Electronics and DAQ WG Meeting: 6/22/2023

- News / status update
- Continued RDO Discussion

News / Status

- Abstracts & Talks
 - Warsaw EICUG July 23-28 (combined EICUG & ePIC collab (27-30))
 - https://indico.cern.ch/event/1238718/timetable/#20230727
 - Convener will give DAQ talk remote on 27th (Me or Fernando)
 - Please register, even if remote
 - Hawaii APS/JPS (October 7-12)/(12-13)
 - Jo Schambach to give DAQ talk and is preparing abstract to submit
- S&C Streaming Readout WG (tentatively to meet next week, June 27th @ 1:30pm EST)
 - The indico will be announced here (with other S&C WGs): https://indico.bnl.gov/category/463/
- Services Requests
 - DAQ still needs to fill out services form (this is on Jeff, Fernando & Dave) but we are closer:
 - Racks:
 - Electronics on DAQ platform (38 + RDOs on platform: 15 Bias, 7 LV, 2 HV, 10 Digitizer, 4 network & fiber distribution)
 - DAQ Room (20 racks)
 - Fibers we have estimates from channels table
 - Cabling (unsure, Fernando may know better, but presumably can be estimated from electronics in racks)
- RDO physical specification & location determination (topic for continued discussion today)
 - Note for all: Please start using the mailing list for these discussions!

RDO: We need to specify the location of RDOs and their Physical Specifications!

- The RDO will be a "standard board" but we assume it might need variations
 - Optical transceiver
 - Size / shape
 - # of FEB connectors
 - If necessary, could be integrated in a FEB
 - Will need firmware implementation for specific ASICs
- Electronics and DAQ have agreed to specify features of the default standard RDO
 - Size of board (I am assuming something around 4x4 inches or less)
 - Type and number of connectors
 - Length of drive (depends on rate/cables/voltages)
 - Power need

(3-4 Watts)

Maximum radiation level for proper functioning

Assuming:

- Artix/Artix-like FPGA
- 16-32 serial links / connectors for ASIC connections
- 3(4) fiber links possible, with 2 populated in most cases.
- The DAQ fiber protocol to be uniform for all detectors. Potentially using 2 schemes for timing distribution
- Any boards inside detector need to be pre-installed in their sub-detector and inserted with the detector and can be accessed only once/per year or so...
- Cost ~\$500 / board
- Pay attention to "fiber pair" on DAQ table. This is number of RDOs

Points from last weeks discussion

- Need to go by detector, and focus first on barrel. (MAPS trackers, dRICH, pfRICH, TOF, eTOF, MPGDs, Astropix, DIRC, eCals, hCals)
- The "standard board with variations" has different meanings to different people and there are issues that need to be clarified
 - How will the collaboration between the eRD109 groups and the "standard DAQ protocol" by done?
 - The schedules for needing readout do not necessarily line up. eRD groups need some sort of readout now which implies putting effort in now, whereas DAQ efforts on RDO start after construction starts --- given this, how do we organize the designs to both get rid of redundant work and also get the uniform DAQ interface?
 - It's clear that the level of aggregation on the RDOs is far different for some detectors, which will define space needed so can we define this by detector?
 - The scheme's for connecting the FEBs to the RDOs is not at all uniform at the moment, so we need to document this
 detector by detector

Central Detectors

Detector	Concept	Plan for FEB Development	Plan for RDO development	# FEBs/RDO	# RDOs	Size	Location MAP?
MAPs Vertex	RDO near staves?						
MAPs Sagitta							
MAPs Disks							
dRICH	RDO between FEB						
pfRICH							
DIRC							
Barrel TOF	RDO near FEB						
Endcap TOF							
MPGDs							
Astropix eCAL	RDO near staves?						
Backward eCAL							
Barrel eCAL	LVDS cables						
Backward hCAL	Potential Repeaters						
Barrel hCAL	RDO on platform						
Forward hCAL							
Forward eCAL	RDO near FEB?						

HCAL Insert*	FPIC Detector Scale and Technology Summary:									
3 vertex layers 2 sagitta layers 5 shokvard disks 5 vertal flavors 5 curved tits 2 sensors for vertex 15 vertage / vits 3 improvements 100k 15 100k/sec 1 6d channel SALSA ASIC Assume \$512 Channel (8 ASIC)/FEB 16 FER/RDO 16 claymeters: 100k 15 15 cloy/sec 1 6d channel SALSA ASIC Assume \$512 Channel (8 ASIC)/FEB 16 FER/RDO 16 claymeters: 10	Detector System	Channels	Fiber pair	Data Volume	DAM Boards	Readout Technology	Notes			
Calorimeters: Forward: LEHCAL Same FLASH FLA	3 vertex layers, 2 sagitta layers, 5 backward disks,	36B pixels	400	25Gb/sec	10	Several flavors: curved its-3 sensors for vertex Its-2 staves / w its-3	Fiber count limited by Artix Transcievers			
Forward: LFHCAL ECAL W/SciFi 19k 75 19k 75 19k 75 19k 75 19k 75 19k	•	100k	15	<10Gb/sec	1	64 channel SALSA ASIC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
B0: 3 MAPS layers 3x20cmx20cm (300M pixel) 6 <1Gb/sec 5 MAPS AC-LGAD A	Forward: LFHCAL ECAL W/SciFi Barrel: HCAL HCAL insert* ECAL (Imaging) SciFi/PB ASTROPIX ECAL (SciGlass) Backward: NHCAL	19k 8k 8k 8k 88M pixels 8k 16.2k	75 32 32 32 32 24 32 18	15Gb/sec	10		Assume HGCROC 56 ch * 16 ASIC/RDO = 896 ch/fiber Assume FLASH FEB 16 ch * 16 FEB/RDO = 256 ch/fiber HCAL assume HGCROC ECAL assume FLASH for fiber calculations			
Low Q Tagger 1 Low Q Tagger 2 Low Q Tagger 2 Lumi PS Cal (2 calorimeters) Lumi PS tracker Photon Detector PID-TOF 3M-50M 80 100Gb/sec (<1 Gb/sec to tape) 32 (<1 Gb/sec to tape) 16 18 240-500 6Gb/sec 12 AC-LGAD / EICROC AC-LGAD / EICROC 30cmx20cmx500um POssible tracking layers 11 Pischerenkov: EICROC / AC-LGAD Channel / Fiber counts depend on sensor geometric symmetric symmetry symmetric symmetric symmetric symmetric symmetric symmetric symmetric symmetric symmetry symmetry symmetry symmetry symmetry sy	B0: 3 MAPS layers 1 or 2 AC-LGAD layer 2 Roman Pots 2 Off Momentum ZDC: Crystal Calorimeter 32 Silicon pad layer 4 silicon pixel layers	300k or 600k 1M (4 x 135k layers each) 650k (4 x 80k layers each) 400 11520 160k	30 64 42 10 10	<1Gb/sec	5	AC-LGAG / EICROC AC-LGAD / EICROC AC-LGAD / EICROC APD	13 x 26cm layers 9.6 x 22.4cm layers There are alternatives for AC-LGAD using MAPS and low			
Considering pitches of: .5mm x 1cm, .5mm x .3cm, .5mm x .5mm PID-Cherenkov:	Low Q Tagger 1 Low Q Tagger 2 Lumi PS Cal (2 calorimeters) Lumi PS tracker	480k 1425/75	32 16		1	AC-LGAD / EICROC	30cmx20cmx500um			
	PID-TOF	3M-50M	240-500	6Gb/sec	12	EICROC / AC-LGAD				
	dRICH pfRICH (or) mRICH	70k 70k	17 17	(<20Gbps to tape) 15Gbps	1	LAPPD				

Calorimeter Connector Concept

