Simulation status and plans for the W-Si imaging EMCAL

18 December 2023

Michael Pitt^{1,2}

¹Ben Gurion University of the Negev ²The University of Kansas

Introduction

- ZDC design is converging!
- Various studies have already been made
 - HCAL: neutron detection with SiPM-on-tile <u>on Nov</u>
 <u>20 at TIC</u>
- Several physics cases motivates good prompt photon ID
- For example, u-channel DVCS (Z. Swenger, Nov 7), (pi0 ID)
 - Constant term has dominant effect
 - Need good position resolution (a few mm)
- In the next slides, photon response in Wsi is discussed, and reconstruction plans presented

18 December 2023



Credit: Bishnu Karki & Ryan Milton & Sebouh Paul





ZDC starts at 35.8 m from IP, $54x56 \text{ cm}^2$ ECAL lateral dimension $60x60 \text{ cm}^2$ HCAL lateral dimension 1^{st} Si: 0.7 cm ECAL: 7 cm (3x4 crystals) WSi: ~ 1.4 cm x (10+2) ~ 18 cm HCAL: 3 x 38 x 1.25 cm ~ 150 cm

For simulations we removed the SS beampipe 3



ZDC starts at 35.8 m from IP, $54x56 \text{ cm}^2$ ECAL lateral dimension $60x60 \text{ cm}^2$ HCAL lateral dimension 1^{st} Si: 0.7 cm ECAL: 7 cm (3x4 crystals) WSi: ~ 1.4 cm x (10+2) ~ 18 cm HCAL: 3 x 38 x 1.25 cm ~ 150 cm

For simulations we removed the SS beampipe 4



ZDC starts at 35.8 m from IP, $54x56 \text{ cm}^2$ ECAL lateral dimension $60x60 \text{ cm}^2$ HCAL lateral dimension 1^{st} Si: 0.7 cm ECAL: 7 cm (3x4 crystals) WSi: ~ 1.4 cm x (10+2) ~ **18 cm** HCAL: 3 x 38 x 1.25 cm ~ 150 cm

Response to photons in energy range up to 110 GeV (dated: Oct 2023)



For simulations we removed the SS beampipe 5



ZDC starts at 35.8 m from IP, $54x56 \text{ cm}^2$ ECAL lateral dimension $60x60 \text{ cm}^2$ HCAL lateral dimension 1^{st} Si: 0.7 cm ECAL: 7 cm (3x4 crystals) WSi: ~ 1.4 cm x (10+2) ~ **18 cm** HCAL: 3 x 38 x 1.25 cm ~ 150 cm

Some leakage to HCAL

Response to photons in energy range up to 110 GeV (dated: Oct 2023)





18 December 2023

Energy resolution (WSi only)

- Particle Gun with photons (θ =0)
- Calibrated energy response for imaging detector only – WSi performance within the ZDC setup
- Small constant term (<<1%)





Energy resolution (WSi + leakage)

- Particle Gun with photons (θ =0)
- Calibrated energy response for ECAL + HCAL – doesn't make large difference
- Small constant term (<<1%)





Towards photon ID

- Particle Gun with photons (θ =0, E>20 GeV)
- Photon first interaction position in z (mean free path)





Towards photon ID

- Particle Gun with photons (θ =0)
- Longitudinal granularity better photon ID?





Towards position resolution

- Main challenge is reconstructing high energy pi0
- Photon eta position resolution
- Layer by Layer single photon resolution: $\sigma < 2 \text{ mm}$



Towards position resolution

- Main challenge is reconstructing high energy pi0
- Photon eta position resolution
- Layer by Layer single photon resolution: $\sigma < 2 \text{ mm}$
- Combined resolution:

 $\sigma < 1 \text{ mm}$

• Pi0 studies are ongoing



Summary

- The ZDC simulation is available
- Beampipe seems to be a showstopper for performance studies for soft photons (in particular, understanding the performance of the Crystal layer)
- Imaging ECAL has very a small constant term (<<1%)
- Longitudinal segmentation needed for better photon vs neutron ID
- Angular resolution:
 - Single photon studies ~ 1 mm spatial resolution
 - Cluster reconstruction for the crystal part is available, while for the highly granular WSi imaging part, we need full reconstruction

(<u>https://github.com/eic/EICrecon/issues/1184</u>)

Backup

Detector response to neutrons

- Particle Gun with neutrons (θ =0)
- ECAL shows weak correlation (low λ_{int} ?)
- Vetoing ECAL, result in linear response of HCAL, with C3 = 22.8557 (60% from EM)





Including the beampipe



18 December 2023