



μ – Rwell Endcaps detectors for the EPIC tracking at EIC

February 1st 2024

Annalisa D'Angelo

University of Rome Tor Vergata & INFN Rome Tor Vergata Rome – Italy

for the EIC Collaboration

Component	Sub-Component	WBS	Length (cm)	Inner Radius (cm)	Outer Radius (cm)	Offset from Center (cm)	Physical Start (cm)	Physical End (cm)	Volume (m ³)	Weight (kg)	Technology	Notes
HD MPGD 2			2.5	7.014	50	161	161	163.5	0.02	3.85414078		Weight: based on parametric estimate from SBS Gem Offset: measured from face nearest to interaction point
HD MPGD 1			2.5	7.014	50	148	148	150.5	0.02	3.85414078		Weight: based on parametric estimate from SBS Gem Offset: measured from face nearest to interaction point
LD MPGD 1			2.5	4.635	50	-110	-112.5	-110	0.02	3.89772228		Weight: based on parametric estimate from SBS Gem Offset: measured from face nearest to interaction point
LD MPGD 2			2.5	4.635	50	-120	-122.5	-120	0.02	3.89772228		Weight: based on parametric estimate from SBS Gem Offset: measured from face nearest to interaction point

1. The envelope defined in the geometry database does not refer to the expected active area of the endcaps but the total available space, including signal connectors, gas frame, gas inlet & outlet, HV.
2. The expected active area radius is 45-46 cm, with an outer service region of 4-5 cm thickness.
3. The electronics front end boards (FEB) may be mounted at 90 degrees, parallel to the beam pipe, sticking out of the 2.5 cm thick envelope.
4. The integration team (Andy Jung) has informed us that they will provide 3 mounting points on rail to support each semi-circle.
5. The integration team (Andy Jung) has confirmed that they expect that all the disks (Silicon trackers and MPDG) should be mounted in two semi-circles.

