Collins-Soper kernel from lattice QCD at ~physical pion mass Artur Avkhadiev¹ in collaboration with

Phiala Shanahan¹, Michael Wagman², and Yong Zhao³









TMDs: Towards a Synergy between Lattice QCD and Global Analyses Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science, Stony Brook University June 21–23, 2023

TMD Physics and the Collins-Soper kernel





Fig. from TMD Handbook (modified).

- Rapidity anomalous dimension of TMDs
- Universal function sensitive to QCD vacuum structure A. A. Vladimirov, PRL 125, (2020), 2003.02288
- Non-perturbative effects significant for $b_T\gtrsim 0.2\,{
 m fm}\,(pprox\,1\,{
 m GeV}^{-1})$

Goal: LQCD for direct comparison or input to global analyses

- Consistent for $b_T \lesssim 0.2 \, {
 m fm} \, (pprox 1 \, {
 m GeV}^{-1})$
- Non-perturbative modeling significant for $b_T\gtrsim 0.2\,{
 m fm}$, to be improved with EIC data.
- LQCD goal: sufficient precision for comparison or input to future global analyses.



BLNY: F. Landry et. al, PRD 67 (2003), [hep-ph/0212159] SV19: I. Scimemi and A. Vladimirov, JHEP 06, 137 [1912.06532] b_T [fm] Pavia19: A. Bacchetta et. al, JHEP 07, 117, [1912.07550] MAP22: A. Bacchetta et. al, JHEP 10, 127, [2206.07598] ART23: V. Moos et. al, [2305.07473]

Status of our group's LQCD calculations of the CS kernel

LQCD calculations evolving from proof of concept toward improved systematic uncertainties

- $ullet M_{\pi}pprox 540\,{
 m MeV},\, 0.12\,{
 m fm} \le b_T \le 0.48\,{
 m fm}$
- Dominated by Fourier Transform systematics
- NLO matching

- $ullet M_\pipprox 150\,{
 m MeV},\, 0.12\,{
 m fm}\leq b_T\leq 0.86\,{
 m fm}$
- Improved Fourier Transform systematics
- NNLL matching



Improvements in CS kernel estimate from LQCD



X. Ji et. al, PRD91 (2015); Ebert et. al, PRD99 (2019), JHEP09 (2019) 037;

Improved systematics in quasi-TMDs

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => reduced truncation effects in the FT.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => reduced truncation effects in the FT.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => reduced truncation effects in the FT.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => reduced truncation effects in the FT.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => <u>reduced truncation effects in the FT</u>.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T, b^z, P^z, \ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T, b^z, y, \ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => <u>reduced truncation effects in the FT</u>.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => <u>reduced truncation effects in the FT</u>.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T, b^z, P^z, \ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T, b^z, y, \ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => reduced truncation effects in the FT.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





• Defined via staple-shaped operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) = ar{d}igg(y+rac{b}{2}igg)rac{\Gamma}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\Box}igg(y+rac{b}{2},y-rac{b}{2},\elligg)uigg(y-rac{b}{2}igg)$$

in hadron-to-vacuum matrix elements

$$ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \propto \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}^{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,y,\ell) \, | h(P^z)
angle$$

extracted for each b_T, b^z, P^z, ℓ from two-point correlators.



- Formal and code improvements => WFs computed at larger range of bz(Pz) => <u>reduced truncation effects in the FT</u>.
- Power divergences linear in the length o the Wilson line subtracted in WF ratios

$$W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)=rac{ ilde{\phi}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell)}{ ilde{\phi}_{\gamma^4\gamma^5}(b_T,0,0,\ell)}$$





Fig. from Mike Wagman's Talk at USQCD 2022 Meeting

Mixing effects quantified with RIxMOM

• Calculation of mixing effects in RIxMOM independent of staple geometry.

$$W^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}_{\Gamma}(b_T,\mu,b^z,P^z,\ell) = \sum_{\Gamma'} Z^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(\mu) \, W^{(0)}_{\Gamma}(b_T,b^z,P^z,\ell) \, .$$

• Full 16x16 mixing matrix computed

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{RI/xMOM}}_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(p_{\mathrm{R}},\xi_{\mathrm{R}},a) \ &\equiv rac{\mathrm{Abs}[Z^{\mathrm{RI/xMOM}}_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(p_{\mathrm{R}},\xi_{\mathrm{R}},a)]}{rac{1}{16}\sum_{\Gamma}\mathrm{Abs}[Z^{\mathrm{RI/xMOM}}_{\Gamma\Gamma}(p_{\mathrm{R}},\xi_{\mathrm{R}},a)]} \end{aligned}$$

• Dominant mixings consistent with lattice perturbation theory at 1-loop.*

X. Ji, et. al, PRL 120 (2018), [1706.08962] J. Green et. al, PRL 121 (2018), [1707.07152] J. Green et. al, PRD 101 (2020), [2002.09408] Artur Avkhadiev, MIT *M. Constantinou et al., PRD 99 (2019), [1901.03862] Y. Ji et. al., PRD 104 (2021), [2104.13345] C. Alexandrou et al., [2305.11824]







- Shown for bT = 0.48 fm, Pz = 1.29 GeV.
- Consistent between different staple lengths.
- Decay to zero within computed bz ranges.



without mixing effects

with mixing effects







-10

-15

-5

10

15

5

 $b^z P^z$



Statistical noise makes computation challenging for large P^{z} , ℓ , and b_{T}



Artur Avkhadiev, MIT



bz range sufficient to use a Discrete Fourier Transform

$$ar{W}_{\Gamma}^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}(b_T,\mu,x,P^z) = rac{P^z}{2\pi} N_{\Gamma}(P) \sum_{|b_z| \leq b_z^{ ext{max}}} e^{i(x-rac{1}{2})P^z b^z} ar{W}_{\Gamma}^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}(b_T,\mu,b^z,P^z)$$

The DFT is stable to decreasing the range in b_T^{max} :



$$\begin{split} \gamma_q(\mu, b_{\mathrm{T}}) = & \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1}{\ln(P_1^z/P_2^z)} \ln \left[\underbrace{\int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_1^z} P_1^z}_{\int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_2^z} P_2^z} \underbrace{\sum_{\Gamma'} Z_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(\mu, a) \lim_{\ell \to \infty} W_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Gamma'}(b^z, b_{\mathrm{T}}, \ell, P_1^z, a)}_{\left(\int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_2^z} P_2^z \sum_{\Gamma'} Z_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(\mu, a) \lim_{\ell \to \infty} W_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Gamma'}(b^z, b_{\mathrm{T}}, \ell, P_2^z, a)} \right] \\ + \delta \gamma_q(\mu, b_{\mathrm{T}}, P_1^z, P_2^z) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(x P^z b_{\mathrm{T}})^2}, \frac{M^2}{(x P^z)^2}, \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2}{(x P^z)^2}\right) \end{split}$$

See convergence to the physical range $x \in [0,1]$ with increasing $P^z = rac{2\pi}{L}n^z$



Non-zero imaginary part affects CS kernel estimates.

M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), PRD 106, 034509, [2204.00200] M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2302.09961] M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2306.06488]

$$\begin{split} \gamma_q(\mu, b_{\mathrm{T}}) = & \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1}{\ln(P_1^z/P_2^z)} \ln \left[\underbrace{\int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_1^z} P_1^z}_{\int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_2^z} P_2^z} \underbrace{\sum_{\Gamma'} Z_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(\mu, a) \lim_{\ell \to \infty} W_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Gamma'}(b^z, b_{\mathrm{T}}, \ell, P_1^z, a)}_{\left(\int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_2^z} P_2^z\right)} \right] \\ + \delta\gamma_q(\mu, b_{\mathrm{T}}, P_1^z, P_2^z) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(xP^z b_{\mathrm{T}})^2}, \frac{M^2}{(xP^z)^2}, \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2}{(xP^z)^2}\right) \end{split}$$

See convergence to the physical range $x \in [0,1]$ with increasing $P^z = rac{2\pi}{L}n^z$



Non-zero imaginary part affects CS kernel estimates.

M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), PRD 106, 034509, [2204.00200] M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2302.09961] M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2306.06488]

$$\begin{split} \gamma_q(\mu, b_{\mathrm{T}}) = & \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{1}{\ln(P_1^z/P_2^z)} \ln \begin{bmatrix} \int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_1^z} P_1^z \sum_{\Gamma'} Z_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(\mu, a) \lim_{\ell \to \infty} W_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Gamma'}(b^z, b_{\mathrm{T}}, \ell, P_1^z, a) \\ \int \mathrm{d}b^z e^{ib^z x P_2^z} P_2^z \sum_{\Gamma'} Z_{\Gamma\Gamma'}(\mu, a) \lim_{\ell \to \infty} W_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Gamma'}(b^z, b_{\mathrm{T}}, \ell, P_2^z, a) \\ + \delta \gamma_q(\mu, b_{\mathrm{T}}, P_1^z, P_2^z) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{(xP^z b_{\mathrm{T}})^2}, \frac{M^2}{(xP^z)^2}, \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2}{(xP^z)^2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

See convergence to the physical range $x \in [0,1]$ with increasing $P^z = rac{2\pi}{L}n^z$



Non-zero imaginary part affects CS kernel estimates.

M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), PRD 106, 034509, [2204.00200] M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2302.09961] M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2306.06488]

CS kernel estimates

$\widetilde{\gamma}_{\Gamma}^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}(b_T,x,P_1^z,P_2^z,\mu)$	<i>u</i>)
$=$ $\frac{1}{\ln n}$	$\left[rac{W_{\Gamma}^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}(b_T,x,P_1^z,\ell)}{} ight]$
$\ln(P_1^z/P_2^z)^{ ext{ m}}$	$\left\lfloor W^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}_{\Gamma}(b_T,x,P^z_2,\ell) ight floor$
$+\delta\gamma^{\overline{ ext{MS}}}_q(x,P_1^z$	$(P_2^z,\mu)^{ m X. Ji et. al., Phys. Lett. B 811 [1911.0]} X. Ji and Y. Liu, PRD 105, [2106.0531] ZF. Deng et. al, JHEP 09, [2207.072]$

- Cannot disentangle power corrections and O(a) effects at fixed lattice spacing.
- \Rightarrow average in $x \in [0.4, 0.6]$ separately for each momentum pair, bT, Dirac structure, and matching correction.
- Imaginary part explained by slower perturbative convergence and larger sensitivity to power corrections (next slides).



 $b_T = 0.48 \text{ fm}$

0.6

0.4

NNL

0.8

-1

0.2

Better understanding of matching and <u>power corrections</u>

Comparison of matching corrections

- New results at NNLO and NNLL.
- $b_T\gtrsim 0.36\,{
 m fm}$: consistent between matching corrections
- $b_T \lesssim 0.36$ fm: deviations related to significant power corrections
- In the final **uNNLL** determination, combine matching corrections from **NNLL** and **uNLO**,

Where **uNLO** = fixed-order matching with bT-dependent terms vanishing $P^z b_T \gg 1$





NLO, NNLO, and resummations

The correction is given by coefficients

$$\delta\gamma_q(x,P_1^z,P_2^z,\mu)\equiv rac{1}{\ln(P_1^z/P_2^z)} egin{pmatrix} \ln rac{C_\phi(xP_2^z,\mu)}{C_\phi(xP_1^z,\mu)}+(x\leftrightarrowar x) \end{pmatrix}$$

 $C_{\phi}(p^z,\mu)$ appear in the TMD WF matching formula and are computed perturbatively as

$$C_{\phi}(p^{z},\mu) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{} \left(rac{lpha_{s}(\mu)}{4\pi}
ight)^{\!\!\!n} C_{\phi}^{(n)}(p^{z},\mu) \, ,$$

at LO, NLO and recently at NNLO, and resummed as

O. del Río and A. Vladimirov, [2304.14440] X. Ji et. al, [2305.04416]

Resummation kernel

$$egin{aligned} C_{\phi}(p^{z}\!,\mu) &= C_{\phi}(p^{z},2p^{z}) &
otin \ imes \exp[K_{\phi}(p^{z},2p^{z})] \end{aligned}$$



No convergence in the imaginary part

26

NLL and NNLL

Resummation kernel is $K_{\phi}(2p^z,\mu)=2K_{\Gamma}(2p^z,\mu)-K_{\gamma_{\mu}}(2p^z,\mu)-i\pi\eta(2p^z,\mu)$

$$egin{aligned} K_{\gamma_{\mu}}(\mu_{0},\mu) &= \int_{lpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}^{lpha_{s}(\mu)} rac{\mathrm{d}lpha_{s}}{eta(lpha_{s})} \gamma_{\mu}\left(lpha_{s}
ight), \ K_{\Gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu) &= \int_{lpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}^{lpha_{s}(\mu)} rac{\mathrm{d}lpha_{s}}{eta(lpha_{s})} \Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}(lpha_{s}) \int_{lpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}^{lpha_{s}} rac{\mathrm{d}lpha'_{s}}{eta(lpha'_{s})}, \ \eta_{\Gamma}(\mu_{0},\mu) &= \int_{lpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}^{lpha_{s}(\mu)} rac{\mathrm{d}lpha_{s}}{eta(lpha_{s})} \Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}(lpha_{s}) \end{aligned}$$

where
$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s(\mu)) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma_{\mu}(p^z,\mu)}{\mathrm{d}\ln p^z} \text{ and } \gamma_{\mu}(p^z,\mu) \equiv \frac{d\ln C_{\phi}(p^z,\mu)}{d\ln \mu}$$

are computed perturbatively at following loop orders for each resummation accuracy:

	K_{Γ}	K_{γ_C}	$ K_{\gamma_{\mu}} $	$ \eta $	C_{ϕ}
NLL	2	1	1	1	0
NNLL	3	2	2	2	1



x



No convergence in the imaginary part



The imaginary part in the CS kernel estimate

- The CS kernel is real-valued.
- The CS kernel *estimate* has a non-zero imaginary part, primarily from matching.
- This is explained by poor perturbative convergence and power corrections in bT => not treated as a systematic directly
 M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), PRD 106, 034509, [2204.00200]
 M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2302.09961]
 M.-H. Chu et al. (LPC), [2306.06488]
- Estimates of power corrections expected to improve with multiple lattice spacings, by disentangling O(a) effects
- For this calculation, uNNLL dominated by uNLO at small bT – unexpanded matching accounts for power corrections.



Additional systematics from momenta and Dirac structures

• Momentum pairs combined in a weighted average

- Dirac structures differ by power corrections
- Averaged, difference added to systematics.



Conclusion and outlook

- First calculation at ~physical pion mass and NNLO+NNLL matching, improved systematics.
- Discriminates between BNLY vs. more recent ansatze.
- Perturbative convergence for bT > .36 fm (key region for synergy)
- Power corrections for bT < .36 fm accounted by unexpanded matching.
- Significant progress from the 2021
- Next steps: better quantify power corrections by disentangling O(a) effects at multiple lattice spacings.







Backup slides

Using auxiliary fields for non-perturbative renormalization

Get a renormalized staple-shaped operator

 $\mathcal{O}_{\ell,\Gamma}^{\text{ren.}} = Z_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell}\Gamma\Gamma'}^{\text{ren.}}\mathcal{O}_{\ell,\Gamma}^{\text{bare}}$

By solving for Z_O in a renormalization scheme where it is given by matrix elements computed non-perturbatively, such as

 $\Lambda_{\ell,\Gamma}^{\text{bare}}(p,b) = \langle q(p) | \mathcal{O}_{\ell,\Gamma}^{\text{bare}}(b) | q(p) \rangle_{\text{gf,amp.}}$

renormalized as

 $\Lambda_{\ell,\Gamma}^{\mathrm{RI'-MOM}}(p,b) = [Z'_q(p)]^{-1} Z_{\mathcal{O}_\ell(b),\Gamma\Gamma'}^{\mathrm{RI'-MOM}}(p) \Lambda_{\ell,\Gamma}^{\mathrm{bare}}(p,b)$

Set to its tree-level value at $p = p_R$, together with some renormalization condition for Z_q. This is <u>RI'-MOM</u>, with a different Z_O for each staple configuration.

 $^1\!\mathrm{Green},$ Jansen, and Steffens, PRL 121 (2018) and PRD 101(2020). Artur Avkhadiev, MIT

With the auxiliary-field approach, renormalization of extended staples is simplified to that of point-like objects: $\bar{q}(b) \Gamma W_{-z} W_{\mathrm{T}} W_{+z} q(0) = \langle \bar{q}(b) \underbrace{\Gamma \zeta_{-z}(b) \bar{\zeta}_{-z}(\eta + b_{\mathrm{T}})}_{W_{-z}} \underbrace{\zeta_{\mathrm{T}}(\eta + b_{\mathrm{T}}) \bar{\zeta}_{\mathrm{T}}(\eta)}_{W_{\mathrm{T}}} \underbrace{\zeta_{+z}(\eta) \bar{\zeta}_{+z}(0)}_{W_{+z}} q(0) \rangle_{\zeta} = \langle \underline{\bar{q}}(b) \underbrace{\zeta_{-z}(b)}_{\phi_{-z}(b)} \Gamma \underbrace{\bar{\zeta}_{-z}(\eta + b_{\mathrm{T}})}_{C_{-z,\mathrm{T}}(\eta + b_{\mathrm{T}})} \underbrace{\bar{\zeta}_{\mathrm{T}}(\eta) \zeta_{+z}(\eta)}_{C_{\mathrm{T},+z}(\eta)} \underbrace{\bar{\zeta}_{+z}(0) q(0)}_{\phi_{+z}(0)} \rangle_{\zeta}$

where Wilson lines are given by zeta propagators in the extended theory, and Z_0 is broken down as

$$\mathcal{O}_{\ell,\Gamma}^{\text{ren.}} = e^{-\delta m(l+b_{\mathrm{T}})} (Z_{\phi_{-z}}^{\dagger} \Gamma Z_{\phi_{+z}}) \\ \times \langle \phi_{-z} (Z_{C_{-z,\mathrm{T}}} C_{-z,\mathrm{T}}) (Z_{C_{\mathrm{T}},+z} C_{\mathrm{T},+z}) \phi_{+z} \rangle_{\zeta}$$

with one renormalization condition for each Z, independent of staple configurations. This is $\underline{\text{RI-xMOM}}^1$.

New renormalization scheme leads to reduced mixing



 $p_{\rm R}^{\mu} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \times (0, 0, 10, 0), \ \xi = 0.24 \ {\rm fm}$





Figures from Shanahan, Wagman, and Zhao, PRD 101 (2020)

 Showing mixing patterns for RI'-MOM
 from left to right for: straight-line, symmetric, and asymmetric staples.

For short, straight-line configurations, mixing patterns in <u>RI'-MOM</u> agree with lattice perturbation theory at one-loop¹ (white circles), but deviations become large for staple-shaped Wilson lines; in comparison, mixing effects in <u>RI-xMOM</u> are well-controlled (for collinear momenta and Wilson lines)

¹Constantinou, Panagopoulos, and Spanoudes, PRD 99 (2019) and PRD 96 (2017).

Artur Avkhadiev, MIT Preliminary figure from this work (different ensemble and renormalization scale)

0.100

0.050

0.010 H

Scheme dependence of mixing patterns



Artur Avkhadiev, MIT

Code improvements

