ePIC Electromagnetic Calorimetry

Zhongling Ji

UCLA 2023 RHIC & AGS Annual Users' Meeting

August 2, 2023

UCLA

UCLA

EIC physics

- Red particles are measured.
- Lepton endcap is important to reconstruct the kinematics of the scattered electron.
- Hadron endcap is important to measure hadrons in SIDIS and exclusive DIS.





NC DIS: $e + p/A \longrightarrow e' + X$ CC DIS: $e + p/A \longrightarrow \nu + X$



SIDIS: $e + p/A \longrightarrow e' + h^{\pm,0} + X$





ePIC detector





Zhongling Ji (UCLA)

3/26



Barrel ECal

Geometry of barrel ECal





Energy resolution - Primarily from Pb/ScFi layers (+ Imaging pixels energy information) Position resolution - Primarily from Imaging Layers (+ 2-side Pb/ScFi readout and radial segmentation)

Technology of barrel ECal



- Pb/SciFi layers follow the GlueX Barrel Calorimeter:
 - Energy resolution: $\sigma = 5.2\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 3.6\%$
 - $\bullet~15.5$ X0, extracted for low energy photons $<\!\!\sim\!\!1~\text{GeV}$
 - Position resolution in z: 1.1 cm/ \sqrt{E}
 - 2-side SiPM readout, Δt measurement
- Imaging layers based on AstroPix sensors
 - Very low power dissipation
 - Good energy resolution
 - 500 μ m pixel size
 - Time resolution \sim 3.25 ns (V4)



Summary of Barrel ECal



- Identify the scattered electrons and measures their energy.
- Space-constrained to very limited space inside the solenoid (\sim 40 cm and 17.1 X0).
- Excellent energy resolution (5.2%/ $\sqrt{E} \oplus 1.0$ %).
- Unrivaled low-energy electron-pion separation by combining the energy measurement with shower imaging.
- Fine granularity for good π^0/γ separation up to 10 GeV/c.
- Unrivaled position resolution due to the silicon layers.
- Longitudinal shower profile from the Pb/ScFi layers.
- Deep enough to serve as inner HCal.
- Measuring low energy photons down to 100 MeV, while having the range to measure energies well above 10 GeV.
- Wealth of information enables new measurements, ideally suited for particle-flow.



Backward ECal

• Goals:

- $\bullet\,$ Measure scattered electrons at $-3.5 < \eta < -1$
- Electron/pion separation
- ${\, \bullet \, }$ Improve electron resolution at large $|\eta|$
- Measure photons with good resolution
- Separate $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ at high energy
- Requirements:
 - Energy resolution: $2\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus (1-3)\%$
 - Pion suppression: 1:104
 - ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$ Minimum detection energy: > 50 MeV

Goals and requirements of backward ECal





Mechanical design





PWO:

Mass:

Nb:



Forward ECal

pECal designs



- Sampling ECal:
 - Measure photons and hadrons at the forward region.
 - Good energy resolution $[(10-12)\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus (1-3)\%]$.
- pECal with W/ScFi structure:
 - Beehive with fibers of radius 0.235 mm.
 - Absorber: 97% Tungsten + 3% epoxy.
 - Fiber: 100% polystyrene.



• Integration length along z-axis: 30 cm.

• η coverage: 1.4 to 4.

- Total weight: ${\sim}20$ tons.
- $\bullet\,$ Number of readout channels: ${\sim}15k.$

• Radius: $R_{in} = 14$ cm, $R_{out} = 173$ cm.

- Readout must work in magnetic field, neutron fluxes up to $10^{12}\ n/cm^2.$
- Fit in limited space (small X0).
- $\bullet\,$ Hadron compensation with e/h ratio ${\sim}1.$
- Good π^0/γ separation up to ${\sim}50$ GeV.
- Optimal reconstruction of jets.
- Ability to identify heavy-flavor jets.







Barrel and endcap ECal overlapping optimization









The green line corresponds to $\eta = 1.4$. For $\eta < 1.4$, the barrel Ecal dominates the resolutions. Only for $\eta > 1.4$, we need the fEcal.



$\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ separation

 $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ separation

- "Usual" criteria: $\pi^0\to\gamma\gamma$ distinguished if photons are separated by one tower size.
- pECal: 2.5×2.5 cm at z = 350 cm.

•
$$\theta_{min} = \frac{2.5 \ cm}{350 \ cm} = 0.007 \Rightarrow E_{\pi^0} = 38 \ GeV.$$









Shower profile vs neural networks

• Shower profile:
$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{E_i^{meas} - E_i^{pred}}{\sigma_i} \right)^2$$

• EIC YR Fig. 11.46: pECal with granularity \sim 0.008 (2.5×2.5 cm² at z=3m).





• Neural networks input ($\eta = 2$): 5×5 central tower energies; pECal x and y positions.





HF jets identification

Energy resolutions for b/\bar{b} jets



- Pythia events: $e(\gamma) + p(g) \rightarrow b + \overline{b}$.
- Find the truth and reco jets closest to b and \overline{b} .
- Resolution = $\frac{E_{reco} E_{truth}}{E_{truth}}$.
- Good resolution up to $p_T^{jet} = 20$ GeV for 18x275 GeV beams.



HF jet identification

UCLA

- Purposes:
 - Identify HF jets.
 - Use HF decay electrons as the jet axis.
- Input:
 - Four momenta of jet constituents.
 - Tracking momentum, pECal and pHCal energy.
 - dRICH PID.
 - Vertex and DCA.
- NN methods: BDT, LSTM.
- Figures from [PRD 94, 112002].



- Best overall performance: Weight signal by ٠ factor 15
- 70% accuracy, 50% efficiency, 10% purity, 4 times bkg rejection.

Performance of jet HF ID

- Pythia DIS events: $e + p \rightarrow q(jet) + X$, $Q_{min}^2 > 10 \text{ GeV}^2$.
- Jet flavor ID: Only consider the jet closest to the hard-scattered quark q, identify the quark flavor q as the jet flavor.
- Signal: HF jets; Bkg: LF jets.
- N_{LF} : $N_{HF} = 169619 : 9685 \approx 17.5 : 1.$
- Training: Use LSTM, large weight on signal.
- 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Jet min p_ (GeV) Purity (%)

3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Jet min p_ (GeV)

Accuracy (%)

100



5 6

Jet min p (GeV)







- The technology choices for all ECals in ePIC were defined.
- Performance of calorimeters were extensively verified with realistic simulations to meet YR requirements.
- Detector consortia formed and are working hard to advanced designs to TDR level.



Backup

Energy resolution: W/ScFi





Zhongling Ji (UCLA)

Energy weighting



• Weight energy by: $E_{tot} = E_{ECal}/C + E_{HCal}$.

WScFi + 20 mm Fe/Sc , Energy Resolution





e/h ratios

• Sandwich:
$$\lambda_{eff} = \frac{1}{\frac{x_i}{x_{tot}} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} + \frac{x_j}{x_{tot}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}}$$

• Beehive: $\lambda_{eff} = \frac{1}{\frac{A_j}{A_{tot}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} + \frac{A_j}{A_{tot}} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}}$.

e/h 1.8 1.6 촜 1.4 * * 1.2 —★— 윤, WScFi 0.8 -+- e+, WScFi 0.6 –★– 👯, WScFi 0.4 → ^{e+}, Shashlyk 0.2 -* e+, Shashlyk 0 2 6 8 10 12 E.(GeV)

e/h for WScFi vs Shashlyk at 20 degree



- Use detector length: $9\lambda_{eff}$.
- λ_{eff} (in mm) = 153 (W/ScFi), 398 (Shashlyc), 187 (HCal).



e/h for Fe/Sc at 20 degree