# ENDF/B-VIII.1: Automated updates to masses, Q-values, thresholds and electrons

B. R. Beck Nuclear Data and Theory Group, NACS/PLS

Presented at mini-CSEWG Apr. 2023



LLNL-PRES-?????? This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC



#### What am I doing?

 I was asked to look into bad mass values in ENDF/B-VIII.1 —Expanded to Q-values and thresholds (maybe)

 FUDGE also complains about ZAP for photons in the electron sublibrary.

- Outline
  - -Firstly, the ZAP issue
  - -Secondly, bad masses
  - -Thirdly, Q-values and thresholds



# Electron ZAP for photons



#### **Electron sub-library changes?**

<ul> <li>The ENDF-6 manual, section 26.2 states:         <ul> <li>In particular, the AWI and ZAP will be considered here.</li> <li>For MT=526 (bremsstrahlung), ENDF/B-VIII.0 has ZAP=11 for the photon data instead of ZAP=0 as stated in the documentation.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>26.2 Formats</li> <li>The following quantities are defined:</li> <li>ZA,AWR Standard material charge and mass parameters</li> <li>AWI Standard projectile mass parameter</li> <li>NK Number of subsections in this section (MT). Each subsection describes one reaction product (in this case, photons or electrons), or a subsection can describe the energy transfer associated with excitation or bremsstrahlung.</li> <li>ZAP Product identifier: zero for photons, and 11 for electrons.</li> <li>LAW Distinguishes between different representations of the product distributions:</li> </ul>
1000.00000 .999241400 0	0 2 0 10026527
11.00000005.438673E-4 0	1 1 2 10026527

- This is similar to section 6.2:
  - Note: AWP here is AWI above.
- Is anyone using the ZAP, AWI data?
- **ZAP** Product identifier 1000 \* Z + A with Z = 0 for photons and A = 0 for electrons and positrons. A section with A = 0 can also be used to represent the average recoil energy or spectrum for an elemental target (see text).
- **AWP** Product mass in neutron units. When ZAP=0, this field can contain the energy of a primary photon. In that case, this section will contain an angular distribution (LAW=2) for the primary photon.



#### **Changes to ENDF/B-VIII.1**

 Made a branch in the git repo dubbed "fixElectronZAP11" which changes the photon ZAP=11 to 0 per the ENDF-6 documentation.

ZAP Product identifier: zero for photons, and 11 for electrons.

The masses are still that of AWI

AWI Standard projectile mass parameter

The structure of a section is: [MAT,26, MT/ ZA, AWR, 0, 0, NK, 0]HEAD [MAT,26, MT/ZAP, AWI, 0, LAW, NR, NP/E<sub>int</sub> / y(E)] TAB1

- Does this seem correct?
- Should AWI really be AWP?



## Bad, bad mass



#### There are some bad mass values in ENDF/B-VIII.0 and .1

I have been asked to fix many of these in ENDF/B-VIII.1.

- I am comparing masses to AME 2020 values.
  - -AME = Atomic Mass Evaluation.
  - —I turned the AME 2020 database into a GNDS PoPs database.

- There are several types of mass issues.
  - -For products with Z <= 2, mass should be nuclear mass and not atomic mass
  - -Some masses are way off in an absolute sense



#### Z <= 2 whose masses include the electron mass

- Example of deuteron mass with electron
  - From "n + O16", MT=28, MF=6, the proton section starts as

1.002000+3 1.996800+0	0	1	1	3 825 6 32
-----------------------	---	---	---	------------

- AWP \* neutron\_mass = 1.9968 \* 1.0086649159 = 2.01410210406912 (~1875.129 MeV)
- Masses from AME2020
  - d (h2) mass is 2.01355321275 amu (~1874.618 MeV)
    - 1875.129 1874.618 = 0.511
  - H2 mass is 2.01410177784 amu (~1875.129 MeV)
- I found ~1279 protares/isotopes with this problem

#### Some masses are way off

- Example from gammas/g-006\_C\_012.endf for B10 (MF=6, MT=111)
  - Here is what is in the file

4.010000+3 1.004200+1	0	1	1	2 625 6111
-----------------------	---	---	---	------------

- This mass is 107  $MeV/c^2$  too heavy
- AME 2020 value is 9.9269209
- There are 79 evaluations/isotopes whose masses are off by at least 4 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
  - Some of the worst are off by (in MeV/c<sup>2</sup>): 1018.6 1863.1, 8388.2 and -107.5.
  - This is an absolute test
  - 1661 evaluations/isotopes whose masses are off by at least 0.4 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- I also did a relative test
  - Current reporting is for 1e-5 relative difference
    - abs(fileMass / PoPsMass 1) > 1e-5.
  - I found "2460" evaluations/isotopes that failed this test.

#### What to do?

- If I have a criteria for masses that should be fixed, I can replace just the masses.
- Here is an example of the information I have (from neutrons/n-008\_0\_016.endf):

ZA	mass ratio	MT	MF	line
1002:				
	1.9968	32	6	186
	1.99626	5	6	1101

- I would only change the masses and leave the rest of the file the same except for a note in the documentation about what was done.
  - If I change a mass for one ZA in a file, do I change all masses for that ZA in that file.
  - I would probably not change the masses in the resonance data (MT=151).
- All changes would go into one git branch.



### Q-values and thresholds



#### **Q-values and thresholds**

- I have not tested these yet.
- What is needed if anything?



#### An aside

151

The ENDF-6 manual states:

(n,RES)Resonance parameters that can be used to<br/>calculate cross sections at different temper-<br/>only.Incident<br/>only.atures in the resolved and unresolved en-

What about charged particles?

