



Thresholds/ADC Collection and Implementation

Kolja Kauder (BNL NPPS)

BG TF Meeting
October 31, 2023

Energy cut

if (edep > **m_cfg.threshold**)

 eResRel = [smear edep] // depends on **m_cfg.eRes** parameter set

- Cut **before** sampling fraction correction
- eRes: I believe used to parameterize costly simulation
 - Used in: B0ECAL, FEMC, LUMISPECCAL, EEMC, BEMC (AstroPix)

ADC conversion

```
ped = m_cfg.pedMeanADC + m_normDist(generator) * m_cfg.pedSigmaADC;
adc = round(ped + edep * (m_cfg.corrMeanScale + eResRel) /
            / m_cfg.dyRangeADC * m_cfg.capADC);
```

- Also **no** sampling fraction applied; that happens in Reco
- **m_cfg.corrMeanScale** is not totally clear to me. FEMC: corrMeanScale=0.03?
- Double-check that dynamic range and ADC cap (bit-ness) is correct

ADC cut

```
thresholdADC = m_cfg.thresholdFactor * m_cfg.pedSigmaADC + m_cfg.thresholdValue;  
for (const auto &rh: rawhits) {  
    if (rh.getAmplitude() < m_cfg.pedMeanADC + thresholdADC) continue;
```

- First step in Reco
- **EITHER** cut on **thresholdValue** OR on **nSigma * Sigma**
- Sampling fraction correction only now:

```
energy = ( rh.getAmplitude() - m_cfg.pedMeanADC) / m_cfg.capADC *  
m_cfg.dyRangeADC / m_cfg.sampFrac;
```

- Double-check that **m_cfg.sampFrac** is correct

Supplementary slides

Contacts and Values

- List of [github contacts](#) is all but complete
 - (missing: Low-Q2 Tagger (CAL), ZDC)
- [Values](#) are pretty much complete - but see later slides
 - (missing: Low-Q2 Tagger (CAL), ZDC (have values, need the technology choice), Roman Pots (no implementation))

Silicon-style detectors

- All PRs are created

 EICrecon #1092
updated LOWQ2 threshold

 EICrecon #1090
updated BTOF threshold

 EICrecon #1091
updated ECTOF threshold

 EICrecon #1089
updated MAPS threshold

 EICrecon #1093
updated B0 LGAD threshold

Calorimeters

- Created one [PR for FEMC](#), to see if it answers questions from Gerard
- In the process, found that it's actually more complicated than I thought, and we'd actually need more parameters still
- Also, logic is not right, but there's no complete agreement on what would be correct → active discussion, considering inviting developers and DSCs to Thursday Simulation meeting

Calorimeters - Current digitization

Energy Summation:

// Make merge map, then

```
for (const auto &[id, ix] : merge_map) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < ix.size(); ++i) {
        auto hit = simhits[ix[i]];
        edep += hit.getEnergy();
    }
}
```

Now energy cut: `m_cfg.threshold;`

And energy smearing: `m_cfg.eRes;`

```
if ( edep > m_cfg.threshold)
    eResRel = [smeared edep]
```

ADC conversion: `m_cfg.corrMeanScale,`
`m_cfg.dyRangeADC,` `m_cfg.capADC,`
`m_cfg.pedMeanADC;`

Also smeared: `m_cfg.pedSigmaADC`

```
ped = m_cfg.pedMeanADC +
m_normDist(generator) *
m_cfg.pedSigmaADC;
```

```
adc = std::llround(ped + edep *
(m_cfg.corrMeanScale + eResRel) /
m_cfg.dyRangeADC * m_cfg.capADC);
```

```
adc = min(adc, m_cfg.capADC)
```

Calorimeters - Current ADC

Zero suppression:
 m_cfg.pedMeanADC,
 m_cfg.thresholdFactor,
 m_cfg.pedSigmaADC,
 m_cfg.thresholdValue;

```
thresholdADC =
  m_cfg.thresholdFactor *
  m_cfg.pedSigmaADC +
  m_cfg.thresholdValue;
  for (const auto &rh: rawhits) {
    if (rh.getAmplitude() <
        m_cfg.pedMeanADC + thresholdADC)
      continue;
```

ADC to energy:
 m_cfg.pedMeanADC,
 m_cfg.capADC,
 m_cfg.dyRangeADC,
 m_cfg.sampFrac;

```
energy = ( rh.getAmplitude() -
  m_cfg.pedMeanADC) /
  m_cfg.capADC *
  m_cfg.dyRangeADC /
  m_cfg.sampFrac;
```

Calorimeters - What I think the logic should be

- convert to ADC
 - smear
 - apply adc cut
-
- In the reco class maybe have an optional additional energy cut

Intermediate Solution

- Implement all energy thresholds
- Set all ADC thresholds zero-suppression to 0

- This sets thresholds as indicated at first by the DSCs, but it does not reflect ADC fluctuation bringing a value above or below threshold