EDIT School at BNL: Quantum Networking – or – Finally having some fun with quantum mechanics

Paul Stankus, BNL

EDIT School

20 October 2023





Quantum randomness all around you



Some photons are reflected by window glass, others go straight through.

If photons are individual particles, how does each one make a decision?

Where is the randomness?

First guess: decision at each point

"The Road Not Taken" -- Robert Frost





Intuitive but *provably incorrect*:



Mach-Zehnder Interferometer shows output at only one exit; photon *must* have taken both paths

Superposition: take both paths

"Of course people do go both ways." -- Scarecrow



Single outcome: $(|Left\rangle + |Right\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$



Superposition *survives* until random outcome is determined at *detection* step

$$Prob(Left) = |\langle Left(|Left\rangle + |Right\rangle)/\sqrt{2}|^{2}$$
$$= |\langle Left|Left\rangle/\sqrt{2} + \langle Left|Right\rangle/\sqrt{2}|^{2}$$
$$= 1 \qquad = 0$$

$$= 1/2$$

A Two-(Qu)Bit *Non-Separable States* **Hilbert Space** No classical analogue Separable States Classical-like "Entangled" $(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ $|00\rangle$ Qbit $(|00\rangle - |11\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ $|01\rangle$ $(|01\rangle + |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ 10 Qbit 11 $(|01\rangle - |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$

Quantum Computer

All the "oomph" of quantum technology comes from using more dimensions in multi-particle Hilbert space

Safety tip: No cloning allowed

Measuring a quantum system projects it into a basis state, so some information on the initial state is inevitably lost: *no general classical copying*



Quantum copying turns out to be forbidden by the <u>*No-Cloning Theorem*</u>; more involved, can be seen as a consequence of information conservation

However, as long as a quantum state is not measured/projected then it *can* be

- Converted between physical qubit types (Transduction)
- Carried, carefully, over long distances (Networking)
- Stored and read back (Quantum memories)
- Destroyed in one place and re-incarnated in the same state somewhere else (Teleportation, Entanglement Swapping)

Quantum Crytography

Make uncertainty work for you

Quantum Cryptography: New killer app







BOB

Quantum Cryptography: Vulnerability?





H

V

Η

V

V

Η

V

Η

BOB

Quantum Cryptography: QM for the win



HV: H HV: V DA: A HV: H DA: D DA: A

ALICE

Choose basis HV or AD randomly at each station, results are correlated when basis choices are the same; <u>cannot be imitated</u> HV: H DA: D DA: A HV: H HV: V DA: A



BOB

Quantum Transduction

How to network quantum computers together

Quantum Transduction

 $|XY\rangle_{QC} |00\rangle_{QTC} |\Phi\rangle_{RoW} \rightarrow |00\rangle_{QC} |XY\rangle_{QTC} |\Phi'\rangle_{RoW}$



RoW = "Rest of the World"

A necessary condition for quantum transduction is that the final state Φ' of the rest of the world is the same *independent* of the state of the qubits; this is equivalent to saying there is no interaction between the physical qubits and the outside world, e.g. no information leakage.

Linearity: $(|10\rangle_{QC} + |01\rangle_{QC}) |00\rangle_{QTC} |\Phi\rangle_{RoW} \rightarrow |00\rangle_{QC} (|10\rangle_{QTC} + |01\rangle_{QTC}) |\Phi'\rangle_{RoW}$

Frequency conversion and transport SBU to BNL



Demo: photon interference after conversion



Du, et.al., quant-ph/2101.12742v1

Anti-correlation between the two detector channels shows that subsequent photons "steer" the same way, showing that superposition is preserved through conversion *and* transmission.

Networked quantum computers

 $|XY\rangle_{QC1} |00\rangle_{QTC} |\Phi\rangle_{RoW} \rightarrow |XY\rangle_{QTC} |\Phi'\rangle_{RoW} \rightarrow |00\rangle_{QTC} |XY\rangle_{QC2} |\Phi''\rangle_{RoW}$



Goal: To be able to "pick up" an arbitrary quantum state of two (or more) physical qubits, "carry" them across a long distance without disturbing/measuring them, and "deliver" the (still-unknown) state into the physical qubits of a second system.

Quantum-Enhanced Astronomy

Quantum networks -> longer baselines -> higher resolutions -> more science!

Interferometry is good



Radio source Cygnus A imaged at 6cm

Center of M87 imaged at 1.3mm

Brightness Temperature (10⁹ K) 2019 ApJL 875

2

3

Idea: Separate apertures over long baselines

Michelson Stellar Interferometer ca.1890



https://xkcd.com/1922/

Interference fringe pattern sensitive to features of angular size $\Delta\theta \sim \lambda/B$ Contrast visibility measures Fourier component of source distribution at $k \sim B/\lambda$

Idea: Separate functions of photon capture, photon transport, and photon interference



PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 123, 070504 (2019)

Optical Interferometry with Quantum Networks

E. T. Khabiboulline,^{1,*} J. Borregaard,^{1,2} K. De Greve,¹ and M. D. Lukin¹



More futuristically: Two kinds of group teleportation from telescope collectors to a central interferometer or quantum computer, using mildly exotic |W> state or more exotic |GHZ> states.

> Let's assume it all works; what kind of physics can we do?

HEP astro-physics using interferometry

- Parallax & binary distancing: improved cosmology
- Proper motions: local dark matter patterns
- Microlensing: see motions and shape changes, GR tests
- Exoplanets: spectra & imaging with dynamic nulling
- Gravitational waves at low (μ Hz) frequencies

Further ideas are encouraged!

Points to take home:

Non-classical quantum states can be created and transported, with superpositions intact, over long distances via quantum networks.

Two-photon (and multi-photon) states are natural candidates to go long distances at room temperature.

Entangled states as a resource have many applications, including secure communications, quantum computer networking, and quantum enhanced interferometry; see following talks for more.

The future is quantum! The goal of a future quantum internet will provide entanglement to arbitrary pairs of users on demand.

Extras and backups

Quantum repeaters

One is good, and two are better (if handled correctly)

Going the distance

Transmission of single photons over fiber limited to <100 Km – but remember, no cloning/copying, so nothing like a standard classical repeater/amplifier is possible



How to go farther? First we recall the set of fully entangled states, called the Bell State Basis:

 $(|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\Phi^+\rangle$ $(|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\Phi^-\rangle$ $(|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\Psi^+\rangle$ $(|HV\rangle - |VH\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\Psi^-\rangle$

One-hop quantum repeater

Initial wavefunction has two independent entangled pairs, AB and CD

 $\psi = (|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle) (|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle)/2 = |\Phi^+\rangle_{AB} |\Phi^+\rangle_{CD}$



One-hop quantum repeater

After some algebra we can re-group A with D and B with C

 $\psi = |\Phi^+\rangle_{AD} |\Phi^+\rangle_{BC} + |\Phi^-\rangle_{AD} |\Phi^-\rangle_{BC} + |\Psi^+\rangle_{AD} |\Psi^+\rangle_{BC} + |\Psi^-\rangle_{AD} |\Psi^-\rangle_{BC}$



Measurement/projection of the *BC* pair in the Bell State basis will partially collapse the 4-particle wavefunction and leave the *AD* pair in *a fully entangled state*!

"Entanglement Swapping"

Repeat as necessary

In principle we can chain repeater stages for as many hops as are needed to go the desired distance, as long as we can generate the intermediate entangled pairs – a form of **teleportation** using *entanglement as a resource*.



Memories and persistence



In practice, the generation and transmission of photons is *probabilistic*, and so the simple repeater depends on fortunate coincidence.

We can de-skew and greatly improve efficiency by storing successful transmissions in quantum memories.

Room-temperature quantum memories

PHYSICAL REVIEW APPLIED 8, 034023 (2017)

Ultralow-Noise Room-Temperature Quantum Memory for Polarization Qubits

Mehdi Namazi, Connor Kupchak, Bertus Jordaan, Reihaneh Shahrokhshahi, and Eden Figueroa Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3800, USA (Received 27 July 2016; revised manuscript received 14 August 2017; published 25 September 2017)





Electromagnetically Induced Transparency (EIT)



FIG. 1. Susceptibility as a function of the frequency ω_p of the applied field relative to the atomic resonance frequency ω_{31} , for a radiatively broadened two-level system with radiative width γ_{31} (dashed line) and an EIT system with resonant coupling field (solid line): top, imaginary part of $\chi^{(1)}$ characterizing absorption; bottom, real part of $\chi^{(1)}$ determining the refractive properties of the medium. Fleischhauer & Lukin, PRL 84, 2000



Fleischhauer, Imamoglu, Marangos, RMP 77, 2005

The quantum internet

"The future, Mr. Gittes, the future!"

Quantum Computing, once all the rage...



Quantum Information Science & Technology



"Gentlemen, what are the four pillars?" -- Dead Poets Society (1989)

The Quantum Internet Will Blow Your Mind. Here's What It Will Look Like DISCOVER



Mehdi Namazi, Mael Flament, Prof. Eden Figueroa

"There is no such thing as good publicity or bad publicity; there is only more publicity and less publicity"

-- Sam Goldwyn

October 2020

Entanglement as a *resource*

Entangled pairs can be created, transmitted, and stored -- where can I get some?





Hong-Ou-Mandel Two-Photon Interference



With added delay, we look for two independent photons arriving at the final beam splitter.

Hong-Ou-Mandel (HOM) interference dip shows that the two photons are *indistinguishable*

Du, et.al., quant-ph/2101.12742v1



First-generation Quantum Internet



With links and memories, we can deliver entanglement to any pair of users on request