

Geant4 - a focal HEP simulation tool

- While HEP experiments use variety of tools to perform detector simulations, [Geant4](#) is a toolkit used by most, if not all of them. It has become a **de-facto standard for many aspects of HEP detector simulations**
 - It is **used** not only in **detector and facility design**, but also in the **extraction of physics results and estimation of systematic uncertainties**
 - While being **widely and successfully used** in various contexts, it **also has its limitations**, in part, because of the **lack of a sufficient number of people** working on it.
 - Quoting from the [Snowmass2021 Book](#) Rare Processes and Precision Measurements Frontier (RPF) p538-539:

The RPF wants to send a strong and emphatic message, also discussed in the Computing Frontier report: **GEANT4 is not sufficiently supported in the U.S.** The physics models of some crucial processes, including but not limited to their cross-sections, rates, and spectra, are in disrepair [...] Many experiments in RPF rely on low-energy phenomena whose simulations are not kept up to date; when bugs and errors are found, they are **not fixed because there is no one to fix them**. GEANT is infrastructure akin to "roads and bridges"; ***the current trajectory endangers progress across particle physics.*** [emphasis/abbreviation by KLG]

Sustaining Geant4 Physics Models

- Geant4 **physics models** have a **similar impact** on the simulation of experiments, extraction of physics results and estimation of systematic uncertainties as **physics event generators**
- Ensuring that there are **people who can maintain and develop Geant4**, including its physics models, is **critical to Geant4 usability**
 - The most widely used **models** [have been shown](#) to **require more work** to fully describe the existing data
 - Unfortunately, one of these models **has not been actively developed** over the last few years due to the **lack of people**
- Establishing **strong partnerships** and collaboration among **theorists, developers of event generators, and Geant4 physics model developers** would be **beneficial** for the entire HEP community
- **To benefit US experiments the most, the people working on the physics models relied on by these experiments should be specifically funded to do so.** Just a best effort of people having other priorities has not been sufficient
- Geant4 is a very complex toolkit; **its all elements**, not only its physics models, **need to function well and run efficiently and fast** on modern (super)computers; **it all requires constant human attention and work**

Sustaining Geant4 and Geant4 Collaboration

- The people of the (international) Geant4 Collaboration are aging
- Many Geant4 developers have retired over the last few years, and many are approaching retirement (specific data can be provided upon request)
- **Scarcity of dedicated permanent HEP positions** is a negative and discouraging factor; There are many job opportunities outside of HEP for people with the skills needed to develop Geant4; Some **Geant4 developers** decided to, or **had to, leave** when their **contracts ended**
- Experience shows that **it takes several years of learning and knowledge transfer for a new person to be able to contribute to Geant4 development at an expert level**; If new people are not hired in a timely manner, a significant loss in productivity occurs
- Given the prevalent use of Geant4, the **impact of investing in it is large (and so is the *impact of insufficient funding*)**
- As the needs of experiments and computing environments evolve the Geant4 toolkit requires **constant *development, maintenance, and user support*. All that requires an adequate number of people and stable funding**
- Also see: [SnowmassCompF2DetSim20220718](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07614) and <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07614>, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07645>