









Lore: all E-behaviours IR consistent!

But not all are UV completable!

Outline

1. Dispersion relations for EFTs

2. Positivity for BSM phenomenology

3. Large-N QCD





More than positivity

Bellazzini,Elias-Miro,Rattazzi,Riembau,FR'20



Moments appear everywhere in physics... e.g. stones $d\mu(x) = mass$ distributions

> n=0: total mass M (sets units) n=1: centre of mass <RM n=2: moment of inertia <R²M

> > Bounded

What bounds do moments satisfy?

Bounds A Positive Polynomials

Bellazzini, Elias-Miro, Rattazzi, Riembau, FR'20



$$p = x^n (1-x)^m$$
 (Bernstein Polynomials)





1. Bounds on EFTs Bounds on \mathcal{A}_n Bounds on \mathcal{A}_n and on EFT Wilson coefficients 1.0 0.5 0.0 91.0 0.5 $\frac{\mathcal{A}_3 s^6}{\mathcal{A}_0}$ 0.0 1.0 0.5 $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_2 s^2 \overset{\smile}{\overset{\smile}{_{0.0}}}$ $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_1 s^2$ \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{A}_0

1. How "soft" can EFTs be?

Tree-level: $A(s) = c_0 + c_2 s^2 + c_4 s^4 + c_6 s^6 + c_8 s^8 + \cdots$



1. How "soft" can EFTs be?

Bellazzini, Elias-Miro, Rattazzi, Riembau, FR'20

 $A(s) = c_0 + c_2 s^2 + c_4 s^4 + c_6 s^6 + \cdots$



Very soft theories have low cutoff... ... so low that they are unobservable! (dimension>8 operators cannot dominate)

Obvious in specific weakly coupled models (E/M<<1), Less obvious in general: Goldstone bosons appeared to have naturally soft amplitudes

1. How "soft" can EFTs be? Bellazzini, Elias-Miro, Rattazzi, Riembau, FR'20







> No Massive Gravity

3. Dispersion relations in ChPT

Roy Equations to improve fit to experimental data:



Can we learn something about the structure of QCD? > separate theory predictions from experimental input

3. Dispersion relations in ChPT

Theory "Positivity" bounds on pion amplitude coefficients:

Mateu, Manohar '08 Distler, Grinstein, Porto, Rothstein '06

 $\mathcal{I}_{2} = \ell_{\lambda} (\nabla^{\mu} u^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla_{\mu} u)^{2} + \ell_{2} (\nabla^{\mu} u^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla^{\mathsf{T}} u) (\nabla_{\mu} u^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla_{\mathcal{T}} u)$



...now, more constraints from non-forward amplitude (efficient if IR weakly coupled) (efficient if IR weakly coupled) Bellazzini,Elias-Miro,Rattazzi,Riembau,FR'20

Bellazzini,Elias-Miro,Rattazzi,Riembau,FR'20 Arkani-Hamed,Huang,Huang'20 Tolley,Wang,Zhou'20 Caron-Huot,VanDuong'20 Caron-Huot,Mazac,Rastelli,Simons-Duffin'21 Chiang,Huang,Li,Rodina,Weng'21 Bellazzini,Riembau,FR'21

3. Large-N QCD

Dispersive approach complemented with Large-Nc info:



3. Positivity for Pions

$$A(s,t) = \frac{(s+t)}{f^2} + g_{2,0} (s^2 + t^2) + g_{2,1}st + \cdots$$

$$A(s,t) = \frac{(s+t)}{f^2} + g_{2,0} (s^2 + t^2) + g_{2,1}st + \cdots$$

$$A(s,t) = \frac{(s+t)}{f^2} + g_{2,0} (s^2 + t^2) + g_{2,1}st + \cdots$$

$$A(s,t) = \frac{(s+t)}{f^2} + 2 \text{ dispersion relations} + \frac{(s+t)}{f^2} + \frac{(s+t)}{f^2}$$



Analytic limit unreachable numerically No new kink, only vector model



Extremal theories (edges/corners) provide a reference

-> multi-spin extremal theories still unknown!

3. Positivity for Pions

Fernandez, Pomarol, FR, Sciotti '22

$$A(s,t) = \frac{(s+t)}{f^2} + g_{2,0}\left(s^2 + t^2\right) + g_{2,1}st + g_{3,0}\left(s^3 + t^3\right) + g_{3,1}\left(s^2t + t^2s\right) + \cdots$$



Extremal theories (edges/corners) provide a reference -> multi-spin extremal theories still unknown!

3. Vector Meson Dominance

Fernandez, Pomarol, FR, Sciotti '22











Dispersion relations to explore BSM

- Operators with dim>8 don't matter
- ▶ Particles with spin≥2 Can't be lighter that others

Combine Large-N and dispersion relations
Corner QCD

Explain Vector Meson Dominance

Development of a method that survives IR (t=0) loop non-analyticities

 $\sim s^m t^n \log s \log t$

non-analytic

Bellazzini, FR, Riembau'21 Beadle, Isabella, Perrone, Ricossa, FR, Serra'to appear

Understanding Pi-Photon amplitudes

Large-N QCD with A(s)/s2-> 0



Couplings to pions: $\bar{g}_s^2, \bar{g}_{\rho}^2 \leq 1.$ $\bar{g}_{f_2}^2 \lesssim 0.80.$ $\bar{g}_{\rho_3}^2 \lesssim 0.14$, $\bar{g}_{f_4}^2 \lesssim 0.04.$

LECs: $\frac{\bar{g}_{2,1}}{\bar{g}_{2,1}|_{\rho}} \lesssim 1 + 0.17 \, \frac{1 - \bar{g}_{\rho}^2}{\bar{g}_{\rho}^2} \, .$

(e.g for grho=0.5 the rho contributes 80% to LECs)

SU(2) amplitude

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{cd}_{ab} &= A(s|t,u) \left(rac{2}{N_f} \delta_{ab} \delta^{cd} + d_{abe} d^{cde}
ight) \ &+ A(t|s,u) \left(rac{2}{N_f} \delta^d_a \delta^c_b + d_a{}^d_e d_b{}^{ce}
ight) \ &+ A(u|s,t) \left(rac{2}{N_f} \delta^c_a \delta^d_b + d_a{}^c_e d^d{}^e_b
ight). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}^0(s|t,u) &= 3A(s|t,u) + A(t|s,u) + A(u|s,t) = 3M(s,t) + 3M(s,u) - M(t,u) \,, \\ \mathcal{M}^1(s|t,u) &= A(u|s,t) - A(t|s,u) = 2\Big(M(s,u) - M(s,t)\Big) \,, \\ \mathcal{M}^2(s|t,u) &= A(t|s,u) + A(u|s,t) = 2M(t,u) \,. \end{split}$$

$$A(s|t, u) = M(s, t) + M(s, u) - M(t, u), \quad 2M(s, u) = A(s|t, u) + A(u|s, t)$$