



# **ZDC Acceptance Studies**

Tyler Hague LBNL

October 24, 2023 Far Forward Detector Working Group Meeting

## Outline

- ZDC Studies for neutron tagging of e+p->e+n+pi<sup>+</sup>
  - Investigation of low acceptance in 5x41 GeV events
  - First a look at angular acceptance
  - A look at energy acceptance
- A brief look at backwards pi<sup>0</sup> production (slides courtesy of Zachary Sweger)
  - pi<sup>0</sup> goes to 2 photons to be detected in the ZDC
  - Acceptance Studies

# Part 1 First Study Neutrons generated according to e+p->e+n+pi<sup>+</sup> kinematics

## **Generator Properties**

- Only throws the neutron, ensures it matches physical kinematics
  - No cross-section weighting
- Cuts
  - 0 < -t < 1 GeV<sup>2</sup>
  - 0 < theta < 13.5 mrad (later reduced to 8.5 mrad)
    - Defined with respect to proton beam axis (center of ZDC)

I wrote a generator that only produces the neutrons in order to investigate the neutron acceptance since I noticed lower acceptance than expected. Single particle simulations run faster ;)

## **Definition of "Acceptance"**

- Loop through all hits in ZDC and to check if the thrown neutron is associated with any hit
  - In this situation, a rescattered neutron that hits the ZDC is not accepted
  - Does not currently look at any daughter particles
- Binned according to thrown kinematics, does not take into account anything from the ZDC other than "did it make it there?"



### 41 GeV proton beam BryceCanyon geometry



111

### 100 GeV proton beam BryceCanyon geometry

### nThetap\_eff Efficiency / 0.0002 rad 80 L Entries 21041.50 0.002072 Mean Std Dev 0.001200 0.6 0.4 0.2 ×10<sup>-3</sup> 0<sup>,</sup> 14 θ (Raď) 2 6 8 12 10 4

### 275 GeV proton beam **BryceCanyon geometry**

#### Where are we losing events?

- Biggest problem in 5 on 41
- Plotting stopping location of the MC
  Particle vs thrown angle (since some are thrown outside of nominal acceptance)

Endpoint (z) of neutrons thrown (rough ZDC  $\theta$  cut) vs  $\theta$ ×10<sup>-3</sup> nEnd\_z\_v\_thp <sup>©</sup>12⊦ Entries 118000 • Magnets Mean x 1224 Mean y 0.007756 Std Dev x 851.2 10 Std Dev y 0.003100 ZDC Beampipe 1 8 6 4 di. 1 2 0 3500 3000 4000 500 2000 2500 1000 1500 0 z (cm)

Next Test: Set beamline material to aluminum (to verify that it is the beampipe)

### 41 GeV proton beam BryceCanyon geometry

- + World set to vacuum
- + Aluminum beampipe

### ZDC Neutron Angle



### 100 GeV proton beam BryceCanyon geometry

- + World set to vacuum
- + Aluminum beampipe





### 275 GeV proton beam BryceCanyon geometry

- + World set to vacuum
- + Aluminum beampipe





# What does the energy acceptance look like? (since 5x41 seems to have the worst problem)

## **ZDC Neutron Energy**



#### **41 GeV Energy Acceptance**

Is the angular acceptance dragged down by the low low-energy acceptance?

Plot to the right uses stock Bryce Canyon geometry (SS beampipe)

### A look at the Energy Distribution (41 GeV)

- My generator has a *lot* of low energy neutrons thrown
  - Important caveat: I have begun a preliminary comparison of this to a cross section weighted set of simulated events. While the kinematics *should* be good, the distribution seems to be rather different than a realistic distribution.
  - In the future I will be using the realistic events, but the simple "neutron only" simulation runs substantially faster
- What happens if we ignore the kinematics and just throw 41 GeV neutrons?
  - Presumably the lower energy neutrons won't be as prominent when weighted by cross section

Thrown Neutron Energy vs. Angle (proton axis)



## **Single Energy Neutron Generator**

- Throws neutrons of a single energy (41 GeV here)
- 0 < theta < 8 mrad (defined w.r.t. proton axis)
- No physics or cross sections

### 41 GeV neutrons Stock BryceCanyon (SS beampipe restored)

The acceptance looks a lot better



# Part 1 Summary

- The beamline, as is in the simulation geometry, causes problems detecting "low" energy neutrons
  - More than 50% stopped when energy is below ~39 GeV
- With the beampipe curving off, the neutrons see a very large amount of material in the path to the ZDC
  - The plot on slide 9 seems to suggest that the most material is seen around 4 mrad, with neutrons stopping in material over approximately 9 meters (I still need to look up the exact geometry to verify this measurement)
- The current beampipe design is a limiting factor in studying physics processes that rely on the ZDC

# Part 2 Backward pi<sup>0</sup> production (slides courtesy of Z. Sweger)

- Backward  $\pi^0$  events simulated with eSTARlight
- 18×275 GeV is best
- Generated 100k events of exclusive u-channel  $\pi^0$  production at 18×275GeV with Q^2 from 1e-7 to 10 GeV^2
- Afterburned with the high-divergence configuration
- Ran 1000 test events through the ePIC simulation and reconstruction framework
- Many thanks to Kong Tu and Tyler Hague for teaching me how to process these!

### $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ Both Photons in ZDC Acceptance

	5×41	10×100	18×275
0 <q<sup>2&lt;1 GeV<sup>2</sup></q<sup>	13%	72%	99%
1 <q<sup>2&lt;10 GeV<sup>2</sup></q<sup>	11%	69%	98%
10 <q<sup>2&lt;20 GeV<sup>2</sup></q<sup>	15%	79%	99%





#### Before 500 cm, photon hasn't had a chance to hit BO





UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Not much interaction before 2300 cm

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

I next turned the beam pipe into vacuum. Now the photons scatter first in the ZDC

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Part 2 Summary

- The beampipe also causes issues (expectedly) for detecting photons
- Using an 18x275 GeV simulation of backward pi<sup>0</sup> production, Zachary Sweger found that nearly all photons are stopped by the beampipe before they can reach the ZDC
- These simulations are in agreement with my neutron study that the current beampipe design limits the study of physics that depends on the ZDC

# **Thank You!**