

Covariance Testing on U-235, U-238, and Pu-239 in ENDF/B VIII.1 Beta1

D. Kent Parsons LA-UR-23-24304 Los Alamos Nuclear Data Team

27 April 2023 Mini-CSEWG

Outline

- Basics of Covariance Testing
- Covariance Testing Procedure
- Results for U-235, U-238, and Pu-239
- Summary



Covariance Testing in General

- Covariance Matrices should be non-negative definite symmetric
 - i.e., no negative eigenvalues
 - Check by eigenvalue decomposition
 - Or, Check by matrix square root are the output elements real or complex?
 - Or, Check by inspecting correlation matrices are they valid?
- Two Covariance Constraints that need to be satisfied
 - In MF 33 cross sections
 - Because the Total cross section = sum of partial cross sections
 - Absolute Covariance of Total = sum of the abs. covariances of the partial cross sections
 - In MF 35 fission chi beware of numerical precision issues
 - Because the Sum of multigroup chi's = 1.0
 - Absolute Covariance matrix should have zero-sum and zerocolumn conditions
 - These 2 constraints are related see my recent ND paper.



Covariance Testing of U-235, U-238, Pu-239

- Use NJOY to create 30g cross sections and covariances
 - Follow-up testing could investigate the covariance properties on the native energy bin structure in the evaluation file
- Use the ASCII interface file output from ERRORR since it has more numerical precision than the printed output or other formats (e.g., BOXER)
- Read the ERRORR interface files with simple FORTRAN programs
 - 6F11.0 format in FORTRAN will read the old-fashioned ENDF-6 formats
- Use Excel for visualization, group sums, and data transfers
- Use MATLAB for numerical operations like eigenvalue decomposition, matrix square roots, covariance and correlation conversions, etc
- (O16 results presented in Nathan Gibson's paper.)



U-235 Results

- MF 31 nu
 - Some small, but annoying negative eigenvalues were seen
 - Believed to be a result of inconsistent merging of the covar. data
 - Illegal values were also seen in the associated correlation matrices
- MF 33 cross sections
 - Cross section balance and covariance balance were ok
 - Covariance balance was keyed to MT 1
 - Combined big covariance matrix had only very small neg. eigenvalues
- MF 34 mubar
 - Values of mubar within -1.0 to 1.0, also std.dev < 1.0
 - No negative eigenvalues
- MF 35 fission chi (tested at efmean = 2.5e6 eV)
 - Multigroup-chi's summed to 1.0
 - Relative Covariance matrix had negative eigenvalues, but the absolute covariance matrix did not – indicating problems with small values and roundoff



U-238 Results

- MF 31 nu
 - No negative eigenvalues were seen
- MF 33 cross sections
 - Cross section balance and covariance balance were ok
 - Covariance balance was keyed to MT 1
 - Combined big covariance matrix had only very small neg. eigenvalues

• MF 34 – mubar

- Values of mubar within -1.0 to 1.0, also std.dev < 1.0
- No negative eigenvalues
- MF 35 fission chi (tested at efmean = 2.5e6 eV)
 - Multigroup-chi's summed to 1.0
 - Relative Covariance matrix had negative eigenvalues, but the absolute covariance matrix was not quite symmetric – *drowning* in round-off errors.



Pu-239 Results

- MF 31 nu
 - Some small, but annoying negative eigenvalues were seen (cf U-235)
 - Illegal values were also seen in the associated correlation matrices
 - Identical covariances for MT 452 (total) and MT 456 (prompt)
 - Empty gap in covariance data between 2.50e3 and 4.00e3 eV
- MF 33 cross sections
 - Cross section balance was ok
 - But covariance constraint was not satisfied at E < 3.5e3 eV</p>
 - Above 3.5e3 eV, constraint was keyed to MT 2
 - Combined big covariance matrix had only very small neg. eigenvalues
- MF 34 mubar
 - No covariance data was given in Beta 1 -> see Beta 1.1
- MF 35 fission chi (tested at efmean = 2.5e6 eV)
 - Multigroup-chi's summed to 1.0
 - Relative Covariance matrix had negative eigenvalues, but the absolute covariance matrix did not – indicating problems with small values and round-off errors.



SUMMARY

- Some work remains to be done with the covariance data of O-16, U-235, U-238, and Pu-239 …
- But we are making progress!

- For how to meet the MF 33 covariance constraint:
 - -See the ENDF-6 Manual

A. Trkov, M. Herman, and D.A. Brown, "The ENDF-6 Formats Manual", BNL-90365-2009 Rev. 2, or CSEWG ENDF-102, Brookhaven National Laboratory, (2012).

-See my ND 2022 paper

Includes procedural and normalization instructions



