# Three dimensional structure of proton within the Basis Light-front Quantization framework

Zhi Hu

huzhi0826@gmail.com

Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Science School of Nuclear Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

In collaboration with Jiatong Wu, Chunhua Zeng, Siqi Xu, Chandan Mondal, Zhun Lu, Yuxiang Zhao, Xingbo Zhao, James P. Vary

CFNS-CTEQ Summer School 2023/6/16



#### Contents

#### Backgrounds

- Basis Light-front Quantization...
- 2 Leading-twist quark TMDs in |qqq> Fock space proton
  - How to calculate those TMDs
  - Properties of T-even TMDs of quarks in the proton
  - Properties of T-even TMDs of quarks in the proton
  - Evolution of quark TMDs
  - From quark TMDs to the Sivers asymmetry of SIDIS
- 3 Other observables
  - Comparison between quark and gluon TMDs in  $|qqq\rangle + |qqqg\rangle$  proton
  - Unpolarized DPDs
- Summary and outlook
  - Summary and outlook



utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.



utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.

- light-front Hamiltonian formalism [Dirac,*Rev.Mod.Phys.***21**.3(1949):392–399], [Brodsky et al.,*Phys.Rep.***301**.4-6(1997):299–486], [Bakker et al.,*NPB Proc.Supplements***251-252**(2014):165–174]
  - a reparameterization of the four vector:  $v^+ = v^0 + v^3$ ,  $v^- = v^0 v^3$ ,  $v^\perp = (v^1, v^2)$ ;  $x^+$ : light-front time,  $p^-$ : light-front energy



utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.

- light-front Hamiltonian formalism [Dirac,*Rev.Mod.Phys.***21**.3(1949):392–399], [Brodsky et al.,*Phys.Rep.***301**.4-6(1997):299–486], [Bakker et al.,*NPB Proc.Supplements***251-252**(2014):165–174]
  - a reparameterization of the four vector:  $v^+ = v^0 + v^3$ ,  $v^- = v^0 v^3$ ,  $v^\perp = (v^1, v^2)$ ;  $x^+$ : light-front time,  $p^-$ : light-front energy
  - from Lagrangian to the light-front mass eigen equation:

 $\mathcal{L} \to P^+, P^-, P^\perp \to \text{when } x^+ = 0; H_{\text{LC}} | P, \Lambda \rangle \equiv \left[ P^+ P^- - \left( P^\perp \right)^2 \right] | P, \Lambda \rangle = M^2 | P, \Lambda \rangle$ 



utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.

- light-front Hamiltonian formalism [Dirac,*Rev.Mod.Phys.***21**.3(1949):392–399], [Brodsky et al.,*Phys.Rep.***301**.4-6(1997):299–486], [Bakker et al.,*NPB Proc.Supplements***251-252**(2014):165–174]
  - a reparameterization of the four vector:  $v^+ = v^0 + v^3$ ,  $v^- = v^0 v^3$ ,  $v^\perp = (v^1, v^2)$ ;  $x^+$ : light-front time,  $p^-$ : light-front energy
  - from Lagrangian to the light-front mass eigen equation:

 $\mathcal{L} \to P^+, P^-, P^\perp \to \text{when } x^+ = 0; H_{\text{LC}} | P, \Lambda \rangle \equiv \left[ P^+ P^- - \left( P^\perp \right)^2 \right] | P, \Lambda \rangle = M^2 | P, \Lambda \rangle$ 

• take QCD as an example,  $|P, \Lambda\rangle$  is the hadron that we want to investigate, viewed as a bound state consist by quarks and gluons

IMI

utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.

- light-front Hamiltonian formalism [Dirac,*Rev.Mod.Phys.***21**.3(1949):392–399], [Brodsky et al.,*Phys.Rep.***301**.4-6(1997):299–486], [Bakker et al.,*NPB Proc.Supplements***251-252**(2014):165–174]
  - a reparameterization of the four vector:  $v^+ = v^0 + v^3$ ,  $v^- = v^0 v^3$ ,  $v^\perp = (v^1, v^2)$ ;  $x^+$ : light-front time,  $p^-$ : light-front energy
  - from Lagrangian to the light-front mass eigen equation:

 $\mathcal{L} \to P^+, P^-, P^\perp \to \text{when } x^+ = 0; H_{\text{LC}} | P, \Lambda \rangle \equiv \left[ P^+ P^- - \left( P^\perp \right)^2 \right] | P, \Lambda \rangle = M^2 | P, \Lambda \rangle$ 

- take QCD as an example,  $|P, \Lambda\rangle$  is the hadron that we want to investigate, viewed as a bound state consistence quarks and gluons
- we expand the bound state by a complete set of free parton state, *i.e.*, the Fock sector expansion

 $|\text{electron}\rangle = |e\rangle + |e\gamma\rangle + \dots$   $|\text{proton}\rangle = |qqq\rangle + |qqqg\rangle + \dots$   $|\text{pion}\rangle = |q\bar{q}\rangle + |q\bar{q}g\rangle + \dots$ and then define the light-front wave function (LFWF) as

$$|P,\Lambda\rangle \equiv \sum_{n,\langle\lambda_i\rangle} \int \frac{\prod_i^{n-1} \{dx_i dq_i^{\perp}\}}{N_n(\{q_i\})} \psi^{\Lambda}_{\{\lambda_i\}}(\{q_i\}) \left| \{\lambda_i,p_i\} \right\rangle$$

IMI

utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.

- light-front Hamiltonian formalism [Dirac,*Rev.Mod.Phys.***21**.3(1949):392–399], [Brodsky et al.,*Phys.Rep.***301**.4-6(1997):299–486], [Bakker et al.,*NPB Proc.Supplements***251-252**(2014):165–174]
  - a reparameterization of the four vector:  $v^+ = v^0 + v^3$ ,  $v^- = v^0 v^3$ ,  $v^\perp = (v^1, v^2)$ ;  $x^+$ : light-front time,  $p^-$ : light-front energy
  - from Lagrangian to the light-front mass eigen equation:

 $\mathcal{L} \to P^+, P^-, P^\perp \to \text{when } x^+ = 0; H_{\text{LC}} | P, \Lambda \rangle \equiv \left[ P^+ P^- - \left( P^\perp \right)^2 \right] | P, \Lambda \rangle = M^2 | P, \Lambda \rangle$ 

- take QCD as an example,  $|P, \Lambda\rangle$  is the hadron that we want to investigate, viewed as a bound state consistence quarks and gluons
- we expand the bound state by a complete set of free parton state, *i.e.*, the Fock sector expansion

 $|\text{electron}\rangle = |e\rangle + |e\gamma\rangle + \dots$   $|\text{proton}\rangle = |qqq\rangle + |qqqg\rangle + \dots$   $|\text{pion}\rangle = |q\bar{q}\rangle + |q\bar{q}g\rangle + \dots$ and then define the light-front wave function (LFWF) as

$$|P,\Lambda\rangle \equiv \sum_{n,\langle\lambda_i\rangle} \int \frac{\prod_i^{n-1} \{dx_i dq_i^{\perp}\}}{N_n(\{q_i\})} \psi^A_{\{\lambda_i\}}(\{q_i\}) |\{\lambda_i, p_i\}\rangle$$

e solving the light-front mass eigne function is equivalent to finding the LFWF of the bound state. Zhi Hu (IMP, CAS) 3D Proton in BLFQ CFNS-CTEQ 2023/6/16 IMP

utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.

• discretization and truncation [Vary et al., PRC81.3(2010):035205]

$\langle lpha   H_{ m LC}   eta  angle \langle eta   P, eta  angle = M^2 \langle lpha   P, eta  angle$		$\langle \boldsymbol{\beta}   \boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \rangle = \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$	$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{\boldsymbol{A}}_{(\lambda_i)}(\{\boldsymbol{q}_i\}) \propto \sum_{\{n_i,m_i\}} \psi(\beta)\{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{n_im_i}(p_i^{\perp})\}$
d.o.f.	basis	truncations or sum rules	other elements
transverse momentum	2D-HO $\phi_{n_i m_i}(p_i^{\perp})$	$\sum_{i} 2n_i +  m_i  + 1 \le N_{\max}$	energy scale of the 2D-HO $b_x$ $H_{\rm LC} \rightarrow H_{\rm LC} + H'$
longitudinal momentum	plane wave $e^{ip_l^+x^-}$	$\sum_i k_i = K, \ \frac{k_i}{K} = x_i$	box normalization of length 2L $p_i^+ = \frac{k_i \pi}{L}$
spin	light-front helicity eigen state $\lambda_i$	$\sum_i \lambda_i + m_i = M_j$	
multi-particle state of the Fock space	$ \alpha_i\rangle =  \lambda_i, k_i, n_i, m_i\rangle$ $ \alpha\rangle = \bigotimes_i  \alpha_i\rangle$	Fock space truncation	

utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.



utilizes the Hamiltonian framework of the light-front quantum field theory to simultaneously obtain the mass spectrum and various internal structures of the QFT bound states by numerically solving the light-front mass eigen equation.

- QCD bound state: [Xu et al.,*PRD*104.9(2021):1–23], [Lan et al.,*PRL*122.17(2019):1–6], [Wu et al.(in preparation)]
- **QED bound state**: [Zhao et al.,*PLB***737**(2014):65–69], [Fu et al.:2003.11781], [Nair et al.,*PLB***827**(2022)]
- **TMDs**: [Hu et al.,*PRD***103**.3(2021):36005], [Hu et al.,*PLB***833**(2022):137360], [Hu et al.(in preparation)], [Zhu et al.,*PLB***839**(2023):137808]
- GPDs: [Zhang et al.(in preparation)], [Fu et al.(in preparation)]
- DPDs: [Peng et al.(in preparation)]



Background

#### How to calculate those TMDs

• effective Hamiltonian suitable for |qqq⟩ Fock space proton and parameters fitted from unpolarized collinear PDFs and form factors ⇒ LFWF of the |qqq⟩ Fock space proton [Xu et al.,*PRD*104.9(2021):1–23]





Backgrounds

#### How to calculate those TMDs

- effective Hamiltonian suitable for |qqq⟩ Fock space proton and parameters fitted from unpolarized collinear PDFs and form factors ⇒ LFWF of the |qqq⟩ Fock space proton [Xu et al.,*PRD*104.9(2021):1–23]
- $\mathcal{W} \approx \mathbb{1}$  approximation: T-even TMDs can be expressed by simple overlaps of LFWF  $\Phi_{\lambda'_1\lambda_i}^{\Lambda'\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda_2\lambda_3} \psi_{\lambda'_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}^{\Lambda'*} \psi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}^{\Lambda}$ , and all T-odd TMDs reduce to zero

$f_1 = \Phi_{++}^{++} + \Phi_{}^{++}$	$g_{1L} = \Phi_{++}^{++} - \Phi_{}^{++}$
$g_{1T} = \frac{2M}{ p^{\perp} } \Phi_{++}^{+-}$	$h_1=\Phi_{+-}^{+-}$
$h_{1T}^{\perp} = \frac{2M^2}{\left(p^{\perp}\right)^2} \Phi_{-+}^{+-}$	$h_{1L}^{\perp}=rac{2M}{ p^{\perp} }\Phi_{-+}^{++}$



#### How to calculate those TMDs

- effective Hamiltonian suitable for |qqq > Fock space proton and parameters fitted from unpolarized collinear PDFs and form factors  $\implies$  LFWF of the  $|qqq\rangle$  Fock space proton [Xu et al., PRD104.9(2021):1–23]
- $\mathcal{W} \approx 1$  approximation: T-even TMDs can be expressed by simple overlaps of LFWF  $\Phi_{\lambda'\lambda}^{\Lambda'\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda_2\lambda_2} \psi_{\lambda'\lambda_2\lambda_2}^{\Lambda'*} \psi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_2}^{\Lambda}$ , and all T-odd TMDs reduce to zero
- one-gluon exchange (OGE) approximation [Yuan, *PLB*575.1-2(2003):45–54] [Bacchetta et al., PRD78.7(2008):074010]
  - physically, non-trivial gauge link encode the final-state interaction between struck parton and the spectators
  - the contribution of OGE approximation to T-even TMDs are zero and contribution to T-odd TMDs are proportional to the following complex integral

$$\int \mathrm{d}^2 k'_3 \mathrm{d}^2 q \frac{1}{q^2 + \lambda^2} \phi_{n'_f m'_f}(k - q, \sqrt{x_1(x_2 + x_3)}b) \phi_{n'_m m'_m}(k'_3 - \frac{x_2}{x_2 + x_3}q, \sqrt{\frac{x_2 x_3}{x_2 + x_3}}b) \phi_{n_m m_m}(k'_3 - q, \sqrt{\frac{x_2 x_3}{x_2 + x_3}}b)$$





## Properties of T-even TMDs of quarks in the proton

[Hu et al., PLB833(2022):137360]

• qualitative properties



- plots at initial energy  $\mu_0^2 = \zeta_0 = 0.195 \,\text{GeV}^2$
- T-even TMDs of *u*, *d* quarks
- monotonically decrease in the transverse direction
- distributions analouge to  $x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}$  in the longitudinal direction
- consistent with other theoretical calculations [Bastami et al.,*PRD*103.1(2021):14024]
   [Bacchetta et al.,*PRD*78.7(2008):074010]
   [Musch et al.,*PRD*83.9(2011):1–38]
   [Lattice Parton Collaboration et al.:2211.02340]



## Properties of T-even TMDs of quarks in the proton

#### [Hu et al.(in preparation)]

• Sivers function  $(f_{1T}^{\perp})$  is negative for *u* and positive for *d* with similar magnitude, Boer-Mulders functions  $(h_1^{\perp})$  are negative for both with larger magnitude for *u* than *d* 



[Hu et al.(in preparation)]

• Sivers asymmetry: the difference in cross section of unpolarized lepton scattered from oppositely transversely polarized proton

$$l + H \rightarrow l' + h + X \qquad A_{\rm UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \propto \frac{{\rm d}\sigma^{\rightarrow} - {\rm d}\sigma^{\leftarrow}}{{\rm d}\sigma^{\rightarrow} + {\rm d}\sigma^{\leftarrow}}$$



[Hu et al.(in preparation)]

• Sivers asymmetry: the difference in cross section of unpolarized lepton scattered from oppositely transversely polarized proton

$$l + H \rightarrow l' + h + X \qquad A_{\rm UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \propto \frac{{\rm d} \sigma^{\rightarrow} - {\rm d} \sigma^{\leftarrow}}{{\rm d} \sigma^{\rightarrow} + {\rm d} \sigma^{\leftarrow}}$$

• within the TMD factorization, one can express Sivers asymmetry via Sivers function  $f_{1T}^{\perp}$ , unpolarized TMD distribution  $f_1$ , and unpolarized TMD fragmentation  $D_1$ 

$$A_{\rm UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}(x, z, P_{h\perp}, Q^2) = -\frac{M\sum_q e_q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{b^2 db}{2\pi} J_1(\frac{bP_{h\perp}}{z}) f_{1T}^{\perp q \leftarrow h_1}(x, b) D_1^{q \to h_2}(z, b)}{\sum_q e_q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{b db}{2\pi} J_0(\frac{bP_{h\perp}}{z}) f_1^{q \leftarrow h_1}(x, b) D_1^{q \to h_2}(z, b)}$$



[Hu et al.(in preparation)]

• Sivers asymmetry: the difference in cross section of unpolarized lepton scattered from oppositely transversely polarized proton

$$l + H \rightarrow l' + h + X \qquad A_{\rm UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \propto \frac{{\rm d} \sigma^{\rightarrow} - {\rm d} \sigma^{\leftarrow}}{{\rm d} \sigma^{\rightarrow} + {\rm d} \sigma^{\leftarrow}}$$

• within the TMD factorization, one can express Sivers asymmetry via Sivers function  $f_{1T}^{\perp}$ , unpolarized TMD distribution  $f_1$ , and unpolarized TMD fragmentation  $D_1$ 

$$A_{\rm UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}(x, z, P_{h\perp}, Q^2) = -\frac{M\sum_q e_q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{b^2 db}{2\pi} J_1(\frac{bP_{h\perp}}{z}) f_{1T}^{\perp q \to h_1}(x, b) D_1^{q \to h_2}(z, b)}{\sum_q e_q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{b db}{2\pi} J_0(\frac{bP_{h\perp}}{z}) f_1^{q \to h_1}(x, b) D_1^{q \to h_2}(z, b)}$$

• we have to introduce TMD evolution to account for the scale difference of various experiments



[Hu et al.(in preparation)]

• Sivers asymmetry: the difference in cross section of unpolarized lepton scattered from oppositely transversely polarized proton

$$l + H \rightarrow l' + h + X \qquad A_{\rm UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \propto \frac{{\rm d} \sigma^{\rightarrow} - {\rm d} \sigma^{\leftarrow}}{{\rm d} \sigma^{\rightarrow} + {\rm d} \sigma^{\leftarrow}}$$

• within the TMD factorization, one can express Sivers asymmetry via Sivers function  $f_{1T}^{\perp}$ , unpolarized TMD distribution  $f_1$ , and unpolarized TMD fragmentation  $D_1$ 

$$A_{\rm UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)}(x, z, P_{h\perp}, Q^2) = -\frac{M\sum_q e_q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{b^2 db}{2\pi} J_1(\frac{bP_{h\perp}}{z}) f_{1T}^{\perp q \to h_1}(x, b) D_1^{q \to h_2}(z, b)}{\sum_q e_q^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{b db}{2\pi} J_0(\frac{bP_{h\perp}}{z}) f_1^{q \to h_1}(x, b) D_1^{q \to h_2}(z, b)}$$

- we have to introduce TMD evolution to account for the scale difference of various experiments
- BLFQ framework currently cannot calculate the fragmentation function, so we use the extracted  $D_1$  [Scimemi et al.,*JHEP*2020.6(2020); Zeng et al.,*PRD*106.9(2022):94039]



#### [Hu et al.(in preparation)]

we compare with experimental data from COMPASS2009 (*H* = deuteron), JLab2011&2014 (*H* = <sup>3</sup>He), COMPASS2015 (*H* = proton), HERMES2020 (*H* = proton) and introduce a very simple nuclear modification for *H* ≠ proton [Zeng et al.,*PRD*106.9(2022):94039]:

 $f_{u \leftarrow A} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow n} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{d \leftarrow p}$ 



#### [Hu et al.(in preparation)]

we compare with experimental data from COMPASS2009 (*H* = deuteron), JLab2011&2014 (*H* = <sup>3</sup>He), COMPASS2015 (*H* = proton), HERMES2020 (*H* = proton) and introduce a very simple nuclear modification for *H* ≠ proton [Zeng et al.,*PRD*106.9(2022):94039]:

 $f_{u \leftarrow A} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow n} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{d \leftarrow p}$ 



#### [Hu et al.(in preparation)]

we compare with experimental data from COMPASS2009 (*H* = deuteron), JLab2011&2014 (*H* = <sup>3</sup>He), COMPASS2015 (*H* = proton), HERMES2020 (*H* = proton) and introduce a very simple nuclear modification for *H* ≠ proton [Zeng et al.,*PRD*106.9(2022):94039]:

$$f_{u \leftarrow A} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow n} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{d \leftarrow p}$$

•  $\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N_{\text{point}}} \sum \frac{(\text{the}-\text{exp})^2}{\text{err}^2}$  to quantify the difference between theoretical and experimental results





#### [Hu et al.(in preparation)]

we compare with experimental data from COMPASS2009 (*H* = deuteron), JLab2011&2014 (*H* = <sup>3</sup>He), COMPASS2015 (*H* = proton), HERMES2020 (*H* = proton) and introduce a very simple nuclear modification for *H* ≠ proton [Zeng et al.,*PRD*106.9(2022):94039]:

$$f_{u \leftarrow A} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow n} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{d \leftarrow p}$$

•  $\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N_{\text{point}}} \sum \frac{(\text{the}-\text{exp})^2}{\text{err}^2}$  to quantify the difference between theoretical and experimental results



#### [Hu et al.(in preparation)]

• we compare with experimental data from COMPASS2009 (H = deuteron), ILab2011&2014 ( $H = {}^{3}$ He), COMPASS2015 (H = proton), HERMES2020 (H = proton) and introduce a very simple nuclear modification for  $H \neq$  proton [Zeng et al., *PRD***106**.9(2022):94039]:

$$f_{u \leftarrow A} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow n} = c_{p \leftarrow H} f_{u \leftarrow p} + c_{n \leftarrow H} f_{d \leftarrow p}$$

•  $\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N_{\text{regint}}} \sum \frac{(\text{the}-\text{exp})^2}{\text{err}^2}$  to quantify the difference between theoretical and experimental results





#### **Comparison between quark and gluon TMDs in** $|qqq\rangle + |qqqg\rangle$ **proton**





#### **Unpolarized DPDs**



### Summary and outlook

- Lagrangian ⇒ light-front mass eigen equation ⇒ LFWF of the proton ⇒ parton TMDs/GPDs/DPDs/...in the proton ⇒ cross sections of high energy scattering
- start from full QCD Lagrangian, on going projects now
- rapidity divergency, soft factor is missing in my work, soft factor is the VEV of a Wilson loop
- how to calculate fragmentation function how to deal with the unobserved *X* using the wave function formalism

$$D_{1} \propto \sum_{X} \left\langle 0 \, | \, \psi \mathcal{W} \, | \, H, X \right\rangle \left\langle H, X \, | \, \bar{\psi} \mathcal{W}^{\dagger} \, | \, 0 \right\rangle$$

• how to incorperate small-*x* physics in our framework seem like we both use light-front quantization and LFWF/LCWF



## Thank you!

