Tracking the origin of baryon number with electron-ion collisions



Zhangbu Xu
(Brookhaven National Lab)

- baryon number carrier
- Three experimental approaches at RHIC
- Earlier theory and experiment work on pp and ep
- EIC perspectives and requirements

N. Lewis, T. Tsang, Y. Li, H. Klest, W.B. Zhao, N. Magdy, R.R. Ma, P. Tribedy, J.D. Brandenburg, Z.B. Tang, Z.W. Lin, C. Shen, B. Schenke, D. Kharzeev, *et al.*

1st workshop on the 2nd EIC detector

In part supported by

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science

Baryon Number (B) Carrier

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quark



- Textbook picture of a proton
  - Lightest baryon with strictly conserved baryon number
  - Each valence quark carries 1/3 of baryon number
  - Proton lifetime >10^{34} years
  - Quarks are connected by gluons
- Alternative picture of a proton
  - Proposed at the Dawn of QCD in 1970s
  - A Y-shaped gluon junction topology carries baryon number (B=1)
  - The topology number is the strictly conserved number
  - Quarks do not carry baryon number
  - Valence quarks are connected to the end of the junction always



[1]: Artru, X.; String Model with Baryons: Topology, Classical Motion. Nucl. Phys. B 85, 442–460 (**1975**).
[2]: Rossi, G. C. & Veneziano, G. A; Possible Description of Baryon Dynamics in Dual and Gauge Theories. Nucl. Phys. B 123, 507–545 (**1977**)

Baryon Number (B) Carrier

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quark

- Textbook picture of a proton
  - Lightest baryon with strictly conserved baryon number
  - Each valence quark carries 1/3 of baryon number
  - Proton lifetime >10^{34} years
  - Quarks are connected by gluons
- Alternative picture of a proton
  - Proposed at the Dawn of QCD in 1970s
  - A Y-shaped gluon junction topology carries baryon number (B=1)
  - The topology number is the strictly conserved number
  - Quarks do not carry baryon number
  - Valence quarks are connected to the end of the junction always





[1]: Artru, X.; String Model with Baryons: Topology, Classical Motion. Nucl. Phys. B 85, 442–460 (**1975**).

[2]: Rossi, G. C. & Veneziano, G. A; Possible Description of Baryon Dynamics in Dual and Gauge Theories. Nucl. Phys. B 123, 507–545 (**1977**)

Model implementations of baryons at RHIC

- Many of the models used for heavy-ion collisions at RHIC (HIJING, AMPT, UrQMD) have implemented a nonperturbative baryon stopping mechanism

V. Topor Pop, *et al,* Phys. Rev. C **70**, 064906 (2004)

Zi-Wei Lin, *et al*, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 064901 (2005)

M. Bleicher, *et al,* J.Phys.G **25,** 1859-1896 (1999)

- Baryon Stopping
  - Theorized to be an effective mechanism of stopping baryons in pp and AA

D. Kharzeev, Physics Letters B **378**, 238-246 (1996)

- Specific rapidity dependence is predicted:

$$p = \sim e^{-\alpha_B y}$$

α_B ~=0.5

2003 RBRC Workshop on "Baryon Dynamics at RHIC"



D. Kharzeev, Physics Letters B **378**, 238-246 (1996)
"Can gluons trace baryon number?"



"Science, however, is never conducted as a popularity contest..." --- Michio Kaku

BUT citations ARE

Measurements of quark electric charges

Scattering cross section $\sigma \propto e_q^2$

$(2/3)^2+(1/3)^2+(1/3)^2=2/3$

$(2/3)^2+(2/3)^2+(1/3)^2=1$

$(1/3)^2+(1/3)^2+(1/3)^2=1/3$



PDG

Figure 53.2: World data on the total cross section of $e^+e^- \to hadrons$ and the ratio $R(s) = \sigma(e^+e^- \to hadrons, s)/\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-, s)$. $\sigma(e^+e^- \to hadrons, s)$ is the experimental cross section corrected for initial state radiation and electron-positron vertex loops, $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-, s) = 4\pi\alpha^2(s)/3s$. Data errors are total below 2 GeV and statistical above 2 GeV. The curves are an educative guide: the broken one (green) is a naive quark-parton model

Riordan, Science 1992



Fig. 8. Comparison of structure functions measured in deep inelastic neutrino-nucleon scattering experiments on the Gargamelle heavy-liquid bubble chamber with the MIT-SLAC data [(●), Gargamelle, $F_2^{\nu N}$; (×), MIT-SLAC, $(18/5)F_2^{eN}$]. When multiplied by 18/5, a number specified by the quark-parton model, the electron scattering data coincide with the neutrino data.

Measurements of quark baryon number?

- Textbook picture of a proton
  - Lightest baryon with strictly conserved baryon number
  - Each valence quark carries 1/3 of baryon number
  - Proton lifetime >10^{34} years
  - Quarks are connected by gluons
- Alternative picture of a proton
  - Proposed at the Dawn of QCD in 1970s
  - A Y-shaped gluon junction topology carries baryon number (B=1)
  - The topology number is the strictly conserved number
  - Quarks do not carry baryon number
  - Valence quarks are connected to the end of the junction always
- .





[1]: Artru, X.; String Model with Baryons: Topology, Classical Motion. Nucl. Phys. B 85, 442–460 (**1975**).
[2]: Rossi, G. C. & Veneziano, G. A; Possible Description of Baryon Dynamics in Dual and Gauge Theories. Nucl. Phys. B 123, 507–545 (**1977**)

Measurements of quark baryon number?

- Textbook picture of a proton
  - Lightest baryon with strictly conserved baryon number
  - Each valence quark carries 1/3 of baryon number
  - Proton lifetime >10^{34} years
  - Quarks are connected by gluons
- Alternative picture of a proton
  - Proposed at the Dawn of QCD in 1970s
  - A Y-shaped gluon junction topology carries baryon number (B=1)
  - The topology number is the strictly conserved number
  - Quarks do not carry baryon number
  - Valence quarks are connected to the end of the junction always
- **Neither of these postulations has been verified experimentally**





[1]: Artru, X.; String Model with Baryons: Topology, Classical Motion. Nucl. Phys. B 85, 442–460 (**1975**).
[2]: Rossi, G. C. & Veneziano, G. A; Possible Description of Baryon Dynamics in Dual and Gauge Theories. Nucl. Phys. B 123, 507–545 (**1977**)

Three approaches toward tracking the origin of the baryon number

D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685

Proposed to use double ratio in Zr+Zr and Ru+Ru isobar collisions to cancel al the detector effects, the signal is at the level of 10^{-3}

Nicole Lewis (BNL), CFNS seminar 05/25



1. STAR Method:
   Charge (Q) stopping vs baryon (B) stopping:
   if valence quarks carry Q and B, Q=B at middle rapidity

2. Kharzeev-STAR Method:
   If gluon topology (J) carries B as one unit, it should show scaling according to Regge theory

$$p = \sim e^{-\alpha_B y}$$

α_B ~=0.5

3. Artru Method:
   In γ+Au collision, rapidity asymmetry can reveal the origin



Double ratios between Ru+Ru and Zr+Zr collisions



- The double ratios of π^+/π^- and $p/\bar{p}$ are larger than 1. Due to extra charge in Ru?
- The double ratios of K^-/K^+ is consistent with unity within uncertainties.

From baryon stopping: B*(ΔZ/A)~=2x10^{-3}

Charge stopping: ΔQ ~=1x10^{-3}

Identified hadron spectra to low momentum

Net-charge difference (Ru+Ru – Zr+Zr)

- $R2_{\pi} = \frac{(N_{\pi}^{+}/N_{\pi}^{-})_{Ru}}{(N_{\pi}^{+}/N_{\pi}^{-})_{Zr}} \approx \frac{[1+(N_{\pi}^{+}-N_{\pi}^{-})/N_{\pi}]_{Ru}}{[1+(N_{\pi}^{+}-N_{\pi}^{-})/N_{\pi}]_{Zr}} = \frac{1+\Delta \mathrm{R_{Ru}}}{1+\Delta \mathrm{R_{Zr}}} \approx 1 + \Delta \mathrm{R_{Ru}} - \Delta \mathrm{R_{Zr}}$
- $\Delta Q = \left[\left(N_{\pi}^{+} + N_{K}^{+} + N_{p}\right) - \left(N_{\pi}^{-} + N_{K}^{-} + N_{\bar{p}}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{Ru}} - []_{\mathrm{Zr}}$
- Focus on pion terms,
- $(N_{\pi}^{+} - N_{\pi}^{-})_{Ru} - (N_{\pi}^{+} - N_{\pi}^{-})_{Zr} = N_{\pi,Ru} \times \Delta \mathrm{R_{Ru}} - \mathrm{N}_{\pi,Zr} \times \Delta \mathrm{R_{Zr}}$
- $\approx N_{\pi}(\Delta \mathrm{R_{Ru}} - \Delta \mathrm{R_{Zr}}) = \mathrm{N}_{\pi} \times (R2_{\pi} - 1$
- Where $N_{\pi} = 0.5 \times (N_{\pi}^{+} + N_{\pi}^{-})$
- Therefore, $\Delta Q = \mathrm{N}_{\pi}(\mathrm{R2}_{\pi} - 1) + N_{K}(R2_{K} - 1) + N_{p}(R2_{p} - 1)$



Separate charge and baryon transports

Charge number transport



Baryon number transport



Tommy Tsang (KSU) for STAR, APS GHP 2023

UrQMD matches data on charge stopping better in peripheral; better on baryon stopping in central
overpredicts charge stopping in central; underpredicts baryon stopping in peripheral

Ratio of baryon over charge transports

Tommy Tsang (KSU) for STAR, APS GHP 2023

- **Experimental data**:
  More baryon transported to C.O.M than charge by about a factor of 2

- **Model simulations**:
  Less baryon transported to C.O.M frame than charge

- Pure geometry:
  with neutron skin predicts the right centrality dependence (Trento)



Quantifying baryon number transport

- RHIC Beam Energy Scan (BES-I) span large range of rapidity shift
- Exponential with slope of α_B =0.61±0.03
- Consistent with the baryon junction transport by gluons: α_B~=0.5+Δ Δ~=0.1

STAR, Phys. Rev. C **79** (2009) 34909; **96** (2017) 44904

D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685



Quantifying baryon number transport

- Striking scaling for all centralities and collision beam energies from central A+A to p+p
- Expect slope to change if stopping is through multiple scattering of quarks
- New heavy-ion simulation require baryon junction to match data

C. Shen and B. Schenke, Phys. Rev. C,105 (2022), 064905.



Rapidity asymmetry in photon-nucleus collision

Nicole Lewis (BNL) for STAR, DIS2023

- Selection of photon+Au collisions from Au+Au at 54.4GeV ultra-peripheral collisions
- Antiproton shows flat rapidity distribution
- Proton shows the characteristic asymmetry increase toward nucleus side
- Slope is closer to the slope of the beam energy dependence
- PYTHIA shows much larger slope



Three approaches toward tracking the origin of the baryon number

1. **STAR Method:**
   Charge (Q) stopping vs baryon (B) stopping:
   if valence quarks carry Q and B, Q=B at middle rapidity
   B/Q=2



2. **Kharzeev-STAR Method:**
   If gluon topology (J) carries B as one unit, it should show scaling according to Regge theory
   α_B=0.61

$$p = \sim e^{-\alpha_B y}$$

α_B ~=0.5



3. **Artru Method:**
   In γ+Au collision, rapidity asymmetry can reveal the origin
   α_B(A+A)=0.61< α_B(γ+A)=1.1< α_B(PYTHIA)



What do we know about pp collisions?

ALICE, PRL105 (2010)



Rongrong Ma (BNL)



HERWIG and PYTHIA: α_B ~=1.6-2.5

Negative (pbar>p) at LHC energy

read curve consistent with α_B =0.6

What do we know about e+p collisions?

Artru & Mekhfi, NPA 1991

"unpolarized and polarized electroproduction of fast baryons

- RHIC nuclear energy is at a sweet spot
  - U+U, Au+Au, O+O, Cu+Au, Cu+Cu, He3+Au, d+Au,p+Au, p+p
- LHC and HERA energy are too high with small baryon excess (<1%)
- Isobar collisions at EIC with low Q^2 and low-p_t PID to study the charge and baryon transports



Figure 1. Main mechanisms of electroproduction of fast baryons.

The first mechanism dominates in the region (see Fig. 2)

$$Y < Y_C \simeq \beta^{-1} \ln(\beta/b) \qquad (3)$$

(Y_C corresponds to Δ_1 in Ref.1). The second one dominates for $Y > Y_C$. In this talk I will show that both mechanisms can reveal interesting features of hadronic physics (I shall consider only events with low transverse momenta).



Figure 2. Rapidity spectrum: (a) of the migration mechanism, (b) of the pair creation mechanism.

What do we know about e+p collisions

- RHIC nuclear energy is at a sweet spot
  - U+U, Au+Au, O+O, Cu+Au, Cu+Cu, He3+Au, d+Au,p+Au, p+p
- LHC and HERA energy are too high with small baryon excess (<1%)
- Isobar collisions at EIC with low Q^2 and low-p_t PID to study the charge and baryon transports

D. Brandenburg, N. Lewis, P. Tribedy, Z. Xu, arXiv:2205.05685; Henry Klest (SBU) HERA data



Measurement of the Baryon-Antibaryon Asymmetry in Photoproduction at HERA
C. Adloff et al. (H1 Collaboration), ICHEP 1998

Baryon stopping at HERA: Evidence for gluonic mechanism
Boris Kopeliovich (Heidelberg, Max Planck Inst. and Dubna, JINR), Bogdan Povh (Heidelberg, Max Planck Inst.)
Published in: *Phys.Lett.B* 446 (1999) 321-325 • e-Print: hep-ph/9810530 [hep-ph]

Bjorken Scaling for quarks

- Scaling at certain x range, quarks behave as point-like particles
- Evolution with x due to gluons
- At DIS (high Q^2>1 GeV^2)

PDG



Figure 18.10: The proton structure function F_2^p measured in electromagnetic scattering of electrons and positrons on protons, and for electrons/positrons (SLAC,HERMES,JLAB) and muons (BCDMS, E665, NMC) on a fixed target. Statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature are shown. The H1+ZEUS

Tracking the origin of baryon number at RHIC

- RHIC nuclear energy is at a sweet spot
    - U+U, Au+Au, O+O, Cu+Au, Cu+Cu, He3+Au, d+Au,p+Au, p+p
- LHC and HERA energy are too high with small baryon excess (<1%)
- Isobar collisions with a beam-energy scan at RHIC to study the charge and baryon transports

Nicole Lewis (BNL) for STAR, DIS2023



Tracking the origin of baryon number at EIC

- RHIC nuclear energy is at a sweet spot
  - U+U, Au+Au, O+O, Cu+Au, Cu+Cu, He3+Au, d+Au,p+Au, p+p
- LHC and HERA energy are too high with small baryon excess (<1%)
- Isobar collisions at EIC with low Q^2 and low-p_t PID to study the charge and baryon transports
- EIC: extend to large range of rapidity shift from 2.5 to 6
  at the same time, measure the charge (model, RHIC) transport as well as baryon transport (BeAGLE B/Q=0.2, Niseem)

Nicole Lewis (BNL) for STAR, DIS2023



To-do list of physics cases and simulations at EIC

- PYTHIA simulation in ep: the net-baryon yield much steeper slope than data
- Study Q^2 dependence for Q^2<1 GeV^2
- Nuclear target energy dependence
- Detector simulation of Q^2 acceptance and Particle Identification

arXiv:2205.05685



First EIC simulation of isobar e+A collisions

e+Ru 10 x 40 GeV
e+Zr 10 x 40 GeV

$$R(Isobar) = \left(\frac{B_{Ru}}{\Delta Q}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta Z}{A}\right)$$

$R(Isobar) > 1$; gluons carry the flow of baryon number

$R(Isobar) < 1$; quarks carry the flow of baryon number

BeAGLE shows value consistent with the quark's scenario.



At high energy, more charges are stopped than baryons in models without baryon junction,

If EIC performs this measurement in DAY 1, will be exciting to see what the outcome.

Niseem Magdy (SBU),
Prithwish Tribedy (BNL)

4

Conclusions and Perspectives at EIC

- Baryon number is a strictly conserved quantum number,
  keeps the Universe as is
- We do not know what its carrier is;
  It has not been experimentally verified one way or the other;
- EIC can measure the baryon junction distribution function
- Discovery of the simplest QCD topology
- Explore other signatures at EIC

- Baryon junction (if exists) is a non-perturbative object
- Need small Q^2 and low-momentum hadron particle identification

$$Q^2 \leq 1\ GeV^2$$

$$\pi/\text{k/p PID}\ p_t \geq \sim 100\ MeV$$

- Isobar collisions to measure charge transport (quark transports),
  Zr/Ru; Li7/Be7
- Detector configuration and simulation to demonstrate capabilities (both ePIC and 2nd Detector)

the simplest QCD topology

B=1



Baryon stopping in UrQMD

M. Bleicher, *et al.*, JPG 25 (1999); hep-ph/9909407

$$z^{\pm} = t \pm z \quad \text{and} \quad p^{\pm} = E \pm p \quad . \tag{33}$$

The light cone momentum $p^{\pm}_{\text{hadron}}$ given to the newly produced hadron is:

$$p^{\pm}_{\text{hadron}} = z^{\pm}_{\text{fraction}}\, p^{\pm}_{\text{total}} \tag{34}$$

The fragmentation of a baryonic string reads:

$$p^{-}\underbrace{(qq\,q\bar{q}\,q)}_{\text{String}} = z^{-}_{\text{fraction}}p^{-}\underbrace{(qqq)}_{\text{Baryon}} + (p^{-} - z^{-}_{\text{fraction}}p^{-})\underbrace{\bar{q}q}_{\text{String}} \quad . \tag{35}$$

The main input is the fragmentation function which yields the probability distribution $p(z^{\pm}_{\text{fraction}}, m_t)$. This function regulates the fraction of energy and momentum given to the produced hadron in the stochastic fragmentation of the color string. For newly produced particles the Field-Feynman function [41]:

$$p(z^{\pm}_{\text{fraction}}) = \text{constant} \times (1 - z^{\pm}_{\text{fraction}})^2, \tag{36}$$

is used. $P(z)$ drops rapidly with increasing z (Fig. 29). Therefore, the longitudinal momenta of e.g. produced antibaryons (Fig. 30) and pions (Fig. 31) are small (they stick to central rapidities), in line with the experimental data. The rapidity spectra of these particles have a characteristic Gaussian-like shape, in contrast to the baryon spectra in pp, as it is clearly seen in Figure 30.

The proton is on average less stopped, since it is build up from the leading diquark in the string (leading particle effect). Fig. 32 compares the x_F distribution of protons and Λ's for the Feynman scaling variable $x_F = 2p_{\|}/\sqrt{s}$ measured in pp reactions at 205 GeV/c. The data on leading baryons can only be reproduced when a modified fragmentation function is used for the leading baryons (cf. Fig. 29, dashed curve). This leading baryon fragmentation function is of Gaussian form:

$$p(z^{\pm}_{\text{fraction}}) = \text{constant} \times \exp\left[-\frac{(z^{\pm}_{\text{fraction}} - b)^2}{2a^2}\right] \quad , \tag{37}$$

with parameters $a = 0.275$ and $b = 0.42$.

Baryon number transport



J) for STAR, APS GHP 2023

better on baryon stopping in central
baryon stopping in peripheral

❖ The BeAGLE model:

e+Pb 18x108.4 GeV



NISEEM

Baryon rapidity loss

The average close to beam rapidity does not reflect the “tail” at high rapidity



Figure 3: Rapidity losses from AGS, SPS and RHIC as a function of beam rapidity. The solid line is a fit to SPS and RHIC data, and the band is the statistical uncertainty of this fit. The dashed line is a linear fit to AGS and SPS data from [15].

BRAHMS 2009



Figure 5: Projectile net-baryon rapidity density $(1/N_{part}/2)dN^{projectile}_{B-\bar{B}}/dy'$ from SPS and RHIC after subtraction of the target net-baryon contribution (see Fig. 4).