# Establishing Layered Structure Inside Earth Using Neutrino Oscillations at IceCube - DeepCore

# Krishnamoorthi J

krishnamoorthi.j@iopb.res.in & kjayakumar@icecube.wisc.edu

(For the IceCube collaboration)

Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India & Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India Department of Physics and WIPAC, UW Madison, USA

> Brookhaven Forum 2023 Advancing Searches for New Physics (BF2023) October 4 - 6 , 2023















# **Atmospheric Neutrinos : Production**





- Primary cosmic ray (mostly proton) interacts with nuclei in Earth atmosphere and produces charged particles like pions and kaons
- Short lived pions decay into muons and neutrinos where the muons further decay into lighter lepton and neutrinos

# **Atmospheric Neutrinos : Advantages**





Image source : Probing particle physics with IceCube. Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 924 (2018)

\* 
$$L_{\nu} = \sqrt{(R+h)^2 - (R-d)^2 \sin^2 \theta_{\nu}} - (R-d) \cos \theta_{\nu}$$

Krishnamoorthi J

Layered Structure of Earth: IceCube-DeepCore

Brookhaven Forum (04/10/23) 3

Primary cosmic ray (mostly proton) interacts

with nuclei in Earth atmosphere and

produces charged particles like pions and

Short lived pions decay into muons and

neutrinos where the muons further decay

Baseline\* : ~15 km to ~12757 km

into lighter lepton and neutrinos

kaons

Advantage

Ο

# **Atmospheric Neutrinos : Advantages**





- Primary cosmic ray (mostly proton) interacts with nuclei in Earth atmosphere and produces charged particles like pions and kaons
- Short lived pions decay into muons and neutrinos where the muons further decay into lighter lepton and neutrinos
- Advantage
  - Baseline : ~15 km to ~12757 km
  - Energy range: ~0.1 GeV to ~TeV

# **Atmospheric Neutrinos : Oscillations**





#### Image source: Super-Kamiokande

Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande), Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1562 (1998).

- Primary cosmic ray (mostly proton) interacts with nuclei in Earth atmosphere and produces charged particles like pions and kaons
- Short lived pions decay into muons and neutrinos where the muons further decay into lighter lepton and neutrinos
- Advantage
  - Baseline : ~15 km to ~12757 km
  - Energy range: ~0.1 GeV to ~TeV
- Neutrinos are oscillating

#### Krishnamoorthi J

#### Layered Structure of Earth: IceCube-DeepCore

#### Brookhaven Forum (04/10/23) 5

# **Neutrino Oscillation in Matter**

- Neutrino propagation through matter modify the oscillations significantly
- Coherent forward scattering of neutrinos with matter particles
- Charged current interaction of neutrino with electrons creates an extra potential for neutrino

$$V_{\rm CC} = \pm \sqrt{2} G_F N_e \approx \pm 7.6 \times Y_e \times 10^{-14} \left[ \frac{\rho}{g/cm^3} \right] \, \text{eV}$$
$$Y_e = N_e / (N_p + N_n)$$

 $\rho$  denotes the matter density +1 (-1) for neutrino (antineutrino)









MSW resonance (<u>L. Wolfenstein, PRD 17 (1978) 2369</u>)

#### **MSW Resonance**

 $E_{\rm res} = \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 \cos 2\theta_{13}}{2\sqrt{2}G_F N_e}$ 

Krishnamoorthi J

Layered Structure of Earth: IceCube-DeepCore

Brookhaven Forum (04/10/23) 7





## In PREM

- Periodic modulation of density (n)  $n(x) = \bar{n} + n_1 \cos \omega_d x$ 
  - If frequency of density modulation equals to the frequency of oscillation, resonance occurs

$$k\omega_d = \Delta_m(\bar{n}), \ k = 1, 2..$$

$$\Delta_m(\bar{n}) = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \left[ (\cos 2\theta - \frac{2VE}{\Delta m^2})^2 + \sin^2 2\theta \right]^{1/2}$$

(Neutrino oscillation frequency in average density ) (Ility) (V - matter potential term)

- Neutrino Oscillation Length Resonance (NOLR) (<u>Petcov, PLB 434 (1998) 321</u>) or Parametric Resonance (PR) (<u>Akhmedov, NPB 538 (1999) 25</u>)
- Parametric effects in neutrino oscillations, <u>Physics Letters B, Volume 226, Issues 3–4, (1989)</u>

NOLR/PR Resonance

Krishnamoorthi J



- Probability in PREM profile start to differ from uniform density profile, once it sees the density jump in PREM (Outer core)
- Further deviation of probability in PREM is visible due to NOLR/PR resonance

Krishnamoorthi J



## Neutrino Oscillogram: PREM and Uniform Density





- MSW resonance is visible in both the Earth profiles
- NOLR/PR resonance only present in the PREM profile
- Difference in the oscillation pattern occurs at lower energy and higher baseline
- Is it possible to infer information about the layered structure of Earth ?

Krishnamoorthi J

# IceCube - DeepCore



- 5160 Digital Optical Modules (DOMs) detect Cherenkov photons
- Can see upto **PeV scale**



#### Track-like events:

• Source:  $v_{\mu}$  CC



#### Cascade-like events:

• Source:  $v_e$  CC,  $v_\tau$  CC, all NC

## <u>DeepCore</u>

- 8 dedicated strings with denser spacing
- Optimized for GeV scale neutrinos
- Uses IceCube as VETO for the atmospheric muons





# **Simulated Neutrino Events**





- Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) based reconstruction
- MC sample exposure: 9.3 years (2012 2021)
- Filters are applied to eliminate primary backgrounds: random coincidence triggers and muon contamination is < 1%
- Total no. of events ~ 192 k

Refer slide 23 for the considered uncertainties

Krishnamoorthi J

## **PREM Profile vs. Uniform Density Profile**



Can DeepCore rule out the hypothesis of homogeneous matter inside Earth?

(Motivated by M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia et.al. Radiography of Earth's Core and Mantle with Atmospheric Neutrinos, PRL 100 (2008) 061802)

- In both density profiles, Earth mass and radius are kept constant
- Earth has been considered as neutral ( $N_e = N_p$ ) and isoscalar ( $N_p = N_n$ )
  - Therefore electron number density ratio :  $Y_e = N_e / (N_p + N_n) = 0.5$ (only for Uniform density profile)

Earth Density Profile	Layer Boundaries	Layer Density [g/cm³]	Electron Number Density $Y_e$
PREM	12 Layers	12 Densities	Yel (0.4656), YeO (0.4656), YeM (0.4957)
Uniform density	1 Layer	5.53	Y <sub>e</sub> (0.5)



## Probabilities & Their Differences [PREM vs. Uniform], NO





Krishnamoorthi J

Layered Structure of Earth: IceCube-DeepCore

Brookhaven Forum (04/10/23) 14

## **Expected Event Distributions [PREM vs. Uniform], NO**





• **PREM & Uniform:** For true values of all oscillation and systematic parameters

Krishnamoorthi J

## Distribution of Simulated Event Differences & LLH, NO





Most of the LLH contribution comes from lower energy and higher baselines (core-passing neutrinos)

Krishnamoorthi J

## Asimov Sensitivity to Reject Uniform Hypo. with IceCube-DeepCore





- True hypo.: 12-layered PREM
- Test hypo.: Uniform density
- Minimized over relevant oscillation and systematic parameters
- Sensitivity depends on neutrino mass ordering
- Sensitivity for NO is higher than IO due to the lower cross section and flux rate of antineutrino
- Sensitivity is increasing with  $\theta_{23}$
- For <mark>NO</mark>: θ<sub>23</sub> = 47.5° & δ<sub>CP</sub> = 0°
  - Sensitivity = 1.12 σ

For IO: 
$$\theta_{23} = 47.5^{\circ} \& \delta_{CP} = 0^{\circ}$$

Sensitivity = 0.76 σ

# Conclusion



- Neutrino uses weak interaction
- Atmospheric neutrinos have a wide range of energies and baselines
- By exploiting the neutrino matter effect, one can infer the information about the interior of Earth
- Using 9.3 years of atmospheric neutrino MC sample, the expected Asimov sensitivity to reject uniform density hypothesis is 1**o** for the assumption of NO



# Thank You



Krishnamoorthi J

Layered Structure of Earth: IceCube-DeepCore

Brookhaven Forum (04/10/23) 19



# Backup

Krishnamoorthi J

Layered Structure of Earth: IceCube-DeepCore

Brookhaven Forum (04/10/23) 20

# **Binning Scheme & Benchmark Values of Oscillation Parameters**



- Matter effect significant at lower energies and higher baselines
- Binning optimization is necessary
- Reduced the energy threshold down to 3 GeV

Observables	Number of Bins	Range	Step
Energy	20	[3, 100] GeV	log
cos(zenith)	20	[-1, 0]	linear
PID	3	[0, 0.33, 0.39, 1] [Cascade, Mixed, Track]	linear

Mass ordering	θ <sub>12</sub> (deg.)	θ <sub>13</sub> (deg.)	θ <sub>23</sub> (deg.)	Δm <sup>2</sup> <sub>21</sub> (eV <sup>2</sup> )	Δm² <sub>31</sub> (eV²)	δ <sub>cp</sub> (deg.)
NO (IO)	33.41	8.54	47.5	7.41 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.47 (-2.47) x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	ο

• Using Log LikeliHood (LLH) as a metric

Krishnamoorthi J

# **Statistical Methods**



#### • Following Poissonian LLH

Test Statistics (TS) = LLH + Prior pull = 
$$\sum_{i \in bins} [-\lambda_i + x_i \ln(\lambda_i) - \ln(x_i!)] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in sys} \frac{(p_j - \hat{p_j})^2}{\sigma_j^2}$$

 $\mathbf{x}_i$  - Observed value of  $i^{th}$  bin  $\lambda_i$  - Expected value of  $i^{th}$  bin  $\mathbf{p}_j$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j$ , and  $\sigma_j^2$  are the nominal, best-fit, and Gaussian prior of  $j^{th}$  systematics, respectively

• Sensitivity (to reject Uniform hypothesis)

$$\eta_{\sigma} = \frac{(LLH_3 - LLH_4) - (LLH_1 - LLH_2)}{\sqrt{(2 \times (LLH_3 - LLH_4))}}$$
(For the assumption of true PREM)  
$$\Delta LLH = N(\pm \overline{\Delta LLH}, 2\sqrt{\Delta LLH})$$

LLH1: PREM (Data)  $\rightarrow$  PREM (Theory) LLH2: PREM (Data)  $\rightarrow$  Uniform (Theory) LLH3: Uniform (Data)<sup>\*</sup>  $\rightarrow$  PREM (Theory) LLH4: Uniform (Data)<sup>\*</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Uniform (Theory)

\* Uniform (Data) is generated with the best fit values from LLH2 fit

See: Mattias Blennow et al., (JHEP 03 (2014) 028), X Qian et al., (PRD 86 113011 (2012)), and Emilio Ciuffoli et al., (JHEP 01 (2014) 095)

Krishnamoorthi J

# Systematic Treatment

#### • Flux uncertainties

- Cosmic ray spectrum
- Pion & Kaon production uncertainties Barr et al., Phys. Rev. D 74, 094009

#### Cross section

- Axial mass uncertainty for resonance and quasielastic events
- GENIE CSMS transition for DIS

### • Detector and Ice properties

- Optical efficiency of the photo sensor
- Ice scattering and absorption
- Birefringence (double refraction of light due to anisotropy of ice)

Cryosphere Discuss. 2022, 1 (2022)

- $\circ$   $\,$  Muon Light Yield (photon propagation in the ice from muons)
- Atmospheric muon scale <u>Gaisser et al.</u> + <u>Sibyll2.1</u>
- Normalization of neutrino event counts
- → In total, about 40 systematics are tested individually; around 20 high-impact parameters are included as nuisance parameters and kept free in the analysis

For more details, see: Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 1, 012014



# **Reconstruction performance**



#### Shiqi Yu, DIS2023

# Reconstruction Performance

- Flat median against true neutrino energy and zenith;
- CNN has comparable resolution to current method, and better at low energy (majority of sample)



# **Energy Resolution**





Resolution of energy reconstruction as a function of true neutrino energy

# **Zenith Resolution**





Resolution of zenith reconstruction as a function of true neutrino energy

Krishnamoorthi J





## In PREM

- Periodic modulation of density  $n(x) = \bar{n} + n_1 \cos \omega_d x$ 
  - If frequency of density modulation equals to the frequency of oscillation, resonance occurs

$$k\omega_d = \Delta_m(\bar{n}), \ k = 1, 2..$$

$$\Delta_m(\bar{n}) = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \left[ (\cos 2\theta - \frac{2VE}{\Delta m^2})^2 + \sin^2 2\theta \right]^{1/2}$$

(Neutrino oscillation frequency in average density )

- Neutrino Oscillation Length Resonance (NOLR) (<u>Petcov, PLB 434 (1998) 321</u>) or
  Parametric Resonance (PR) (<u>Akhmedov, NPB 538 (1999) 25</u>)
- Parametric effects in neutrino oscillations, <u>Physics Letters B, Volume 226, Issues 3–4, (1989)</u>

NOLR/PR Resonance

Krishnamoorthi J





- Probability in PREM profile start to differ from uniform density profile, once it sees the density jump in PREM (Outer core)
- Further deviation of probability in PREM is visible due to NOLR/PR resonance

Krishnamoorthi J





(Observable probability)