# Transversity PDFs and GPDs from lattice QCD

Martha Constantinou



**CFNS Workshop** 

TMDs: Towards a Synergy between Lattice QCD & Global Analysis

June 22, 2023



T

#### **Rapid Communications**

Transversity parton distribution functions from lattice QCD

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 99, 114504 (2019)

#### Systematic uncertainties in parton distribution functions from lattice QCD simulations at the physical point

Constantia Alexandrou,<sup>1,2</sup> Krzysztof Cichy,<sup>3</sup> Martha Constantinou,<sup>4</sup> Kyriakos Hadjiyiannakou,<sup>2</sup> Karl Jansen,<sup>5</sup> Aurora Scapellato,<sup>1,6</sup> and Fernanda Steffens<sup>7</sup>

#### Twist-2 PDFs & GPDs: "traditional calculations

'SICAL REVIEW D 105, 034501 (2022)

#### Transversity GPDs of the proton from lattice QCD

Constantia Alexandrou,<sup>1,2</sup> Krzysztof Cichy,<sup>3</sup> Martha Constantinou<sup>,4</sup> Kyriakos Hadjiyiannakou,<sup>1,2</sup> Karl Jansen,<sup>5</sup> Aurora Scapellato,<sup>4</sup> and Fernanda Steffens<sup>6</sup>









M. Constantinou, CFNS - TMDs June 2023

- ★ Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure
- **★** Global analyses: main source of information for distribution functions
- ★ Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data
- ★ ambiguities due to limited kinematic regions, limited measurements, etc



- ★ Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure
- **★** Global analyses: main source of information for distribution functions
- ★ Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data
- ★ ambiguities due to limited kinematic regions, limited measurements, etc



[Ethier & Nocera, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 70 (2020) 1, arXiv:2001.07722 ]

- ★ Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure
- **★** Global analyses: main source of information for distribution functions
- ★ Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data
- ★ ambiguities due to limited kinematic regions, limited measurements, etc





- ★ Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure
- **★** Global analyses: main source of information for distribution functions
- ★ Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data
- ★ ambiguities due to limited kinematic regions, limited measurements, etc





- ★ Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure
- **★** Global analyses: main source of information for distribution functions
- ★ Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data
- ★ ambiguities due to limited kinematic regions, limited measurements, etc



#### Calculation from first principle (lattice QCD) can help in the estimation of PDFs



# **Twist-classification of PDFs, GPDs, TMDs**

- **★** Twist: specifies the order in 1/Q at which the function enters factorization formula for a given observable
- ★ Twist-2: probabilistic densities a wealth of information exists
- ★ Twist-3: poorly known, but very important:
  - as sizeable as twist-2
  - contain information about quark-gluon correlations inside hadrons
  - appear in QCD factorization theorems for various observables (e.g.  $g_2$ )
  - certain twist-3 PDFs are related to the TMDs
  - physical interpretation (e.g. average force on partons inside hadron)



 $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + \frac{f_i^{(1)}}{O} + \frac{f_i^{(2)}}{O^2} \cdots$ 

# **Twist-classification of PDFs, GPDs, TMDs**

- **★** Twist: specifies the order in 1/Q at which the function enters factorization formula for a given observable
- ★ Twist-2: probabilistic densities a wealth of information exists
- ★ Twist-3: poorly known, but very important:
  - as sizeable as twist-2
  - contain information about quark-gluon correlations inside hadrons
  - appear in QCD factorization theorems for various observables (e.g.  $g_2$ )
  - certain twist-3 PDFs are related to the TMDs
  - physical interpretation (e.g. average force on partons inside hadron)

While twist-3  $f_i^{(1)}$  share some similarities with twist-2  $f_i^{(0)}$  in their extraction, there are several challenges both experimentally and theoretically

 $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + \frac{f_i^{(1)}}{O} + \frac{f_i^{(2)}}{O^2} \cdots$ 

# Through non-local matrix elements of fast-moving hadrons



[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002]

$$\tilde{q}_{\Gamma}^{\text{GPD}}(x,t,\xi,P_3,\mu) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{-ixP_3 z} \langle N(P_f) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{W}(z,0) \Psi(0) | N(P_i) \rangle_{\mu}$$

$$\Delta = P_f - P_i$$
$$t = \Delta^2 = -Q^2$$
$$\xi = \frac{Q_3}{2P_3}$$



[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002]





[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002]



[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002]



M. Constantinou, CFNS - TMDs June 2023

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D 98, 091503(R) (2018)

**Rapid Communications** 

#### Transversity parton distribution functions from lattice QCD

Constantia Alexandrou,<sup>1,2</sup> Krzysztof Cichy,<sup>3</sup> Martha Constantinou,<sup>4</sup> Karl Jansen,<sup>5</sup> Aurora Scapellato,<sup>1,6</sup> and Fernanda Steffens<sup>7</sup>

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D 99, 114504 (2019)

#### Systematic uncertainties in parton distribution functions from lattice QCD simulations at the physical point

Constantia Alexandrou,<sup>1,2</sup> Krzysztof Cichy,<sup>3</sup> Martha Constantinou,<sup>4</sup> Kyriakos Hadjiyiannakou,<sup>2</sup> Karl Jansen,<sup>5</sup> Aurora Scapellato,<sup>1,6</sup> and Fernanda Steffens<sup>7</sup>



Wittended Twisteor Has Collaboration

#### ★ Nf=2 twisted mass fermions with a clover term @ physical point

[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 95, 094515 (2017)]

$\beta = 2.10, c_{SW} = 1.57751, a =$	$= 0.0938(3)(2) \text{ fm}, r_0/a = 5.32(5)$
$48^3 \times 96, L \approx 4.5 \text{ fm}$	$a\mu = 0.0009$ $m_{\pi} = 0.1304(4) \text{ GeV}$ $m_{\pi}L = 2.98$ $m_{N} = 0.932(4) \text{ GeV}$



Whended Twister

#### ★ Nf=2 twisted mass fermions with a clover term @ physical point

[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 95, 094515 (2017)]

$\beta = 2.10, c_{SW} = 1.57751, a$	$= 0.0938(3)(2) \text{ fm}, r_0/a = 5.32(5)$
$48^3 \times 96, L \approx 4.5 \text{ fm}$	$a\mu = 0.0009$
·	$m_{\pi} = 0.1304(4) \text{ GeV}$
	$m_{\pi}L = 2.98$
	$m_N = 0.932(4) { m GeV}$

$P_3 = \frac{6\pi}{L}$			$P_3 = \frac{8\pi}{L}$				$P_3 = \frac{10\pi}{L} \sim 1.38 \text{ GeV}$							
	Ins.	$N_{ m conf}$	$N_{\mathrm{HP}}$	$N_{\rm meas}$	Ins.	$N_{ m conf}$	$N_{\mathrm{HP}}$	$N_{\rm LP}$	$N_{\rm meas}$	Ins.	$N_{ m conf}$	$N_{\rm HP}$	$N_{ m LP}$	$N_{\rm meas}$
	$\gamma^3$	100	16	9600	$\gamma^3$	425	1	16	38250	$\gamma^3$	811	1	16	72990
	$\gamma^{ m o}$	50	16	4800	$\gamma^0$	425	1	16	38250	$\gamma^0$	811	1	16	72990
	$\gamma^5\gamma^3$	65	16	6240	$\gamma^5\gamma^3$	425	1	16	38250	$\gamma^5\gamma^3$	811	1	16	72990
	$\sigma^{3j}$	50	16	9600	$\sigma^{3j}$	425	1	16	38250	$\sigma^{3j}$	811	1	16	72990

Mf=2 twisted mass fermions with a clover term @ physical point

[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 95, 094515 (2017)]



$\Gamma_3 = \frac{1}{L}$				ľ	$_{3} = \frac{1}{1}$	5			P	$_3 \equivL$	$\sim 1.$	50 Gev	
Ins.	$N_{ m conf}$	$N_{ m HP}$	$N_{\rm meas}$	Ins.	$N_{ m conf}$	$N_{\rm HP}$	$N_{\rm LP}$	$N_{\rm meas}$	Ins.	$N_{ m conf}$	$N_{\mathrm{HP}}$	$N_{\rm LP}$	$N_{ m meas}$
$\gamma^3$	100	16	9600	$\gamma^3$	425	1	16	38250	$\gamma^3$	811	1	16	72990
$\gamma^0$	50	16	4800	$\gamma^0$	425	1	16	38250	$\gamma^0$	811	1	16	72990
$\gamma^5\gamma^3$	65	16	6240	$\gamma^5\gamma^3$	425	1	16	38250	$\gamma^5 \gamma^3$	811	1	16	72990
$\sigma^{3j}$	50	16	9600	$\sigma^{3j}$	425	1	16	38250	$\sigma^{3j}$	811	1	16	72990



 $\star$ 



[Daniel Pitonyak, GHP 2023]



×



[Daniel Pitonyak, GHP 2023]





[Daniel Pitonyak, GHP 2023]

- ★ Field moves towards addressing systematic uncertainties
- ★ Disconnected contributions for flavor decomposition





[Daniel Pitonyak, GHP 2023]

- ★ Field moves towards addressing systematic uncertainties
- ★ Disconnected contributions for flavor decomposition







#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D 105, 034501 (2022)

#### **Transversity GPDs of the proton from lattice QCD**

Constantia Alexandrou,<sup>1,2</sup> Krzysztof Cichy,<sup>3</sup> Martha Constantinou<sup>®</sup>,<sup>4</sup> Kyriakos Hadjiyiannakou,<sup>1,2</sup> Karl Jansen,<sup>5</sup> Aurora Scapellato,<sup>4</sup> and Fernanda Steffens<sup>6</sup>

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### ★ Nf=2+1+1 twisted mass fermions with a clover term;

[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074515 (2021), arXiv:2104.13408]

Name	β	$N_{f}$	$L^3 \times T$	$a~[{ m fm}]$	$M_{\pi}$	$m_{\pi}L$	-01
cA211.32	1.726	u,d,s,c	$32^3 \times 64$	0.093	$260 { m MeV}$	4	

$P_3$ [GeV	] $\Delta \left[\frac{2\pi}{L}\right]$	$-t \; [{\rm GeV}^2]$	ξ	$N_{ m confs}$	$N_{\rm meas}$
0.83	(0,2,0)	0.69	0	519	4152
1.25	(0,2,0)	0.69	0	1315	42080
1.67	(0,2,0)	0.69	0	1753	112192
1.25	(0,2,2)	1.02	1/3	417	40032
1.25	(0,2,-2)	1.02	-1/3	417	40032

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### ★ Nf=2+1+1 twisted mass fermions with a clover term;

[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074515 (2021), arXiv:2104.13408]

Name	β	$N_{f}$	$L^3 \times T$	$a~[{ m fm}]$	$M_{\pi}$	$m_{\pi}L$	
cA211.32	1.726	u,d,s,c	$32^3 \times 64$	0.093	$260 { m MeV}$	4	

$P_3 \; [{ m GeV}]$	$\mathbf{\Delta}\left[rac{2\pi}{L} ight]$	$-t \; [{ m GeV}^2]$	ξ	$N_{ m confs}$	$N_{\rm meas}$
0.83	(0,2,0)	0.69	0	519	4152
1.25	(0,2,0)	0.69	0	1315	42080
1.67	(0,2,0)	0.69	0	1753	112192
1.25	(0,2,2)	1.02	1/3	417	40032
1.25	(0,2,-2)	1.02	-1/3	417	40032

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

[Meissner et al., JHEP 08 (2009) 056]

$$\begin{split} h_T^j(\Gamma_{\nu}, z, P_f, P_i) &= \langle \langle \sigma^{3j} \rangle \rangle F_{H_T}(z, \xi, t, P_3) + \frac{\imath}{2m} \langle \langle \gamma^3 \Delta_j - \gamma^j \Delta_3 \rangle \rangle F_{E_T}(z, \xi, t, P_3) \\ &+ \frac{P_3 \Delta_j - P_j \Delta_3}{m^2} \langle \langle \hat{1} \rangle \rangle F_{\widetilde{H}_T}(z, \xi, t, P_3) + \frac{1}{m} \langle \langle \gamma^3 P_j - \gamma^j P_3 \rangle \rangle F_{\widetilde{E}_T}(z, \xi, t, P_3) \end{split}$$

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

**★** ERBL: decrease of  $H_T$  as -t increases

**The DGLAP region shows less sensitivity in** -t

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

- **★** ERBL: decrease of  $H_T$  as -t increases
- **The Derivative of the sensitivity in** -t
- ★ Qualitatively  $E_T$ ,  $\widetilde{H}_T$  show approximate symmetry of quark & antiquark regions
- ★  $\widetilde{H}_T < 0$  as in scalar diquark model

[S. Bhattacharya et al, Phys. Rev. D 102, 054021 (2020)]

![](_page_31_Figure_7.jpeg)

M. Constantinou, CFNS - TMDs June 2023

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

M. Constantinou, CFNS - TMDs June 2023

 $\star$  [2 $H_T$  +  $E_T$ ](0) lowest Mellin moment:

transverse spin-flavor dipole moment in unpolarized target  $k_T$ 

[M. Burkardt, Phys. Rev. D 72, 094020 (2005)]

★  $[2\widetilde{H}_T + E_T](0)$  second Mellin moment: related to transverse-spin quark angular momentum in unpolarized proton

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

★  $[2H_T + E_T](0)$  lowest Mellin moment:

transverse spin-flavor dipole moment in unpolarized target  $k_T$ 

[M. Burkardt, Phys. Rev. D 72, 094020 (2005)]

★  $[2\tilde{H}_T + E_T](0)$  second Mellin moment: related to transverse-spin quark angular momentum in unpolarized proton

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

A distribution for  $|\xi| = 1/3$  tends to be systematically lower than the one at  $\xi = 0$ 

- ★ Qualitative understanding of GPDs and their relations
- ★ Qualitative understanding of ERBL and DGLAP regions

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### What can we currently check using lattice results?

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### What can we currently check using lattice results?

Adress system. effects  
through sum rules
$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, H_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, H_{Tq}(x,\xi,t,P_{3}) = A_{T10}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, H_{T}(x,\xi,t) = A_{T20}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, E_{T}(x,\xi,t) = B_{T20}(t),$$
[S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 102, 054021 (2020)]  

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \tilde{H}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \tilde{H}_{Tq}(x,\xi,t,P_{3}) = \tilde{A}_{T10}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, \tilde{H}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \tilde{A}_{T20}(t),$$
Sum rules not imposed

Sum rules not imposed in calculation

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \widetilde{E}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \widetilde{E}_{Tq}(x,\xi,t,P_{3}) = 0 \,. \qquad \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, \widetilde{E}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = 2\xi \widetilde{B}_{T21}(t) \,.$$

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### What can we currently check using lattice results?

Adress system. effects  
through sum rules
$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, H_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, H_{Tq}(x,\xi,t,P_{3}) = A_{T10}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, H_{T}(x,\xi,t) = A_{T20}(t), \\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, E_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, E_{Tq}(x,\xi,t,P_{3}) = B_{T10}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, E_{T}(x,\xi,t) = B_{T20}(t), \\
S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 102, 054021 (2020)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \widetilde{H}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \widetilde{H}_{Tq}(x,\xi,t,P_{3}) = \widetilde{A}_{T10}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \widetilde{H}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \widetilde{A}_{T20}(t), \\
Sum rules not imposed in calculation
$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \widetilde{H}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \widetilde{H}_{Tq}(x,\xi,t,P_{3}) = 0. \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \widetilde{H}_{T}(x,\xi,t) = 2\xi \widetilde{B}_{T21}(t).$$

$$\mathbf{Results}
\int_{-2}^{2} dx H_{Tq}(x,0,-0.69 \, \text{GeV}^{2},P_{3}) = \{0.65(4), 0.64(6), 0.81(10)\}, \quad \int_{-2}^{2} dx H_{Tq}(x,\frac{1}{3},-1.02 \, \text{GeV}^{2}) = 0.49(5), \\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, H_{T}(x,0,-0.69 \, \text{GeV}^{2}) = \{0.69(4), 0.67(6), 0.84(10)\}, \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, H_{T}(x,\frac{1}{3},-1.02 \, \text{GeV}^{2}) = 0.45(4), \\
\int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, H_{T}(x,0,-0.69 \, \text{GeV}^{2}) = \{0.20(2), 0.21(2), 0.24(3)\}, \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, H_{T}(x,\frac{1}{3},-1.02 \, \text{GeV}^{2}) = 0.15(2). \\
A_{T10}(-0.69 \, \text{GeV}^{2}) = \{0.65(4), 0.65(6), 0.82(10)\}, \qquad A_{T10}(-1.02 \, \text{GeV}^{2}) = 0.49(5)$$$$$$

- lowest moments the same between quasi-GPDs and GPDs
- Values of moments decrease as *t* increases

<u>'T</u>

- Higher moments suppressed compared to the lowest

#### **New parametrization of GPDs**

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D 106, 114512 (2022)

#### Generalized parton distributions from lattice QCD with asymmetric momentum transfer: Unpolarized quarks

Shohini Bhattacharya<sup>®</sup>,<sup>1,\*</sup> Krzysztof Cichy,<sup>2</sup> Martha Constantinou<sup>®</sup>,<sup>3,†</sup> Jack Dodson,<sup>3</sup> Xiang Gao,<sup>4</sup> Andreas Metz,<sup>3</sup> Swagato Mukherjee<sup>®</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Aurora Scapellato,<sup>3</sup> Fernanda Steffens,<sup>5</sup> and Yong Zhao<sup>4</sup>

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### ★ Nf=2+1+1 twisted mass fermions with a clover term;

#### [Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074515 (2021), arXiv:2104.13408]

Name	eta	$N_{f}$	$L^3 \times T$	$a~[{ m fm}]$	$M_{\pi}$	$m_{\pi}L$
cA211.32	1.726	u,d,s,c	$32^3 \times 64$	0.093	$260 { m MeV}$	4

frame	$P_3$ [GeV]	$\mathbf{\Delta}\left[rac{2\pi}{L} ight]$	$-t \; [\text{GeV}^2]$	ξ	$N_{\rm ME}$	$N_{ m confs}$	$N_{ m src}$	$N_{ m tot}$
N/A	$\pm 1.25$	(0,0,0)	0	0	2	731	16	23392
symm	$\pm 0.83$	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	67	8	4288
symm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	249	8	15936
symm	$\pm 1.67$	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	294	32	75264
symm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 2,\pm 2,0)$	1.39	0	16	224	8	28672
symm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 4,0,0), (0,\pm 4,0)$	2.76	0	8	329	32	84224
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 1,0,0), (0,\pm 1,0)$	0.17	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 1,\pm 1,0)$	0.33	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.64	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 1,\pm 2,0), (\pm 2,\pm 1,0)$	0.80	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 2,\pm 2,0)$	1.16	0	16	194	8	24832
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 3,0,0), (0,\pm 3,0)$	1.37	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 1, \pm 3, 0), \ (\pm 3, \pm 1, 0)$	1.50	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	$\pm 1.25$	$(\pm 4,0,0), (0,\pm 4,0)$	2.26	0	8	429	8	27456

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_6.jpeg)

Symmetric frame very expensive computationally

![](_page_40_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Theoretical setup**

 $F^{\mu}_{\lambda,\lambda'} = \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[ \frac{P^{\mu}}{M} A_1 + z^{\mu} M A_2 + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{M} A_3 + i\sigma^{\mu z} M A_4 + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu \Delta}}{M} A_5 + \frac{P^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_6 + \frac{z^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_7 + \frac{\Delta^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_8 \right] u(p,\lambda)$ 

#### Goals

- (A)  $A_i$  are related to the standard H, E GPDs  $\mathcal{H}_0^s(A_i^s; z) = A_1 + \frac{z(\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2)}{2P_3}A_6$
- (B) Extraction of standard GPDs using  $A_i$  obtained from any frame
- (C) quasi-GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) inspired by light-cone:

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Theoretical setup**

$$F_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{\mu} = \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[ \frac{P^{\mu}}{M} A_1 + z^{\mu} M A_2 + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{M} A_3 + i\sigma^{\mu z} M A_4 + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu \Delta}}{M} A_5 + \frac{P^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_6 + \frac{z^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_7 + \frac{\Delta^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_8 \right] u(p,\lambda)$$
Goals

- (A)  $A_i$  are related to the standard H, E GPDs  $\mathcal{H}_0^s(A_i^s; z) = A_1 + \frac{z(\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2)}{2P_3}A_6$
- (B) Extraction of standard GPDs using  $A_i$  obtained from any frame
- (C) quasi-GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) inspired by light-cone:

$$H(z \cdot P, z \cdot \Delta, t = \Delta^2, z^2) = A_1 + \frac{\Delta_{s/a} \cdot z}{P_{avg, s/a} \cdot z} A_3$$

$$E(z \cdot P, z \cdot \Delta, t = \Delta^2, z^2) = -A_1 - \frac{\Delta_{s/a} \cdot z}{P_{avg,s/a} \cdot z} A_3 + 2A_5 + 2P_{avg,s/a} \cdot zA_6 + 2\Delta_{s/a} \cdot zA_8$$

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Theoretical setup**

$$F_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{\mu} = \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[ \frac{P^{\mu}}{M} A_1 + z^{\mu} M A_2 + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{M} A_3 + i\sigma^{\mu z} M A_4 + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu \Delta}}{M} A_5 + \frac{P^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_6 + \frac{z^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_7 + \frac{\Delta^{\mu} i\sigma^{z\Delta}}{M} A_8 \right] u(p,\lambda)$$
Goals
$$z(\Delta^2 + \Delta^2)$$

- (A)  $A_i$  are related to the standard H, E GPDs  $\mathcal{H}_0^s(A_i^s; z) = A_1 + \frac{z(\Delta_1^2 + \Delta_2^2)}{2P_3}A_6$
- (B) Extraction of standard GPDs using  $A_i$  obtained from any frame
- (C) quasi-GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) inspired by light-cone:

$$H(z \cdot P, z \cdot \Delta, t = \Delta^2, z^2) = A_1 + \frac{\Delta_{s/a} \cdot z}{P_{avg,s/a} \cdot z} A_3$$

$$E(z \cdot P, z \cdot \Delta, t = \Delta^2, z^2) = -A_1 - \frac{\Delta_{s/a} \cdot z}{P_{avg,s/a} \cdot z} A_3 + 2A_5 + 2P_{avg,s/a} \cdot zA_6 + 2\Delta_{s/a} \cdot zA_8$$

(A) Proof-of-concept calculation ( $\xi = 0$ ):

- symmetric frame:  $\vec{p}_f^s = \vec{P} + \frac{\vec{Q}}{2}, \quad \vec{p}_i^s = \vec{P} \frac{\vec{Q}}{2} \quad -t^s = \vec{Q}^2 = 0.69 \, GeV^2$
- asymmetric frame:  $\vec{p}_f^a = \vec{P}$ ,  $\vec{p}_i^a = \vec{P} \vec{Q}$   $t^a = -\vec{Q}^2 + (E_f E_i)^2 = 0.65 \, GeV^2$

# Comparison of $A_i$ in two frames

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ★  $A_1, A_5$  dominant contributions
- **★** Full agreement in two frames for both Re and Im parts of  $A_1, A_5$
- ★  $A_3, A_4, A_8$  zero at  $\xi = 0$
- ★  $A_2, A_6, A_7$  suppressed (at least for this kinematic setup and  $\xi = 0$ )

# H, E light-cone GPDs

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

Anti-quark region susceptible to systematic uncertainties

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

# H, E light-cone GPDs

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

★ Anti-quark region susceptible to systematic uncertainties

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

M. Constantinou, CFNS - TMDs June 2023

# **Exploration of twist-3 PDFs & GPDs**

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 102, 111501(R) (2020)

**Rapid Communications** 

**Editors' Suggestion** 

Insights on proton structure from lattice QCD: The twist-3 parton distribution function  $g_T(x)$ 

Shohini Bhattacharya<sup>®</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Krzysztof Cichy,<sup>2</sup> Martha Constantinou<sup>®</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Andreas Metz,<sup>1</sup> Aurora Scapellato,<sup>2</sup> and Fernanda Steffens<sup>3</sup>

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 104, 114510 (2021)

#### Parton distribution functions beyond leading twist from lattice QCD: The $h_L(x)$ case

Shohini Bhattacharya<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Krzysztof Cichy<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>2</sup> Martha Constantinou<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Andreas Metz,<sup>1</sup> Aurora Scapellato<sup>(D)</sup>,<sup>1</sup> and Fernanda Steffens<sup>3</sup>

#### arXiv:2306.05533v1 [hep-lat] 8 Jun 2023 Chiral-even axial twist-3 GPDs of the proton from lattice QCD

Shohini Bhattacharya<sup>1,2</sup>, Krzysztof Cichy<sup>3</sup>, Martha Constantinou<sup>1</sup>, Jack Dodson<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Metz<sup>1</sup>, Aurora Scapellato<sup>1</sup>, Fernanda Steffens<sup>4</sup>

# $h_L$ twist-3 PDF

 $\star$   $h_L$  decouples from usual DIS (chiral odd) - not trivial to extract

**★** most elusive of the three twist-3 PDFs ( e(x),  $g_T(x)$ ,  $h_L(x)$  )

#### $\star$ *h*<sub>L</sub> may be accessed via:

- double-polarized Drell-Yan process

[R. Jaffe, PRL 67 (1991) 552-555; Y. Koike et al., PLB 668 (2008) 286, arXiv:0805.2289]

- di-hadron single spin asymmetries (CLAS)

[Gliske et al., PRD 90 (2014) 11, 114027, arXiv:1408.5721; A. Vossen, CIPANP 2018, arXiv: 1810.02435]

- single-inclusive particle production in proton-proton collisions [Y. Koike et al., PLB 759 (2016) 75, arXiv:1603.07908]

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

# $h_L$ twist-3 PDF

 $\star$   $h_L$  decouples from usual DIS (chiral odd) - not trivial to extract

**★** most elusive of the three twist-3 PDFs ( e(x),  $g_T(x)$ ,  $h_L(x)$  )

#### $\star$ *h*<sub>L</sub> may be accessed via:

- double-polarized Drell-Yan process

[R. Jaffe, PRL 67 (1991) 552-555; Y. Koike et al., PLB 668 (2008) 286, arXiv:0805.2289]

#### - di-hadron single spin asymmetries (CLAS)

[Gliske et al., PRD 90 (2014) 11, 114027, arXiv:1408.5721; A. Vossen, CIPANP 2018, arXiv: 1810.02435]

- single-inclusive particle production in proton-proton collisions [Y. Koike et al., PLB 759 (2016) 75, arXiv:1603.07908]

#### ★ Parametrization

[Meissner et al., JHEP 08 (2009) 056]

$$F^{[\sigma^{+-}\gamma_5]} = \bar{u}(p') \left(\gamma^+\gamma_5 \,\widetilde{H}'_2 + \frac{P^+\gamma_5}{M} \,\widetilde{E}'_2\right) \, u(p)$$

![](_page_49_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### First lattice calculation of x-dependent GPDs

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

★ Twist-3  $h_L$  as sizable as twist-2  $h_1$  $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + \frac{f_i^{(1)}}{Q} + \frac{f_i^{(2)}}{Q^2} \cdots$ 

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### First lattice calculation of x-dependent GPDs

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### First lattice calculation of x-dependent GPDs

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Role of up and down quarks:

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

M. Constantinou, CFNS - TMDs June 2023

 $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + \frac{f_i^{(1)}}{O} + \frac{f_i^{(2)}}{O^2} \cdots$ 

0.0000

15

-0.0025

**Disconnected** 

contributions

12

Q

z/a

6

3

Burkhardt-Cottingham (quasi-h<sub>L</sub>)

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \,\tilde{h}_L(x, P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \,\tilde{h}_1(x, P_3) = g_T$$

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

Burkhardt-Cottingham (quasi-h<sub>L</sub>)

$$\begin{split} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \tilde{h}_L(x, P_3) &= \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, \tilde{h}_1(x, P_3) = g_T \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \int dx \, \tilde{h}_L(x, 1.67 \, \text{GeV}) = 1.03(16) \,, \\ & \\ & \\ \int dx \, \tilde{h}_L(x, 1.67 \, \text{GeV}) = 0.94(10) \end{split}$$

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

Burkhardt-Cottingham (quasi-h<sub>L</sub>)

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \,\tilde{h}_L(x, P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \,\tilde{h}_1(x, P_3) = g_T$$

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Wandzura-Wilczek approximation

$$h_L(x) = h_L^{WW}(x) + h_L^{twist-3}(x) = 2x \int_x^1 dy \, \frac{h_1(y)}{y^2} + h_L^{twist-3}(x)$$

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

·

#### Burkhardt-Cottingham (quasi-h<sub>L</sub>)

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \,\tilde{h}_L(x, P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} dx \,\tilde{h}_1(x, P_3) = g_T$$

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

(16), 
$$\int dx \,\tilde{h}_1(x, 1.67 \,\text{GeV}) = 0.94(10)$$

#### Wandzura-Wilczek approximation

$$h_L(x) = h_L^{WW}(x) + h_L^{twist-3}(x) = 2x \int_x^1 dy \, \frac{h_1(y)}{y^2} + h_L^{twist-3}(x)$$

![](_page_56_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_8.jpeg)

J

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

M. Constantinou, CFNS - TMDs June 2023

#### **Extension to twist-3 tensor GPDs**

#### arXiv:2306.05533v1 [hep-lat] 8 Jun 2023 Chiral-even axial twist-3 GPDs of the proton from lattice QCD

Shohini Bhattacharya<sup>1,2</sup>, Krzysztof Cichy<sup>3</sup>, Martha Constantinou<sup>1</sup>, Jack Dodson<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Metz<sup>1</sup>, Aurora Scapellato<sup>1</sup>, Fernanda Steffens<sup>4</sup>

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Extension to twist-3 tensor GPDs**

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography

**★** Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of t and  $\xi$  dependence

How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography

**★** Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of t and  $\xi$  dependence

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

- 1. Theoretical studies of high-momentum transfer processes using perturbative QCD methods and study of GPDs properties
- 2. Lattice QCD calculations of GPDs and related structures
- 3. Global analysis of GPDs based on experimental data using modern data analysis techniques for inference and uncertainty quantification

![](_page_62_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Synergies:** constraints & predictive power of lattice QCD

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

[JAM & ETMC, PRD 103 (2021) 016003]

#### transversity PDF

![](_page_63_Figure_4.jpeg)

[JAM, PRD 106 (2022) 3, 034014]

![](_page_63_Figure_6.jpeg)

[JAM/HadStruc, PRD105 (2022) 114051]

#### proton & neutron radius

![](_page_63_Figure_9.jpeg)

[Atac et al., Nature Comm. 12, 1759 (2021)]

#### Summary

- ★ Lattice QCD data on GPDs will play an important role in the pre-EIC era and can complement experimental efforts of JLab@12GeV
- New proposal for Lorentz invariant decomposition has great advantages:
   significant reduction of computational cost
  - access to a broad range of t and  $\xi$
- ★ Future calculations have the potential to transform the field of GPDs
- ★ Calculation of twist-3 distribution functions is promising
- ★ Synergy with phenomenology is an exciting prospect!

![](_page_64_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Summary

- ★ Lattice QCD data on GPDs will play an important role in the pre-EIC era and can complement experimental efforts of JLab@12GeV
- New proposal for Lorentz invariant decomposition has great advantages:
   significant reduction of computational cost
  - access to a broad range of  $\,t$  and  $\xi\,$
- ★ Future calculations have the potential to transform the field of GPDs
- $\star$  Calculation of twist-3 distribution functions is promising
- ★ Synergy with phenomenology is an exciting prospect!

![](_page_65_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_9.jpeg)

DOE Early Career Award (NP) Grant No. DE-SC0020405

![](_page_65_Picture_11.jpeg)