: 100 kW Beam size: 40/40 μ m $\lambda_1 = 1064$ $\lambda_2 = 1550$ 2.2 × 10 ¹² 2.8 × 10 ¹²			

Whitepaper: "International Workshop on Next Generation Gamma-Ray Source," C.R. Howell *et al.*, arXiv:2012.10843 (2020)

 $3.27 {
m GeV}$

 $100/26 \ \mu m$

1.94/0.16 nm-rad

500 mA

64

60 ps

517 nm

20 kW

20 ps

 $40/40 \ \mu m$

 $350 {
m MeV}$ $95.42 \mathrm{~MHz}$

 $5.7 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

Laser beam: $\lambda = 517$ nm;

Beam size: $40/40 \ \mu m$

20 (kW) 100 (kW)

 3.4×10^{1} (1.7×10^{12}

 6°

High-Energy CGS: Storage Ring



Next-generation CGS: ERL Based Low Energy CGS



Low-Energy CGS: Energy-Recovery Linac

20-					
	— 1064 nm				
	532 nm				1
15					1
				1	
				1	
10-			10		
				/	/
5		100			
5	100				
0=====					

E-beam	E_{γ}	$\lambda_1 \ (\mathrm{nm})$	$\lambda_1/2$
(MeV)	(MeV)	1064	532
100	$E_{\gamma,\min}$	0.18	0.36
750	$E_{\gamma,\max}$	9.9	19.5

Electron beam	
Beam energy	$500 { m MeV}$
Average current	20 mA
Hori./Vert. emittance (norm.)	1mm-mrad
Hori./Vert. size (rms)	$15 \ \mu { m m}$
Bunch length (rms)	3 ps
Laser beam	
Wavelength	1064 nm
Intracavity power	70 kW
Pulse length (rms)	$10 \mathrm{\ ps}$
Hori./Vert. size (rms)	$15/15~\mu\mathrm{m}$
Gamma-ray beam	
Max. energy	$4.41 { m MeV}$
Collision rate	$81.25~\mathrm{MHz}$
Collision angle	6°
Luminosity	$2.6 \times 10^{36} \mathrm{~cm^{-2} s^{-1}}$
Total flux (in 4π solid angle)	$1.7 \times 10^{12} \gamma/s$

E-beam current (mA)	20	20	40
FP cavity power (kW)	70	70	70
Laser beam size (μm)	30/30	15/15	15/15
Tot. flux (γ/s) : $\theta = 6^{\circ}$	1.1×10^{12}	1.7×10^{12}	3.4×10^{12}
Tot. flux (γ/s) : head-on	5.4×10^{12}	1.3×10^{13}	2.7×10^{13}

Whitepaper: "International Workshop on Next Generation Gamma-Ray Source," C.R. Howell et al., arXiv:2012.10843 (2020)

TUNL



Thank you for your attention!