### Nature of jets at the EIC

Felix Ringer

Ist International Workshop on a 2nd Detector for the EIC, Temple University







### 2nd detector for the EIC

- Reduced systematic uncertainties
- High luminosity, intermediate energies
- Far-forward detection capabilities









## EIC jet physics

- Versatile jet reconstruction algorithms & frame dependence
- Rich jet substructure
- Clean EIC environment
- Relevant for e.g. TMDs, GPDs & hadronization

- Observables
- Information content (AI/ML)







## Nature of jets at the EIC



F. Ringer

Transverse momentum



Hard scale  $p_T$ and/or  $Q^2$ 









**EIC** kinematics

e(k)

e'(k')

 $\boldsymbol{q}$ 

 $Q^2 = (k - k')^2$ 

## EIC jet physics





### Laboratory frame

### Measure electron/neutrino-jet imbalance $\vec{q_T} = \vec{p_T}^{e,\nu} + \vec{p_T}^{\text{jet}}$

### Jet momentum $\vec{p}_T^{\text{jet}}$

F. Ringer











• Electron-jet imbalance at the EIC

$$\vec{q}_T = \vec{p}_T^e + \vec{p}_T^{\text{jet}}$$

- Sensitivity to TMD PDFs but no TMD FF
- Different energy ranges need to be explored
- TMD factorization

$$egin{aligned} F_{UU} &= \sigma_0 \, H_q(Q,\mu) \sum_q e_q^2 \, J_q(p_T^{ ext{jet}}R,\mu) \ & imes \int rac{\mathrm{d}^2 ec{b}_T}{(2\pi)^2} \, e^{iec{q}_T\cdotec{b}_T} \, f_q^{ ext{TMD}}(x,ec{b}_T,\mu) \, S_q(ec{b}_T,y_{ ext{jet}},R,\mu) \end{aligned}$$

### **Electron-jet correlations**



see also Boer, Vogelsang `05 Gutierrez-Reyes, Scimemi, Waalewijn, Zoppi `18, `19











• Electron-jet imbalance at the EIC

$$\vec{q}_T = \vec{p}_T^e + \vec{p}_T^{\text{jet}}$$

- Sensitivity to TMD PDFs but no TMD FF
- Different energy ranges need to be explored
- TMD factorization

$$egin{aligned} F_{UU} &= \sigma_0 \, H_q(Q,\mu) \sum_q e_q^2 \, J_q(p_T^{ ext{jet}}R,\mu) \ & imes \int rac{\mathrm{d}^2 ec{b}_T}{(2\pi)^2} \, e^{iec{q}_T\cdotec{b}_T} \, f_q^{ ext{TMD}}(x,ec{b}_T,\mu) \, S_q(ec{b}_T,y_{ ext{jet}},R,\mu) \end{aligned}$$

### **Electron-jet correlations**



 $L,\mu)$ 

Liu, FR, Vogelsang, Yuan `18, `20 Arratia, Kang, Prokudin, FR`20 HI, PRL 128 (2022) 13, 132002





• Neutrino-jet imbalance at the EIC

$$\vec{q}_T = \vec{p}_T^{\nu} + \vec{p}_T^{\text{jet}}$$

- Requires a sufficiently hermetic detector, here full azimuthal coverage and  $|\eta| < 4$ and high luminosity
- Flavor separation

Arratia, Kang, Paul, Prokudin, FR, Zhao 22



### Neutrino-jet correlations



Delphes







### GTMDs & Wigner functions



- Requires high luminosity & measurement of the scattered proton
- See also jets in photoproduction events Aschenauer, Lee, Page, FR `19

### Diffractive dijets

$$s(\phi)\sigma_1 + \cos(2\phi)\sigma_2 + \cdots$$



Hatta, Mueller, Ueda, Yuan `19 Hatta, Xiao, Yuan 21

EIC 2nd detector, Temple U

May 17, 2023

0

## Current & target jets in the Breit frame

- Spherically invariant jets  $(E_i, \theta_{ij})$  in the Breit frame
- Seemingly clean separation of current & target region

Requires large rapidity range



Arratia, Makris, Neill, FR, Sato `18 see also Yang-Ting's talk



- Various jet classifiers have been developed
  - Typically ML significantly outperformed traditional observables
  - Use full event-by-event information instead of low-dimensional projections (observables)









- Various jet classifiers have been developed
  - Example: Quark vs. gluon jet classification
  - Quantify using a ROC curve











 Relatively low particle multiplicities at the EIC

### • PYTHIA6

- No detector simulation
- $\square$  Partile  $(p_{Ti}, \eta_i, \phi_i, \text{PID}_i)$



### **Events & machine learning**

Lee, Mulligan, Ploskon, FR, Yuan 22

- Binary classification: *u* vs. *d*, *ud* vs. *s*, ...
- ML architecture: Particle Flow Networks



see Komiske, Metodiev, Thaler JHEP 01 (2019) 121 Permutation invariant Deep Sets







### **Example: strange jet identification**



Lee, Mulligan, Ploskon, FR, Yuan 22 *u*, *d* vs. *s* jets

15

## EIC jet physics with machine learning

• For example, the Sivers asymmetries can be small due to large flavor cancellations

Burkardt sum rule `04

$$\sum_{a=q,\bar{q},g} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x f_{1T}^{\perp(1)a}(x) = 0$$

Can we obtain better constraints with ML-based jet classification?

Fatemi EINN `19, Liu DNP `19 see also Kang et al., Yuan et al.







## Hadron structure & spin physics

- How can we apply these techniques to hadron structure & spin physics?
- Supervised machine learning
- 2. Train on data e.g.  $A_{UT} = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}}$ 
  - Reformulate regression task as classification problem
    - Upper limit on what can possibly be achieved
    - Identify new observables

Lee, Mulligan, Ploskon, FR, Yuan 22





May 17, 2023

17

- Can we make use of all this additional information?
- Several jet classification tasks are IRC safe we can find tractable observables in pQCD
- Recluster particles into IRC-safe subjets before training ML algorithms

Athanasakos, Larkoski, Mulligan, Ploskon, FR `23 Metodiev, Larkoski `19





### Matches IRC-unsafe ML algorithm







- Jets will be versatile tools at the EIC
- Can take advantage of the EIC's clean environment, high luminosity & forward PID capabilities
- TMD, GPDs, target fragmentation
- Al/ML can complement hadron structure & spin physics program
- ...and can inform detector design?



May 17, 2023

3

2

Jet η

# $10 < Q^2 < 1000$

√*s*=141 GeV

 $lumi=10 \text{ fb}^{-2}$ 

Jet R=0.4



et Energy [GeV

Г

150

100



 $10^{5}$ 

events 10<sup>4</sup> study 10<sup>4</sup> study

10<sup>2</sup>





